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#### Abstract

In 1991, Chen proposed a conjecture which is the relationship between biharmonic submanifolds and harmonic submanifolds in Euclidean space and quite a few related studies have supported it. Around the same time, it was proved that Chen's conjecture does not extend to submanifolds in Minkowski space. In this paper, as part of these researches, we investigate biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surfaces in Minkowski $m$-space and then construct some examples about them in which Chen's conjecture does not hold.


## 1. Introduction

In the middle of 1980s, Chen introduced the biharmonic submanifold in Euclidean space, which is the notion generated from the studies of the finite-type immersion of submanifolds in Euclidean space (see [5]): An isometric immersion $x$ of a Riemannian submanifold $M$ into a Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ is said to be biharmonic if it satisfies

$$
\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}
$$

where $\Delta$ and $\mathbf{0}$ denote the Laplace operator defined on $M$ and zero vector, respectively. And he proved that there are no biharmonic surfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{3}$ except the minimal ones (see [5]) and there exist no biharmonic submanifolds of $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ which lie in a hypersphere of $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ (see [7]). In [14, 15], Dimitric showed that biharmonic curves in Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ are part of straight lines (that is, minimal), biharmonic submanifolds of finite-type in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ are minimal, and pseudo umbilical submanifolds $M$ of $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ with $\operatorname{dim} M \neq 4$ are minimal. Based on these results, in 1991 Chen proposed the following:

Chen's Conjecture. Biharmonic submanifolds of Euclidean spaces are minimal.
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Since then, Chen's Conjceture has been investigated by some mathematicians for some submanifolds of Euclidean space. Hasanis and Vlachos showed that a biharmonic hypersurface in $\mathbb{E}^{4}$ is harmonic (see 20 ) and Fu showed that biharmonic hypersurfaces with 3 distinct principle curvatures in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ with arbitrary $m$ are harmonic (see [18, 19]). In [37], it was proved that this conjecture is true for $G$-invariant hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$. Although it has been proven for some cases, Chen's conjecture has not been verified yet, in general. Interestingly, in $[9,10]$ Chen et al. showed that biharmonic surfaces in pseudo-Euclidean 3 -spaces are minimal and that there exist proper biharmonic surfaces in 4 -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean spaces $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{4}$ (with index $s=1,2,3$ ). This means that his conjecture does not extend to submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean space, which indicates that biharmonic submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean space are worth studying (see [16, 17, 35, 36, 38]).

Meanwhile, since the concept of trapped surfaces was introduced by Penrose in [39], it has played an important role in general relativity, for example, the singularity theorems, the analysis of gravitational collapse, the cosmic censorship hypothesis, etc. In the theory of cosmic black holes, a marginally trapped surface was considered to separate the trapped surfaces from the untrapped ones and it is well known that the surface of a black hole is located by the marginally trapped surface. From the perspective of a differential geometry, a marginally trapped surface in pseudo-Euclidean space is a Riemannian surface whose mean curvature vector field is null at every point of the surface. In the last decade or so, many mathematicians have investigated marginally trapped surfaces in a specific pseudoEuclidean space with some geometric conditions (see [1, 2, 6, 11, 12, 21, 22]).

In 1966, Takahashi proved an eigenvalue problem of immersion $x: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^{m}$ of a Riemannian manifold $M$ into a Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^{m}$, namely, if $\Delta x=\lambda x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ holds on $M$, then $M$ is a minimal submanifold in Euclidean space or a minimal submanifold in a hypersphere of Euclidean space, where $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator defined on $M$ (see [40]). It was the cornerstone for studying minimal submanifolds with an algebraic condition.

In particular, ruled surfaces and ruled submanifolds in Euclidean space or pseudoEuclidean space, which are the typical and interesting objects in differential geometry, have been intensively studied and characterized in many researches (see [8, 13, 25, 30, 31, 34]). The Gauss map on submanifolds of Euclidean space or pseudo-Euclidean space gives some useful geometrical and topological properties on that submanifold. For that reasons, the Gauss map of ruled surfaces and ruled submanifolds in Euclidean space or pseudoEuclidean space, which satisfies some geometric properties, has been treated as the main subject of study (see $[3,23,24,27,29,32,33]$ ).

In this paper, we precisely give a parametrization of marginally trapped ruled surfaces in Minkowski $m$-space having harmonic Gauss map, which can be obtained naturally from previous our researches, and then we study biharmonic marginally trapped ruled
surfaces in Minkowski $m$-space associated with Chen's Conjecture. Most of all, we will characterize proper biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surfaces in Minkowski space, including constructing some examples.

All of geometric objects under consideration are smooth and submanifolds are assumed to be connected unless otherwise stated.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ be an $m$-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space of signature $(m-s, s)$ with the standard scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. For $m \geq 2$, in particular, $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{m}$ is called a Lorentz-Minkowski $m$-space or simply Minkowski $m$-space, which is denoted by $\mathbb{L}^{m}$. A vector $X$ of $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ is said to be space-like if $\langle X, X\rangle>0$ or $X=\mathbf{0}$, time-like if $\langle X, X\rangle<0$ and null (or light-like) if $\langle X, X\rangle=0$ and $X \neq \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{0}$ denotes zero vector. A time-like or null vector in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ is said to be causal. A curve in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ is said to be space-like, time-like or null if its tangent vector field is space-like, time-like or null, respectively.

Lemma 2.1. 32 There are no causal vectors in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ orthogonal to a time-like vector.
Lemma 2.2. 32 Two null vectors are orthogonal if and only if they are linearly dependent.

Let $x: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ be an isometric immersion of an $n$-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold $M$ into $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$. From now on, a submanifold in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ always means pseudo-Riemannian, that is, each tangent space of the submanifold is non-degenerate. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ and $\nabla$ the induced connection on $M$. Then, the Gauss formula is obtained by

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)
$$

for the vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$, where $h$ is the second fundamental form of $M$ in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$. The mean curvature vector field is defined by $H=\frac{1}{n}$ trace $h$. In other words, for every point $p \in M, H$ is given by

$$
H(p)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)
$$

where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M$ and $\varepsilon_{i}=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle= \pm 1$. We say that a submanifold $M$ in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold $N$ is marginally trapped (or pseudominimal) if its mean curvature vector is null for every point of $M$.

Let $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ be a local standard coordinate system of $M$ in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$. For the components $g_{i j}$ of the pseudo-Riemannian metric on $M$ induced from that of $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$, we denote
by $\left(g^{i j}\right)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{G}$ ) the inverse matrix (respectively, the determinant) of the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$. The Laplacian (or Laplace operator) $\Delta$ on $M$ is then defined by

$$
\Delta=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|}} \sum_{i, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\sqrt{|\mathcal{G}|} g^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\right)
$$

A pseudo-Riemannian submanifold $M$ of an $m$-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ is said to be of harmonic if its position vector $x$ satisfies

$$
\Delta x=\mathbf{0} .
$$

For an adapted local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ such that $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are tangent to $M$ and $e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \ldots, e_{m}$ normal to $M$, the map $G: M \rightarrow G(n, m) \subset \mathbb{E}^{N}$ $\left(N={ }_{m} C_{n}\right)$ defined by $G(p)=\left(e_{1} \wedge e_{2} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n}\right)(p)$ is called the Gauss map of $M$ that is a smooth map which carries a point $p$ in $M$ into an oriented $n$-plane passing through the origin in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$ obtained from the parallel translation of the tangent space of $M$ at $p$ in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$, where $G(n, m)$ is the Grassmann manifold consisting of all oriented $n$-planes through the origin of $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{m}$. An indefinite scalar product $\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle$ on $G(n, m) \subset \mathbb{E}^{N}$ is defined by

$$
\left\langle\left\langle e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{n}}, e_{j_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{j_{n}}\right\rangle\right\rangle=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\langle e_{i_{l}}, e_{j_{k}}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where $l, k$ run over the range $1,2, \ldots, n$.
A non-degenerate ( $r+1$ )-dimensional submanifold $M$ in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ is called a ruled submanifold if $M$ is foliated by $r$-dimensional totally geodesic submanifolds $E(s, r)$ of $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ along a regular curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ on $M$ defined on an open interval $I$. Then, a ruled submanifold $M$ in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ can be parameterized by

$$
x=x\left(s, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)=\alpha(s)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} t_{i} e_{i}(s), \quad s \in I, t_{i} \in I_{i}
$$

where $I_{i}$ 's are some open intervals for $i=1, \ldots, r$. For every $s, E(s, r)$ is open in $\operatorname{Span}\left\{e_{1}(s), e_{2}(s), \ldots, e_{r}(s)\right\}$ that is the linear span of linearly independent vector fields $e_{1}(s), e_{2}(s), \ldots, e_{r}(s)$ along the curve $\alpha$. Here, we assume $E(s, r)$ are either non-degenerate or degenerate for all $s$ along $\alpha$. We call $E(s, r)$ the rulings and $\alpha$ the base curve of the ruled submanifold $M$. The ruled submanifold $M$ is said to be cylindrical if $E(s, r)$ is parallel along $\alpha$, or non-cylindrical otherwise.

Remark 2.3. 26] (1) If the rulings of $M$ are non-degenerate, then the base curve $\alpha$ can be chosen to be orthogonal to the rulings as follows: Let $V$ be a unit vector field on $M$ which is orthogonal to the rulings. Then $\alpha$ can be taken as an integral curve of $V$.
(2) If the rulings are degenerate, we can choose a null base curve which is transversal to the rulings: Let $V$ be a null vector field on $M$ which is not tangent to the rulings. An integral curve of $V$ can be the base curve.

In [4], Barbosa et al. chose a frame along a base curve on a ruled submanifold in $\mathbb{E}^{m}$ satisfying a special property of a system of ordinary differential equations regarding a frame. Similarly, we have

Lemma 2.4. 25] Let $V(s)$ be a smooth l-dimensional non-degenerate distribution in the Minkowski $m$-space $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ along a curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$, where $l \geq 2$ and $m \geq 3$. Then, we can choose orthonormal vector fields $e_{1}(s), \ldots, e_{m-l}(s)$ along $\alpha$ which generate the orthogonal complement $V^{\perp}(s)$ satisfying $e_{i}^{\prime}(s) \in V(s)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m-l$.
3. The Gauss map of marginally trapped ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$

Let $M$ be an $(r+1)$-dimensional ruled submanifold in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ with non-degenerate rulings. By Remark 2.3, the base curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ can be chosen to be orthogonal to the rulings. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha$ is a unit speed curve, that is, $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$. From now on, the prime ${ }^{\prime}$ denotes $d / d s$ unless otherwise stated. By Lemma 2.4, we may choose vector fields $e_{1}(s), e_{2}(s), \ldots, e_{r}(s)$ along $\alpha$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}(s), e_{i}(s)\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle e_{i}(s), e_{j}(s)\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle e_{i}^{\prime}(s), e_{j}(s)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, r$. Then, a parametrization of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x\left(s, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)=\alpha(s)+\sum_{i=1}^{r} t_{i} e_{i}(s) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We always assume that the parametrization (3.2) satisfies condition (3.1). Because of (3.1), the Gauss map $G$ of $M$ is naturally expressed as

$$
G=\frac{1}{\left\|x_{s}\right\|} x_{s} \wedge x_{t_{1}} \wedge x_{t_{2}} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{t_{r}}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
G=\frac{1}{\sqrt{q}}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \wedge e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{r}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} t_{i} e_{i}^{\prime} \wedge e_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{r}\right)
$$

where $q$ is the function of $s, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{r}$ defined by $q=\left\langle x_{s}, x_{s}\right\rangle$.
In [27], the authors studied and characterized ruled submanifolds in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ with harmonic Gauss map. In particular, for ruled submanifolds with non-degenerate rulings in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$, it is shown:

Theorem 3.1. 27 Let $M$ be an $(r+1)$-dimensional ruled submanifold with non-degenerate rulings in the Minkowski m-space $\mathbb{L}^{m}$. Then, M has harmonic Gauss map if and only if
$M$ is part of either an $(r+1)$-plane or a ruled submanifold up to cylinders over a certain submanifold with the parametrization given by

$$
x\left(s, t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)=f(s) \mathbf{N}+s \mathbf{E}+\sum_{j=1}^{r} t_{j}\left(p_{j}(s) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{F}_{j}\right)
$$

for some polynomials $f$ and $p_{j}$ in $s$ with $\operatorname{deg} f \leq 2$, $\operatorname{deg} p_{j} \leq 1$ and some constant vector fields $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}_{j}$ with $\langle\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}\rangle=1,\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{E}\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{F}_{j}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}_{j}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{j}, \mathbf{F}_{i}\right\rangle=$ $\delta_{j i}$ for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, r$. In particular, if $\operatorname{deg} p_{j}=0$ for all $j$, then $M$ is cylindrical and otherwise $M$ is non-cylindrical.

Remark 3.2. We should point out that some detailed properties for the polynomials $f$ and $p_{j}$ in $s$ were mistakenly dropped for $j=1, \ldots, r$ in Theorem 3.6 of [27].

Thus, Theorem 3.1 implies that if $M$ is non-planar, then the mean curvature vector field $H$ of $M$ vanishes if $\operatorname{deg} f<2$ and is a null constant vector field if $\operatorname{deg} f=2$. Therefore, we have

Theorem 3.3. Let $M$ be an $(r+1)$-dimensional non-planar ruled submanifold with nondegenerate rulings in the Minkowski m-space $\mathbb{L}^{m}$. If $M$ has harmonic Gauss map, then $M$ is minimal or marginally trapped.

## 4. Biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$

In this section, we consider biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$. Let $M$ be a biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surface in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ parameterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t \beta(s) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=0
$$

Then, $\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}$. By definition, the Laplacian $\Delta$ of $M$ is expressed as

$$
\Delta=\frac{1}{2 q^{2}} \frac{\partial q}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}-\frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}-\frac{1}{2 q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}
$$

Since $\Delta x=-2 H$, the mean curvature vector field $H$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
H=\frac{1}{2 q^{2}}\{ & \left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \beta\right)+\left(2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\beta^{\prime \prime}-u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}+2 u^{2} \beta+w \beta\right) t  \tag{4.2}\\
& \left.+\left(w \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}-\frac{w^{\prime}}{2} \alpha^{\prime}-u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}+3 u w \beta\right) t^{2}+\left(w \beta^{\prime \prime}-\frac{w^{\prime}}{2} \beta^{\prime}+w^{2} \beta\right) t^{3}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

which is null at each point of $M$, where $u(s)=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$ and $w(s)=\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

Lemma 4.1. If $M$ is a biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surface in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ parameterized by (4.1), then the functions $u=u(s)$ and $w=w(s)$ are constant on $M$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\partial q}{\partial s}=0 \quad \text { on } M
$$

Proof. From 4.2), equation $\langle H, H\rangle=0$ gives a polynomial in $t$ of degree 6 with functions of $s$ as coefficients, which is vanishing everywhere on $M$. Then, by considering the coefficient functions of this polynomial, we can get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=u^{2}, \quad\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=u w, \quad\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+w^{2}, \\
u^{\prime} w^{\prime}=2 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} w . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equation ' $u$ ' $w^{\prime}=2 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ ' of (4.3) yields

$$
u^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}-2 u u^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

We consider the open set $U=\left\{s \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \mid u^{\prime} \neq 0\right\}$ and suppose that $U$ is non-empty. Here dom $\alpha$ means the domain of $\alpha$. Then, $w^{\prime}=2 u u^{\prime}$ on $U$. With the help of ' $\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} w$ ', of (4.3), it follows that

$$
w=u^{2} \quad \text { on } U
$$

and hence $\Delta x$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta x=-\frac{1}{(1+u t)^{4}}\{ & \left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \beta\right)+\left(2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\beta^{\prime \prime}-u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}+3 u^{2} \beta\right) t \\
& \left.+\left(u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}-u u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}-u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}+3 u^{3} \beta\right) t^{2}+\left(u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}-u u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}+u^{4} \beta\right) t^{3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By a straightforward computation, we get

$$
\Delta^{2} x=\frac{1}{(1+u t)^{7}} Q(s, t),
$$

where $Q(s, t)$ is a polynomial in $t$ of degree 4 with functions of $s$ as coefficients, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(s, t) \\
&=\left(u^{3} \beta+5 u u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}+4 u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime \prime} \beta+\alpha^{(4)}\right) \\
&+\left\{\left(u^{4}-3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \beta-\left(u^{3}+9 u^{2} u^{\prime}\right) \alpha^{\prime}+7 u u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}-4 u^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}-6 u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}+\beta^{(4)}\right\} t \\
&+\left\{3 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \beta+\left(9 u^{3} u^{\prime}+10 u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-2 u u^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 u^{4}\right) \alpha^{\prime}-\left(9 u^{2} u^{\prime}+u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime}\right. \\
&\left.+\left(15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+4 u u^{\prime \prime}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}-4 u^{\prime \prime} \beta^{\prime \prime}+6 u u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} t^{2} \\
&+\left\{6 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \beta+\left(18 u^{4} u^{\prime}-15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+10 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 u^{5}\right) \alpha^{\prime}\right. \\
&-\left(18 u^{3} u^{\prime}-10 u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}+2 u u^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime}+\left(15 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+8 u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime} \\
&\left.+\left(15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-8 u u^{\prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}+12 u^{2} u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}-12 u u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\} t^{3} \\
&+\left\{u^{7} \beta-\left(2 u^{4} u^{\prime}+15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-10 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}+u^{5}\right) \beta^{\prime}+\left(2 u^{5}+15 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
&\left.-6 u^{2} u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}+u^{3} \beta^{(4)}\right\} t^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $M$ is biharmonic, $Q(s, t)=\mathbf{0}$. Thus, the constant terms of (4.4) with respect to $t$ tell us

$$
\alpha^{(4)}=-\left(u^{3}+u^{\prime \prime}\right) \beta-5 u u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}-4 u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left\langle\alpha^{(4)}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=-5 u u^{\prime}+2 u\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=u^{\prime} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $\left\langle\alpha^{(4)}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=-3 u u^{\prime}$ with the help of $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=1$ and $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=u^{2}$. In (4.4), we multiply the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{2}$ with $-2 u$ and then add the equation obtained in such a way to the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{3}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-10 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}+3 u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 u^{5}\right) \alpha^{\prime}+10 u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \beta^{\prime}-15 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{0} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which allows us to have

$$
-15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}+3 u^{2} u^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 u^{5}+15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=0
$$

by taking the scalar product with $\alpha^{\prime}$. Putting (4.5) into the above equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=u^{3} . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the terms containing $t$ of (4.4) indicates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{(4)}=\left(3\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-u^{4}\right) \beta+\left(u^{3}+9 u^{2} u^{\prime}\right) \alpha^{\prime}-7 u u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}+4 u^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}+6 u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.8) into the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{4}$ of (4.4), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 u^{3}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \beta+\left(u^{6}+9 u^{5} u^{\prime}\right) \alpha^{\prime}+\left(10 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}-9 u^{4} u^{\prime}-15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-u^{5}\right) \beta^{\prime}  \tag{4.9}\\
+ & 4 u^{3} u^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\left(15 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 u^{2} u^{\prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}+6 u^{3} u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}-6 u^{2} u^{\prime} \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\mathbf{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Multiplying the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{2}$ of (4.4) with $-u^{2}$ and then comparing the equation obtained in such a way and 4.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
10 u^{2} u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime}+\left(15\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{3}-10 u u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}\right) \beta^{\prime}+15 u^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-15 u\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{0} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.10), we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}=u \alpha^{\prime} \quad \text { on } U \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $u^{\prime} \neq 0$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime \prime}=u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}+u \alpha^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=u^{\prime \prime} \alpha^{\prime}+2 u^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (4.7), 4.11) and (4.12), the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{2}$ of (4.4) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}=-u \beta \quad \text { on } U \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $u^{\prime} \neq 0$. Using (4.11) and (4.13), we have $H=\mathbf{0}$ of (4.2) on $U$, which contradicts to the character of $H$. Therefore, we see that $U=\emptyset$, which means that $u^{\prime}$ is identically zero on $M$. From $\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{2}=4\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2} w$ of (4.3), it is obvious that $w^{\prime}=0$. Clearly, $\frac{\partial q}{\partial s}=0$ on M.

By Lemma 4.1, $\Delta x$ and $\Delta^{2} x$ are reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta x=-\frac{1}{q^{2}}\left\{\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \beta\right)+\left(2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\beta^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 u^{2}+w\right) \beta\right) t\right.  \tag{4.14}\\
&\left.+\left(w \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}+3 u w \beta\right) t^{2}+\left(w \beta^{\prime \prime}+w^{2} \beta\right) t^{3}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{2} x=\frac{1}{q^{3}}\{ & \left(6 u^{3}-5 u w\right) \beta+\left(6 u^{2}-2 w\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}-2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{(4)} \\
& +\left(\left(8 u^{2} w-5 w^{2}\right) \beta+8 u w \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\left(2 u^{2}-4 w\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}+2 u \alpha^{(4)}+\beta^{(4)}\right) t \\
& +\left(3 u w^{2} \beta+4 w^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u w \beta^{\prime \prime}+w \alpha^{(4)}+2 u \beta^{(4)}\right) t^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(w^{3} \beta+2 w^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}+w \beta^{(4)}\right) t^{3}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Here, we denote the numerator of $\Delta^{2} x$ by $R(s, t)$, which is a polynomial in $t$ of degree 3 with functions of $s$ as coefficients. Since $\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}$, the constant terms and the coefficients of the terms containing $t$ of $R(s, t)$ induce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{(4)}=\left(5 u w-6 u^{3}\right) \beta+\left(2 w-6 u^{2}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{(4)}=\left(12 u^{4}+5 w^{2}-18 u^{2} w\right) \beta+\left(12 u^{3}-12 u w\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}+\left(4 w-6 u^{2}\right) \beta^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Using 4.15) and 4.16), the coefficients of the terms containing $t^{2}$ and $t^{3}$ of $R(s, t)$ are rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 u\left(u^{2}-w\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}=\left(4 u^{5}-7 u^{3} w+3 u w^{2}\right) \beta+\left(4 u^{4}-5 u^{2} w+w^{2}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(u^{2}-w\right) \beta^{\prime \prime}=\left(2 u^{4} w-3 u^{2} w^{2}+w^{3}\right) \beta+\left(2 u^{3} w-2 u w^{2}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. We multiply 4.17 with $u$ and 4.18 with $2 u$, respectively, and compare the two equations obtained in such a way. Then, it follows that

$$
w^{2}\left(u^{2}-w\right)\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \beta\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

If the constant $w^{2}\left(u^{2}-w\right) \neq 0$, we have $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=-u \beta$ and then 4.18) gives $\beta^{\prime \prime}=-w \beta$. Thus, we have $\Delta x=\mathbf{0}$ of (4.14), which means that $H=\mathbf{0}$, a contradiction. Therefore, we see that $w^{2}\left(u^{2}-w\right)=0$.

Lemma 4.2. If $M$ is a biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surface in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ parameterized by (4.1), then $w=u^{2}$ on $M$.

Proof. Since $u$ and $w$ are constant satisfying $w^{2}\left(u^{2}-w\right)=0$, we have either $w=u^{2}$ or $w \neq u^{2}$ on $M$. We suppose that $w \neq u^{2}$. Then, $w=0$ on $M$ and thus $u \neq 0$. In this case, (4.15) and (4.16) are reduced to

$$
\alpha^{(4)}=-6 u^{3} \beta-6 u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{(4)}=12 u^{4} \beta+12 u^{3} \alpha^{\prime \prime}-6 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime},
$$

respectively, which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{(4)}=-2 u \alpha^{(4)}-2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.17) is simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime \prime}=2 u^{2} \beta+2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and therefore } \quad \beta^{(4)}=2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}+2 u \alpha^{(4)} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of $q_{s}=0$. Comparing (4.19) and (4.20) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{(4)}=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha^{(4)}=-u \beta^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the derivatives of the vector field $\beta$ can be put as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=\mathbf{D}, \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\mathbf{D} s+\mathbf{C} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime}(s)=\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} s^{2}+\mathbf{C} s+\mathbf{F} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant vector fields $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{F}$. Since $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+w^{2}$ of 4.3, we have $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$ for all $s$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}\rangle=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $w=\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$, we get $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ for all $s$ and therefore we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=\langle\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=0 . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (4.23) and (4.24), $w=0$ guarantees

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=0 . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we note that $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$. If the interior $W_{o}$ of the set $W=\{s \in$ $\left.\operatorname{dom} \alpha \mid \beta^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{0}\right\}$ is non-empty, then 4.20 indicates $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=-u \beta$ on $W_{o}$, which implies that $\Delta x=\mathbf{0}$ of 4.14), a contradiction. Therefore, the vector field $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is non-vanishing for all $s$, i.e., $\beta^{\prime \prime}$ is null for all $s$. Thus, at least one of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{C}$ is a null constant vector field. With the aid of 4.23, 4.24) and 4.25, we see that $\mathbf{D} \wedge \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{D} \wedge \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{C} \wedge \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{0}$. As a result, we can put as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}=g_{1}(s) \mathbf{N} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}=g_{2}(s) \mathbf{N} \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomials $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ in $s$ satisfying $g_{1}^{\prime}(s)=g_{2}(s)$ and constant null vector field $\mathbf{N}$ with $\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{D}=\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{0}$.

From equations $\beta^{\prime \prime}=2 u^{2} \beta+2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ of 4.20) and $\beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\mathbf{D} s+\mathbf{C}$ of 4.22, the vector field $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=-u \beta(s)+\frac{1}{2 u} \mathbf{D} s+\frac{1}{2 u} \mathbf{C} . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha^{(4)}=-u \beta^{\prime \prime}$ of (4.21) and $\beta^{\prime \prime}(s)=\mathbf{D} s+\mathbf{C}$ of 4.22, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=-\frac{1}{2} u \mathbf{D} s^{2}-u \mathbf{C} s+\mathbf{n}_{1} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant vector $\mathbf{n}_{1}$. Consequently, we get

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 u^{2}} \mathbf{D}+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} s^{2}+\mathbf{C} s-\frac{1}{u} \mathbf{n}_{1}
$$

which implies

$$
\mathbf{n}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 u} \mathbf{D}-u \mathbf{F}
$$

in comparison to (4.22). Since $\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{D}=\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{F}=\mathbf{0}$, it is obvious that $\mathbf{n}_{1} \wedge \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{0}$. Therefore, 4.28) gives

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=h(s) \mathbf{N}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=\left(\int h(s) d s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{2} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $h$ and constant vector $\mathbf{n}_{2}$. Considering 4.26) and 4.27), we can get $\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$ and hence $\left\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{n}_{2}\right\rangle=0$. Since $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=u^{2}$ of 4.3), 4.29) tells us $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{2}\right\rangle=$ $u^{2}$.

We note that $\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=0$ and $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1$. From 4.26), we can put as

$$
\beta(s)=\left(\int g_{1}(s) d s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{3}
$$

which implies that $\left\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{3}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle=1$. Together with 4.26) and 4.29), equation $\beta^{\prime \prime}=2 u^{2} \beta+2 u \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ of 4.20) can be rewritten as

$$
g_{2}(s) \mathbf{N}=2 u^{2}\left(\int g_{1}(s) d s \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{3}\right)+2 u\left(\int h(s) d s \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{2}\right)
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{2}(s)-2 u^{2} \int g_{1}(s) d s-2 u \int h(s) d s\right) \mathbf{N}=2 u^{2} \mathbf{n}_{3}+2 u \mathbf{n}_{2} . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the scalar product to 4.30 with itself gives

$$
0=4 u^{4}\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{3}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle+8 u^{3}\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{3}, \mathbf{n}_{2}\right\rangle+4 u^{2}\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{2}\right\rangle,
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{3}, \mathbf{n}_{2}\right\rangle=-u \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $\Gamma(s)=\int h(s) d s$ of 4.29), then

$$
\alpha^{\prime}(s)=\left(\int \Gamma(s) d s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{2} s+\mathbf{n}_{4}
$$

for some constant vector $\mathbf{n}_{4}$. Here, $\left\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{n}_{4}\right\rangle=0$ because of $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$. Thus,

$$
0=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=\left\langle\int \Gamma(s) d s \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{2} s+\mathbf{n}_{4}, \int g(s) d s \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle s+\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{4}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle
$$

which implies that $\left\langle\mathbf{n}_{2}, \mathbf{n}_{3}\right\rangle=0$, i.e., $u=0$ of 4.31), a contradiction. Therefore, we have $w=u^{2}$ on $M$.

By Lemma 4.2, we divide the problem into two cases which are $u=0$ or $u \neq 0$.
Case 1. Suppose that $u=w=0$. By (4.3), 4.15) and (4.16), it gives

$$
\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\alpha^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha^{(4)}=\beta^{(4)}=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Therefore, we can put as

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}=\mathbf{A}, \quad \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{A} s+\mathbf{A}_{1}, \quad \beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\mathbf{B}, \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}=\mathbf{B} s+\mathbf{B}_{1}
$$

for some constant vector fields $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{B}_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}\rangle & =\left\langle\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_{1}\right\rangle=\langle\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{1}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{B}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{B}_{1}\right\rangle=\langle\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{B}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{B}_{1}, \mathbf{B}_{1}\right\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\left(a_{1} s+a_{2}\right) \mathbf{N} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime \prime}=\left(b_{1} s+b_{2}\right) \mathbf{N}
$$

for some null constant vector field $\mathbf{N}$ with $\mathbf{N} \wedge \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{0}$ and constants $a_{i}, b_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2$. In this case, the mean curvature vector field $H$ is given by $H(s, t)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)+t \beta^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)$, which is null for all $s$ and $t$, so at least one of $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ is non-zero. And, we have

$$
\alpha^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2} a_{1} s^{2}+a_{2} s+a_{3}\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{F}_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{1} s^{2}+b_{2} s+b_{3}\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{F}_{2}
$$

for some constants $a_{3}, b_{3}$ and constant vector fields $\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{2}$. Since $\alpha$ is a space-like curve, we see that

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{1}\right\rangle=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

From $w=\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right\rangle=0, \beta^{\prime}$ is vanishing or null for $s$. Therefore, we can put as

$$
\beta^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2} b_{1} s^{2}+b_{2} s+b_{3}\right) \mathbf{N} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\left(\frac{1}{6} b_{1} s^{3}+\frac{1}{2} b_{2} s^{2}+b_{3} s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{F}
$$

for some constant vector field $\mathbf{F}$. Since $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1$ for all $s$, we have

$$
\langle\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=1 \quad \text { and } \quad\langle\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{N}\rangle=0
$$

Furthermore, we get $\left\langle\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}_{1}\right\rangle=0$ because of $\left\langle\alpha^{\prime}, \beta\right\rangle=0$.
Therefore, we can parameterize $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(s, t)=\alpha(s)+t \beta(s)=\left(p_{1}(s)+t p_{2}(s)\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{F}_{1} s+\mathbf{D}+t \mathbf{F} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are polynomials in $s$ with $2 \leq \operatorname{deg} p_{1} \leq 3$ and $\operatorname{deg} p_{2} \leq 3$, respectively, and $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{F}$ are constant vector fields such that

$$
\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}_{1}\right\rangle=\langle\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{F}_{1}\right\rangle=\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{F}\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{F}_{1}, \mathbf{F}\right\rangle=0
$$

From this, $M$ is cylindrical if $\operatorname{deg} p_{2}=0$ and otherwise, $M$ is non-cylindrical.
Case 2. If $u w \neq 0, \Delta x$ and $\Delta^{2} x$ are given by

$$
\Delta x=-\frac{1}{(1+u t)^{2}}\left\{\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}+u \beta\right)+t\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{2} \beta\right)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\Delta^{2} x=\frac{1}{(1+u t)^{4}}\left\{\left(\alpha^{(4)}-2 u \beta^{\prime \prime}+4 u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+u^{3} \beta\right)+t\left(\beta^{(4)}+2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{4} \beta\right)\right\}
$$

respectively. Since $\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{(4)}=-u^{3} \beta-4 u^{2} \alpha^{\prime \prime}+2 u \beta^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{(4)}=-u^{4} \beta-2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation $\beta^{(4)}=-u^{4} \beta-2 u^{2} \beta^{\prime \prime}$ of (4.33) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{2} \beta\right)^{\prime \prime}=-u^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{2} \beta\right)
$$

which indicates that

$$
\beta^{\prime \prime}+u^{2} \beta=\cos (u s) \mathbf{b}_{1}+\sin (u s) \mathbf{b}_{2}
$$

for some constant vectors $\mathbf{b}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{b}_{2}$, from which,

$$
\beta(s)=\cos (u s) \mathbf{a}_{1}+\sin (u s) \mathbf{a}_{2}+s \cos (u s) \mathbf{a}_{3}+s \sin (u s) \mathbf{a}_{4}
$$

for some constant vectors $\mathbf{a}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 4$. Since $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=1$ for all $s$, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{1}\right\rangle-1\right) \cos ^{2}(u s)+2\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}\right\rangle \cos (u s) \sin (u s)+\left(\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{2}\right\rangle-1\right) \sin ^{2}(u s) \\
+ & 2\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right\rangle s \cos ^{2}(u s)+2\left(\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\rangle+\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right\rangle\right) s \cos (u s) \sin (u s)+2\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\rangle s \sin ^{2}(u s) \\
+ & \left\langle\mathbf{a}_{3}, \mathbf{a}_{3}\right\rangle s^{2} \cos ^{2}(u s)+2\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{3}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\rangle s^{2} \cos (u s) \sin (u s)+\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{4}, \mathbf{a}_{4}\right\rangle s^{2} \sin ^{2}(u s)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

With the help of the Wronskian, we see that all the constant coefficients of the above vanish. Thus, $\beta$ can be put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(s)=\cos (u s) \mathbf{a}_{1}+\sin (u s) \mathbf{a}_{2}+s(\cos (u s)+c \sin (u s)) \mathbf{N} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$ and a constant null vector $\mathbf{N}$ with $\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=0$. Here, unit space-like vectors $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{2}$ are orthogonal.

On the other hand, combining two equations of 4.33) yields $\beta^{(4)}-u \alpha^{(4)}=-4 u^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}-\right.$ $u \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ ), i.e.,

$$
\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime \prime}=-4 u^{2}\left(\beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

which gives

$$
\beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\cos (2 u s) \mathbf{m}_{1}+\sin (2 u s) \mathbf{m}_{2}
$$

for some constant vectors $\mathbf{m}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{m}_{2}$. We note that $\left\langle\beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$ by virtue of (4.3). Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$
\beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\left(\widetilde{c_{1}} \cos (2 u s)+\widetilde{c_{2}} \sin (2 u s)\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{N}}
$$

for some constants $\widetilde{c_{1}}, \widetilde{c_{2}}$ and a constant vector $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}$, which is null or zero. Since $\left\langle\beta^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.u \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}-u \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle\beta^{\prime}-u \alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime \prime}-u \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime}-u \alpha^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\widetilde{c_{1}}}{2 u} \sin (2 u s)-\frac{\widetilde{c_{2}}}{2 u} \cos (2 u s)+\widetilde{c_{3}}\right) \widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\widetilde{c_{3}}$. Taking the scalar product to both sides of 4.35) with $\beta$, we can get $\langle\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \beta\rangle=0$. Together with 4.34) and equation $\langle\widetilde{\mathbf{N}}, \beta\rangle=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\tilde{\mathbf{N}}, \mathbf{N}\rangle=0, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{N}} \wedge \mathbf{N}=\mathbf{0} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the linear independence of the functions ' $\cos (u s)^{\prime}$, ' $\sin (u s)$ ', ' $s \cos (u s)$ ' and ' $s \sin (u s)$ ' for all $s$. With the help of (4.34), 4.35) and (4.36), a parametrization of $M$ is then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
x(s, t)= & \alpha(s)+t \beta(s) \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{u}+t\right) \beta+\left(c_{1} \sin (2 u s)+c_{2} \sin (2 u s)+c_{3} s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{D}  \tag{4.37}\\
= & \left(\frac{1}{u}+t\right)\left\{\cos (u s) \mathbf{a}_{1}+\sin (u s) \mathbf{a}_{2}+s(\cos (u s)+c \sin (u s)) \mathbf{N}\right\} \\
& +\left(c_{1} \sin (2 u s)+c_{2} \sin (2 u s)+c_{3} s\right) \mathbf{N}+\mathbf{D}
\end{align*}
$$

for some constants $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and constant vectors $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{D}$ satisfying

$$
\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{a}_{2}\right\rangle=1, \quad\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathbf{a}_{2}, \mathbf{N}\right\rangle=\langle\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}\rangle=0
$$

Conversely, by a direct computation, we can see easily that ruled surfaces parameterized by (4.32) or 4.37) are marginally trapped and $\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}$. Consequently, we have

Theorem 4.3. A marginally trapped ruled surface $M$ in $\mathbb{L}^{m}$ is biharmonic if and only if $M$ is parameterized by either 4.32) or 4.37).

Example 4.4. We consider the following vectors in $\mathbb{L}^{4}$ :

$$
\mathbf{N}=(2,1,1, \sqrt{2}), \quad \mathbf{F}_{1}=(1,0,0 \sqrt{2}) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{F}=\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) .
$$

Using these, we can construct a ruled surface $M$ in $\mathbb{L}^{4}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(s, t) & =\left(s^{3}+t s^{2}\right)(2,1,1, \sqrt{2})+s(1,0,0 \sqrt{2})+t\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0\right) \\
& =\left(2 s^{3}+s, s^{3}, s^{3}, \sqrt{2} s^{3}+\sqrt{2} s\right)+t\left(2 s^{2}, s^{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, s^{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{2} s^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By computation, we have

$$
\Delta x=(6 s+2 t)(2,1,1, \sqrt{2}) \quad \text { and hence } \quad \Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}
$$

which means that $M$ is biharmonic marginally trapped.
Example 4.5. Let $u$ be a non-zero real number. Define a vector field $\beta(s)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(s) & =\cos (u s) \mathbf{a}_{1}+\sin (u s) \mathbf{a}_{2}+s(\cos (u s)+2 \sin (u s)) \mathbf{N} \\
& =(s(\cos (u s)+2 \sin (u s)), s(\cos (u s)+2 \sin (u s)), \cos (u s), \sin (u s), 0),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{1}=(0,0,1,0,0), \mathbf{a}_{2}=(0,0,0,1,0)$ and $\mathbf{N}=(1,1,0,0,0)$. Define a curve $\alpha$ by

$$
\alpha(s)=\frac{1}{u} \beta+(\cos (2 u s)-\sin (2 u s)+2 s) \mathbf{N}+(1,2,3,4,5) .
$$

Then, we can obtain a biharmonic marginally trapped ruled surface in $\mathbb{L}^{5}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(s, t) & =\alpha(s)+t \beta(s) \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{u}+t\right) \beta(s)+(\cos (2 u s)-\sin (2 u s)+2 s) \mathbf{N}+(1,2,3,4,5) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, it satisfies

$$
\Delta x=-\frac{1}{(1+u t)^{2}}\left\{2(1+u t)(-\sin (u s)+2 \cos (u s))-4 u^{2}(\cos (2 u s)-\sin (2 u s))\right\} \mathbf{N}
$$

and

$$
\Delta^{2} x=\mathbf{0}
$$
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