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#### Abstract

Let $S$ and $T$ be continuous linear operators on an ultraprime Banach algebra $A$. We show that if $S, T$, and $S T$ are close to satisfy the derivation identity on $A$, then either $S$ or $T$ approaches to zero. If $T$ is close to satisfy the derivation identity and $[T(a), a]$ is near the centre of $A$ for each $a \in A$, then either $T$ approaches to zero or $A$ is nearly commutative. Further, we give quantitative estimates of these phenomena.


## 1. Introduction

In [7], E. C. Posner proved two theorems about derivations on prime rings which have turned out to be very influential. A number of authors have refined and extended these theorems in several ways (see [3, Subsection 2.1], where further references can be found). In this paper we follow the pattern of [2]. To this end we restrict our attention to ultraprime Banach algebras. The ultraprimeness is a metric version of the primeness which was introduced by M. Mathieu in [4]. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. For each $a, b \in A$, we write $M_{a, b}$ for the two-sided multiplication operator on $A$ defined by

$$
M_{a, b}(x)=a x b \quad(x \in A) .
$$

Recall that $A$ is prime if $M_{a, b}=0$ implies $a=0$ or $b=0$. We define

$$
\kappa(A)=\inf \left\{\left\|M_{a, b}\right\|: a, b \in A,\|a\|=\|b\|=1\right\} .
$$

The Banach algebra $A$ is said to be ultraprime if $\kappa(A)>0$. It is clear that each finitedimensional prime Banach algebra is ultraprime. For a Banach space $X$ we denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the Banach algebra of all continuous linear operators from $X$ into itself. The Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is ultraprime and, more generally, every closed subalgebra of

[^0]$\mathcal{L}(X)$ containing the finite rank operators is ultraprime [4]. Every prime $C^{*}$-algebra is ultraprime [5].

In [2], a metric version of the first Posner's theorem is obtained by giving an estimate of the distance from the composition $D_{1} D_{2}$ of two derivations $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ on an ultraprime Banach algebra $A$ to the set of all generalized derivations on $A$. In this paper we measure the "derivativity" of a given continuous linear operator $T$ on an ultraprime Banach algebra $A$ through the constant $\operatorname{der}(T)=\sup \{\| T(a b)-T(a) b-$ $a T(b)\|:\| a\|=\| b \|=1\}$ and we estimate $\|S\|\|T\|$ in terms of $\operatorname{der}(S), \operatorname{der}(T)$, and $\operatorname{der}(S T)$ for arbitrary continuous linear operators $S$ and $T$ on $A$. Further, we present a metric version of the second Posner's theorem by estimating $\|T\| \sup \{\|a b-b a\|:\|a\|=$ $\|b\|=1\}$ in terms of $\operatorname{der}(T)$ and $\sup \{\operatorname{dist}([T(a), a], \mathcal{Z}(A)):\|a\|=1\}$.

## 2. First Posner's Theorem

Let us recall that an additive map $D$ from a ring $R$ into itself is said to be a derivation if

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(a b)=D(a) b+a D(b) \quad(a, b \in R) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first Posner's theorem states that if $R$ is a prime ring with characteristic different from 2, and $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are derivations on $R$ such that the composition $D_{1} D_{2}$ is also a derivation, then either $D_{1}$ or $D_{2}$ is zero. The purpose of this section is to give a quantitative estimate of this result. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. We define a continuous bilinear map $T^{\delta}: A \times A \rightarrow A$ by

$$
T^{\delta}(a, b)=T(a b)-T(a) b-a T(b) \quad(a, b \in A)
$$

The constant $\left\|T^{\delta}\right\|$ can be thought of as a measure of how much $T$ satisfies the derivation identity (1). From now on, we write $\operatorname{der}(T)$ (the derivativity of $T$ ) for $\left\|T^{\delta}\right\|$, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{der}(T)=\sup \{\|T(a b)-T(a) b-a T(b)\|: a, b \in A,\|a\|=\|b\|=1\}
$$

The map $T \mapsto \operatorname{der}(T)$ gives a seminorm on $\mathcal{L}(A)$ which vanishes precisely on the linear subspace $\operatorname{Der}(A)$ of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ consisting of all continuous derivations on $A$. This seminorm has shown to be extremely useful for analysing the hyperreflexivity of the space $\operatorname{Der}(A)$ [1].

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. then

$$
\kappa(A)^{2}\|S\|\|T\| \leq 3 \operatorname{der}(S T)+\frac{15}{2} \operatorname{der}(S)\|T\|+\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T)\|S\|
$$

Proof. The arguments are similar to those in [2].
For all $a, b, c \in A$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(a) b T(c)+T(a) b S(c)= & (S T)^{\delta}(a b, c)-a(S T)^{\delta}(b, c) \\
& -T^{\delta}(a, b) S(c)-S^{\delta}(T(a b), c) \\
& -S^{\delta}(a, b) T(c)-S^{\delta}(a b, T(c))-S\left(T^{\delta}(a b, c)\right) \\
& +a S^{\delta}(T(b), c)+a S^{\delta}(b, T(c))+a S\left(T^{\delta}(b, c)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and taking norms we arrive at

$$
\|S(a) b T(c)+T(a) b S(c)\| \leq\left(2\left\|(S T)^{\delta}\right\|+5\left\|S^{\delta}\right\|\|T\|+3\left\|T^{\delta}\right\|\|S\|\right)\|a\|\|b\|\|c\| .
$$

To shorten notation, we write $\mu=2\left\|(S T)^{\delta}\right\|+5\left\|S^{\delta}\right\|\|T\|+3\left\|T^{\delta}\right\|\|S\|$.
On account of [2, Observation 2], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 S(a) u T(b) v S(c)= & (S(a) u T(b)+T(a) u S(b)) v S(c) \\
& +S(a) u(T(b) v S(c)+S(b) v T(c)) \\
& -(S(a)(u S(b) v) T(c)+T(a)(u S(b) v) S(c)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $2\|S(a) u T(b) v S(c)\| \leq 3 \mu\|S\|\|a\|\|b\|\|c\|\|u\|\|v\|$ for all $a, b, c, u, v \in A$. This gives $\left\|M_{S(a), T(b) v S(c)}\right\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \mu\|S\|\|a\|\|b\|\|c\|\|v\|$ for all $a, b, c, v \in A$. Since $\kappa(A)\|S(a)\|\|T(b) v S(c)\| \leq\left\|M_{S(a), T(b) v S(c)}\right\|$, it follows that

$$
\kappa(A)\|S(a)\|\|T(b) v S(c)\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \mu\|S\|\|a\|\|b\|\|c\|\|v\|
$$

for all $a, b, c, v \in A$ and therefore that

$$
\kappa(A)\|S(a)\|\left\|M_{T(b), S(c)}\right\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \mu\|S\|\|a\|\|b\|\|c\|
$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. From $\kappa(A)\|T(b)\|\|S(c)\| \leq\left\|M_{T(b), S(c)}\right\|$ we now deduce that $\kappa(A)^{2}\|S(a)\|\|T(b)\|\|S(c)\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \mu\|S\|\|a\|\| \| b\| \| c \|$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ and hence that $\kappa(A)^{2}\|S\|^{2}\|T\| \leq \frac{3}{2} \mu\|S\|$, which clearly establishes the theorem.

Corollary 2.2. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. Then

$$
\kappa(A)^{2} \min \{\|S\|,\|T\|\} \leq \kappa(A) \sqrt{3 \operatorname{der}(S T)}+\frac{15}{2} \operatorname{der}(S)+\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T) .
$$

Proof. Of course, we can assume that $\kappa(A),\|S\|,\|T\| \neq 0$.
By applying Theorem 2.1 we arrive at

$$
1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\|S\|\|T\|}+\frac{\beta}{\|S\|}+\frac{\gamma}{\|T\|},
$$

where $\alpha=3 \operatorname{der}(S T) \kappa(A)^{-2}, \beta=\frac{15}{2} \operatorname{der}(S) \kappa(A)^{-2}$, and $\gamma=\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T) \kappa(A)^{-2}$. We now write $\lambda=\min \{\|S\|,\|T\|\}$. Then $1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\lambda}+\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}$ and therefore

$$
\lambda^{2}-(\beta+\gamma) \lambda-\alpha \leq 0
$$

This implies that

$$
\lambda \leq \frac{\beta+\gamma+\sqrt{(\beta+\gamma)^{2}+4 \alpha}}{2} \leq \beta+\gamma+\sqrt{\alpha},
$$

which establishes the inequality in the corollary.

## 3. Second Posner's Theorem

Let $R$ be a ring. In the sequel, we write $[a, b]=a b-b a$ for all $a, b \in R$ and we denote by $\mathcal{Z}(R)$ the centre of $R$. A map $T: R \rightarrow R$ is said to be commuting if

$$
\begin{equation*}
[T(a), a]=0 \quad(a \in R) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, more generally, it is said to be centralizing if

$$
\begin{equation*}
[T(a), a] \in \mathcal{Z}(R) \quad(a \in R) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second Posner's theorem states that if $D$ is a centralizing derivation on a prime ring $R$, then either $D$ is zero or $R$ is commutative. Our next concern is to give a quantitative estimate of this result. Our method is motivated by [6]. To this end, we measure how much a linear operator $T$ from a Banach algebra $A$ into itself satisfies conditions (2) and (3) by considering the constants

$$
\operatorname{com}(T)=\sup \{\|[T(a), a]\|: a \in A,\|a\|=1\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cen}(T)=\sup \{\operatorname{dist}([T(a), a], \mathcal{Z}(A)): a \in A,\|a\|=1\},
$$

respectively. Note that both com and cen are seminorms on $\mathcal{L}(A)$ vanishing precisely on the commuting maps and the centralizing maps, respectively. Further, we measure the commutativity of $A$ through the constant

$$
\chi(A)=\sup \{\|[a, b]\|: a, b \in A,\|a\|=\|b\|=1\} .
$$

Let us recall that $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ is closed so that the quotient linear space $A / \mathcal{Z}(A)$ turns into a Banach space with respect to the norm given by $\|a+\mathcal{Z}(A)\|=\operatorname{dist}(a, \mathcal{Z}(A))(a \in A)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra. Then

$$
\|[a, b]\| \leq 2\|a+\mathcal{Z}(A)\|\|b+\mathcal{Z}(A)\|
$$

for all $a, b \in A$.
Proof. Let $a, b \in A$. For all $u, v \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ we have $[a, b]=[a+u, b+v]$ and so $\|[a, b]\| \leq 2\|a+u\|\|b+v\|$. By taking the infima in $u$ and $v$ we arrive at the claimed inequality.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A$ a Banach algebra and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. Then

$$
\kappa(A) \operatorname{com}(T)^{2} \leq(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\|
$$

Proof. For all $a, b \in A$, we have

$$
[T(a), b]+[T(b), a]=\frac{1}{2}[T(a+b), a+b]-\frac{1}{2}[T(a-b), a-b] .
$$

We thus get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|[T(a), b]+[T(b), a]+\mathcal{Z}(A)\| \leq 4 \operatorname{cen}(T) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in A$ with $\|a\|=\|b\|=1$.
Let $a \in A$ with $\|a\|=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
4[T(a), a]^{2}= & 2[[T(a), a], T(a)] a+2 a[[T(a), a], T(a)] \\
& -\left[\left[T(a), a^{2}\right]+\left[T\left(a^{2}\right), a\right], T(a)\right]+\left[\left[T^{\delta}(a, a), a\right], T(a)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\left\|[T(a), a]^{2}\right\| \leq & 4\|[[T(a), a], T(a)]\| \\
& +\left\|\left[\left[T(a), a^{2}\right]+\left[T\left(a^{2}\right), a\right], T(a)\right]\right\|+\left\|\left[\left[T^{\delta}(a, a), a\right], T(a)\right]\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 3.1 and (4) we now deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|[T(a), a]^{2}\right\| \leq & 2\|[T(a), a]+\mathcal{Z}(A)\|\|T\| \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\left[T(a), a^{2}\right]+\left[T\left(a^{2}\right), a\right]+\mathcal{Z}(A)\right\|\|T\|+\left\|T^{\delta}\right\|\|T\| \\
\leq & (4 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\|
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $x \in A$ with $\|x\|=1$, we have

$$
[T(a), a] x[T(a), a]=[T(a), a]^{2} x+[T(a), a][x,[T(a), a]]
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|[T(a), a] x[T(a), a]\| & \leq\left\|[T(a), a]^{2} x\right\|+\|[T(a), a][x,[T(a), a]]\| \\
& \leq(4 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\|+\|[T(a), a]\| 2 \|[T(a), a)]+\mathcal{Z}(A) \| \\
& \leq(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus get $\left\|M_{[T(a), a],[T(a), a]}\right\| \leq(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\|$ and hence

$$
\kappa(A)\|[T(a), a]\|^{2} \leq(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))\|T\|
$$

Taking the supremum in $a$ we finally obtain the inequality in the lemma.

Theorem 3.3. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. Then

$$
\kappa(A)^{2} \chi(A)\|T\| \leq 36 \operatorname{com}(T)+\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T) \chi(A)
$$

and

$$
\kappa(A)^{5 / 2} \chi(A)\|T\| \leq 36(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))^{1 / 2}\|T\|^{1 / 2}+\frac{9}{2} \kappa(A)^{1 / 2} \operatorname{der}(T) \chi(A) .
$$

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ with $\|a\|=\|b\|=1$. We write $a d(a)$ for the inner derivation on $A$ implemented by $a$, i.e. $\operatorname{ad}(a)(x)=[a, x]$ for each $x \in A$. Since $(-a d(a) T+a d(T(a)))(b)=\frac{1}{2}[T(a+b), a+b]-\frac{1}{2}[T(a-b), a-b]$, it follows that $\| a d(a) T-a d(T a)) \| \leq 4 \operatorname{com}(T)$, and consequently $\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{ad}(a) T, \operatorname{Der}(A)) \leq$ $4 \operatorname{com}(T)$. On account of [1, Proposition 2.2], we have

$$
\operatorname{der}(a d(a) T) \leq 3 \operatorname{dist}(a d(a) T, \operatorname{Der}(A)) \leq 12 \operatorname{com}(T)
$$

and Theorem 2.1 now yields

$$
\kappa(A)^{2}\|a d(a)\|\|T\| \leq 36 \operatorname{com}(T)+\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T)\|a d(a)\| .
$$

Taking the supremum in $a$ we arrive at the first inequality in the theorem. From this inequality together with Lemma 3.2 we get the second inequality in the theorem.

Corollary 3.4. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. Then

$$
\kappa(A)^{2} \min \{\chi(A),\|T\|\} \leq \frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T)+6 \kappa(A) \sqrt{\operatorname{com}(T)}
$$

and

$$
\kappa(A)^{5 / 4} \min \left\{\chi(A),\|T\|^{1 / 2}\right\} \leq \sqrt{36(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))^{1 / 2}+\frac{9}{2} \kappa(A)^{1 / 2} \operatorname{der}(T)} .
$$

Proof. Of course, we can assume that $\kappa(A), \chi(A),\|T\| \neq 0$.
By applying the first inequality in Theorem 3.3 we arrive at

$$
1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\chi(A)\|T\|}+\frac{\beta}{\|T\|},
$$

where $\alpha=36 \operatorname{com}(T) \kappa(A)^{-2}$ and $\beta=\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T) \kappa(A)^{-2}$. Write $\lambda=\min \{\chi(A),\|T\|\}$. Then $1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\lambda}$ and therefore $\lambda^{2}-\beta \lambda-\alpha \leq 0$, which implies that

$$
\lambda \leq \frac{\beta+\sqrt{\beta^{2}+4 \alpha}}{2} \leq \beta+\sqrt{\alpha}
$$

and this gives the first inequality in the corollary.
We now apply the second inequality in Theorem 3.3 to get

$$
1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\chi(A)\|T\|^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\beta}{\|T\|}
$$

where $\alpha=36(8 \operatorname{cen}(T)+\operatorname{der}(T))^{1 / 2} \kappa(A)^{-5 / 2}$ and $\beta=\frac{9}{2} \operatorname{der}(T) \kappa(A)^{-2}$. Let $\lambda=$ $\min \left\{\chi(A),\|T\|^{1 / 2}\right\}$. Then $1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{\lambda^{2}}+\frac{\beta}{\lambda^{2}}$, which implies $\lambda \leq \sqrt{\alpha+\beta}$ and this proves the second inequality in the corollary.
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