## CORRECTION

# IMPROPER REGULAR CONDITIONAL DISTRIBUTIONS 

By Teddy Seidenfeld, Mark J. Schervish and Joseph B. Kadane<br>Carnegie Mellon University

A strict inequality appears in Definition 6 where a weak inequality is needed. We reproduce Definition 6 here.

Definition 6. Fix $\omega$ and consider those $A$ such that $\omega \in A \in \mathcal{A}$. If for some $\omega \in A \in \mathcal{A}, P(A \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0$, say that $P(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ is maximally improper at $\omega$. Otherwise, if for each $\omega \in A \in \mathcal{A}, 1 \geq P(A \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)>0$, say that the red is modestly proper at $\omega$.

At the bottom of page 1614, we are not precise in the definition of a Borel space. The condition should have read that there is a one-to-one measurable function with measurable inverse between $(\Omega, \mathscr{B})$ and $(E, \mathscr{E})$, where $E$ is a Borel subset of the reals and $\mathcal{E}$ is the Borel $\sigma$-field of subsets of $E$. After the remaining corrections below, our use of the term "Borel space" conforms with this definition.

Some conditions were left out of Theorem 4 and Lemma 3. The proof of Lemma 3 also had some errors that made it almost impossible to follow. Finally, the proof of Theorem 4 was said to be straightforward from Theorem 3. We include here the restatements of both results with the missing conditions, the revised proof of Lemma 3, and a proof of Lemma 4. The only application of Lemma 4 given in the original paper is to the proof of Corollary 2. The additional conditions given here are satisfied in that case.

THEOREM 4. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is an atomic sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathfrak{B}$. Let $(\Theta, \mathcal{D})$ be a Borel space, with a probability measure $\mu$. For each $\theta \in \Theta$, let $P_{\theta}$ be a probability on $\mathfrak{B}$ such that for every $B \in \mathscr{B}, P_{\theta}(B)$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-measurable function of $\theta$. Let $P(\cdot)$ be defined on $\mathscr{B}$ by $P(\cdot)=\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(\cdot) d \mu(\theta)$. Assume that, for $\mu$-almost all $\theta$, $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ is a maximally improper $r c d$ for $P_{\theta}$ and that it is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$-measurable as a function of $(\omega, \theta)$. Also, assume that the set

$$
B^{*}=\left\{(\omega, \theta): P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A}) \text { is maximally improper at } \omega\right\}
$$

is in $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$. Then there is a maximally improper version of $P(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3. Let $(\Theta, \mathscr{D})$ be a Borel space, with a probability measure $\mu$. For each $\theta \in \Theta$, let $P_{\theta}$ be a probability on $\mathcal{B}$ such that for every $B \in \mathscr{B}, P_{\theta}(B)$ is a $\mathfrak{D}$-measurable function of $\theta$. Define the probability $P$ on $\mathscr{B}$ by $P(B)=$ $\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(B) d \mu(\theta)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a sub- $\sigma$-field of $\mathcal{B}$. Also, let $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ denote an rcd for each $P_{\theta}$ that is $\mathscr{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$-measurable as a function of $(\omega, \theta)$. Then, for each $\omega$ there exists a probability $v_{\omega}$ on $\mathscr{D}$ such that for all $B \in \mathscr{B}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(B \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d v_{\omega}(\theta) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a version of $P(B \mid \mathcal{A})$. Also, these versions form an rcd.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the product $\sigma$-field $\mathscr{B} \otimes \mathscr{D}$. For each $E \in \mathcal{E}$, define

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{\theta} & =\{\omega:(\omega, \theta) \in E\} \\
E^{\omega} & =\{\theta:(\omega, \theta) \in E\}
\end{aligned}
$$

the $\theta$ - and $\omega$-sections of $E$. Standard arguments like those of Billingsley ([1], Section 18) allow us to conclude that $E_{\theta} \in \mathscr{B}$ for all $\theta$, and $P_{\theta}\left(E_{\theta}\right)$ is a $\mathscr{D}$-measurable function of $\theta$. Define

$$
Q(E)=\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}\left(E_{\theta}\right) d \mu(\theta)
$$

which is easily seen to be a probability on $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\pi_{1}(\omega, \theta)=\omega$ and $\pi_{2}(\omega, \theta)=\theta$ be the coordinate projections, which are $\mathcal{E}$-measurable. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\pi_{1}^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}=$ $\pi_{2}^{-1}(\mathscr{D})$, which are sub- $\sigma$-fields of $\mathcal{E}$. Every $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$-measurable function must be an $\mathcal{A}$-measurable function of $\pi_{1}$. Because $(\Theta, \mathscr{D})$ is a Borel space, there exists an rcd for $\pi_{2}$ given $\mathscr{A}^{\prime}$ relative to $Q, Q\left(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. We will denote $Q\left(\pi_{2}^{-1}(D) \mid \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)(\omega, \theta)$ by $v_{\omega}(D)$. In similar fashion to the arguments earlier in the proof, $v_{\omega}\left(E^{\omega}\right)$ is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable as a function of $\omega$ for all $E \in \mathcal{E}$. Define

$$
Q_{0}(E)=\int v_{\omega}\left(E^{\omega}\right) d P(\omega)
$$

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $D \in \mathscr{D}$, we have

$$
Q_{0}(A \times D)=\int I_{A} v_{\omega}(D) d P(\omega)=Q(A \times D)
$$

It follows that $Q_{0}=Q$ on all of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{D}$.
For each $\omega$, (1) is a probability. We need to show that it is $\mathcal{A}$-measurable as a function of $\omega$. We have assumed that $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$ measurable, so we can approximate it from below by a sequence $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of nonnegative simple functions. In similar fashion to the argument at the beginning of this proof, $\nu_{\omega}\left(E^{\omega}\right)$ is $\mathscr{A}$-measurable for all $E \in \mathscr{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$. It follows that $\int \phi_{n}(\omega, \theta) d v_{\omega}(\theta)$ is $\mathfrak{A}$-measurable for each $n$, and (1) is a limit of $\mathscr{A}$-measurable functions.

To complete the proof, we show that, for each $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and $\mathscr{B} \in \mathscr{B}$, the integral of (1) over $A$ equals $P(A \cap B)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{A} \int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(B \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d v_{\omega}(\theta) d P(\omega) & =\int I_{A}(\omega) P_{\theta}(B \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d Q_{0}(\omega, \theta) \\
& =\int I_{A}(\omega) P_{\theta}(B \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d Q(\omega, \theta) \\
& =\iint I_{A}(\omega) P_{\theta}(B \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d P_{\theta}(\omega) d \mu(\theta) \\
& =\int P_{\theta}(A \cap B) d \mu(\theta)=P(A \cap B),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first equality is from the definition of $Q_{0}$, the second follows from the fact that $Q_{0}=Q$ on $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$, the third is from the definition of $Q$, the fourth is from the definition of $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ and the last is the meaning of $P_{\theta}$.

Proof of Theorem 4. Because $\mathcal{A}$ is atomic, $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ is maximally improper at $\omega$ if and only if $P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0$, where $a(\omega)$ is the $\mathcal{A}$-atom containing $\omega$. Hence, we can rewrite the set $B^{*}$ as

$$
B^{*}=\left\{(\omega, \theta): P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0\right\}
$$

whose $\theta$-sections satisfy

$$
B_{\theta}^{*}=\left\{\omega: P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0\right\} \in \mathscr{B}
$$

For each $\theta$ such that $P_{\theta}(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ is maximally improper, $B_{\theta}^{*}$ has inner $P_{\theta}$ measure 1. Hence $P_{\theta}\left(B_{\theta}^{*}\right)=1$, a.e. $[\mu]$. By standard arguments, $P_{\theta}\left(B_{\theta}^{*}\right)$ is $\mathscr{D}$-measurable, and it follows that

$$
Q\left(B^{*}\right)=\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}\left(B_{\theta}^{*}\right) d \mu(\theta)=1
$$

where $Q$ was constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.
Similarly, the $\omega$-sections of $B^{*}$ satisfy

$$
B^{* \omega}=\left\{\theta: P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0\right\} \in \mathscr{D}
$$

For each $\omega$, let $v_{\omega}$ be the measure from Lemma 3. Then $v_{\omega}\left(B^{* \omega}\right)$ is $\mathscr{D}$-measurable. Since $B^{*} \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathscr{D}$, we have

$$
1=Q\left(B^{*}\right)=Q_{0}\left(B^{*}\right)=\int_{\Omega} v_{\omega}\left(B^{* \omega}\right) d P(\omega)
$$

where $Q_{0}$ was constructed in the proof of Lemma 3. So, there is a set $C \in \mathscr{B}$ with $P(C)=1$ and for all $\omega \in C, v_{\omega}\left(B^{* \omega}\right)=1$. It follows that, for each $\omega \in C$, there is a set $E(\omega) \in \mathscr{D}$ with $v_{\omega}(E(\omega))=1$ such that $P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=0$ for all $\theta \in E(\omega)$. Let $P(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ be the version guaranteed by Lemma 3. Then, for each $\omega \in C$,

$$
P(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega)=\int_{\Theta} P_{\theta}(a(\omega) \mid \mathcal{A})(\omega) d v_{\omega}(\theta)=0
$$

This means that $P(\cdot \mid \mathcal{A})$ is maximally improper.
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