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#### Abstract

Let $F(G), F_{t}(G), \beta(G)$, and $\beta^{\prime}(G)$ be the zero forcing number, the total forcing number, the vertex covering number and the edge covering number of a graph $G$, respectively. In this paper, we first completely characterize all trees $T$ with $F(T)=$ $(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1$, solving a problem proposed by Brimkov et al. in 2023. Next, we study the relationship between the zero (or total) forcing number of a tree and its edge covering number, and show that $F(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-1$ and $F_{t}(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)$ for any tree $T$ of order $n \geq 3$. Moreover, we also characterize all trees $T$ with $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$ and $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-2$, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

The graphs in this paper are undirected and simple. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $d_{G}(v)$ and $N_{G}(v)$ (or $d(v)$ and $N(v)$ for short) be the degree and the set of neighbors of $v$, respectively. Clearly, $d_{G}(v)=\left|N_{G}(v)\right|$. The maximum degree of $G$ is denoted by $\Delta(G)$ (or $\Delta$ for short). For $v \in V(G)$ (resp., $e \in E(G)$ ), let $G-v$ (resp., $G-e$ ) be the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the vertex $v$ (resp., the edge $e$ ). For a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the induced subgraph of $G$ by $S$, denoted by $G[S]$, is the graph with vertex set $S$, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are adjacent in $G$. A vertex (resp., edge) covering of a graph $G$ is a set of vertices (resp., edges) of $G$ such that every edge (resp., vertex) of $G$ is incident with at least one vertex (resp., edge) of the set. The minimum cardinality of a vertex (resp., edge) covering of $G$ is called the vertex (resp., edge) covering number, denoted by $\beta(G)$ (or $\beta^{\prime}(G)$ ). As usual, the star and the path of order $n$ are denoted by $K_{1, n-1}$, and $P_{n}$, respectively.

For a graph $G$, its vertices are colored with two different colors (white and black). Let $S \subseteq V(G)$ be the set of black vertices in $G$. If $u \in S$ and $v$ is the only white neighbor of $u$, then $u$ forces $v$ to turn into black (color change rule). The set $S$ is said to be a zero forcing set of $G$ if by iteratively applying the color change rule such that all vertices of $G$ become black. We also call such $S$ an $F$-set of $G$. The zero forcing number of $G$ is

[^0]the minimum cardinality of an F-set of $G$, denoted by $F(G)$. Moreover, an F-set $S$ of $G$ is called a total forcing set of $G$ if $G[S]$ contains no isolated vertex, we also call such $S$ a TF-set of $G$. The total forcing number of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a TF-set of graph $G$, denoted by $F_{t}(G)$. The zero (or total) forcing number of $G$ was introduced in [1, 6 and has been extensively studied in recent years, largely due to its connection to inverse eigenvalue problems for graphs and its applications to other problems. Up to now, there have been lots of research work on bounding the zero (or total) forcing number of a graph in terms of its various parameters [2, 3, 7, 14].

Let $\mathcal{T}_{n}$ be the set of trees of order $n$. In this paper, we study the relationship between the zero (or total) forcing number of a tree $T$ and its vertex (edge) covering number. First, we characterize all trees $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $F(T)=(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1$, solving a problem proposed by Brimkov [5]. Next, we prove that for any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3, F(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-1$ and $F_{t}(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)$. Moreover, we also characterize all trees with $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$ and $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-2$, respectively.
2. Zero forcing number and vertex covering number of a tree

Brimkov et al. [5] explored the following relationship between $F(G)$ and $\beta(G)$ for a connected graph $G$ with $\Delta(G) \geq 3$.

Theorem 2.1. 5 For any connected graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $F(G) \leq(\Delta-2) \beta(G)+1$.

In the same paper, they proposed a problem of characterizing all trees $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $F(T)=(\Delta(T)-2) \beta(T)+1$. In this section, we solve this problem. Before then, we need the following definitions and lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. [9] Let $P_{n}$ and $K_{1, n-1}$ be the path and the star of order $n$, respectively. Then
(1) $F\left(P_{n}\right)=1$ for $n \geq 2$ and $F\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=n-2$ for $n \geq 3$;
(2) $F_{t}\left(P_{n}\right)=2$ for $n \geq 2$ and $F_{t}\left(K_{1, n-1}\right)=n-1$ for $n \geq 3$.

Lemma 2.3. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, we have $F(T) \leq(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1$, where $\Delta$ is the maximum degree of $T$.

Proof. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, if $\Delta=2$, then $T \cong P_{n}$. Hence Lemma 2.2 implies that $F(T)=1$, the result follows. If $\Delta \geq 3$, then the result follows from Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.4. 13 Let $G$ be a graph obtained from a graph $H$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ with $n \geq 2$, by adding an edge to join a vertex of $H$ and the central vertex of $K_{1, n}$. Then $F(G)=F(H)+n-1$.

Suppose $G=K_{1, s}$ and $H=K_{1, t}$. The double star $S_{t, s}$ is obtained from $G$ and $H$ by adding an edge to join the central vertices of two stars. Clearly $\left|S_{t, s}\right|=t+s+2$.

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $1 \leq t \leq s$. Then

$$
F\left(S_{t, s}\right)= \begin{cases}s & \text { if } t=1 \\ s+t-2 & \text { if } t \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $s=1$, then $S_{1,1}=P_{4}$. Hence Lemma 2.2 implies that $F\left(S_{1,1}\right)=1=s$. If $s \geq 2$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
F\left(S_{t, s}\right)=F\left(K_{1, t}\right)+s-1= \begin{cases}s & \text { if } t=1 \\ s+t-2 & \text { if } t \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

as desired.
A pendant vertex (or leaf) in a graph $G$ is a vertex with degree 1 and the edge incident with it is a pendant edge. We call a vertex is a strong (or weak) support vertex of $G$ if it has at least two leaf neighbors (or only one leaf neighbor).

We now introduce a general operation called $k$-leaf support vertex addition on $G$, abbreviated $k$-LSVA. For a graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$, we define $k$-LSVA on $G$ to be the process of attaching to a vertex $v \in V(G)$ with $d_{G}(v) \leq k-1$ by a new vertex $w$, and then attaching $k$ leaves to $w$. Figure 2.1 is an example.

(a) $G$

(b) $G^{\prime}$

Figure 2.1: The star $G=K_{1,4}$ and the graph $G^{\prime}$ obtained by performing a 3-LSVA on $K_{1,4}$.

We use the standard notation $[\boldsymbol{h}]=\{1,2, \ldots, h\}$. For $k \geq 3$, let $\mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$be the set of trees of order $n$ obtained by starting with $K_{1, k}$ and applying as many $(k-1)$-LSVA as wanted. In other words, $\mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$is the family of all trees $T$ with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$ whose vertex set $V(T)$ can be partitioned into sets $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{h}\right)$ such that
(1) $T_{i}=G\left[V_{i}\right]$ for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}]$;
(2) $T_{1} \cong K_{1, \Delta}$ and $T_{i} \cong K_{1, \Delta-1}$ for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}] \backslash\{1\}$;
(3) for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}]$, the central vertex $v_{i}$ of the star $T_{i}$ has degree $\Delta$ in the tree $T$;
(4) $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$ is an independent set in $T$.

Thus, if $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$, then $n=h \Delta+1$ for some $h \geq 1$. In addition, we call the trees $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{h}$ the basic trees of $T$. Obviously, $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$ is a minimum vertex covering of $T$.

Lemma 2.6. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$, we have $F(T)=(\Delta-$ 2) $\beta(T)+1$.

Proof. Assuming $T$ is the tree obtained by applying $h-1$ times $(\Delta-1)$-LSVA starting from $K_{1, \Delta}$, where $\Delta \geq 3$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{h}$ be the basic trees of $T$ and $v_{i}$ be the central vertex of $T_{i}$ for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}]$. Clearly $\beta(T)=h$ since $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$ is a minimum vertex covering of $T$. Then Lemmas 2.4 and 2.2 imply that

$$
F(T)=F\left(K_{1, \Delta}\right)+(h-1) F\left(K_{1, \Delta-1}\right)=\Delta-1+(h-1)(\Delta-2)=(\Delta-2) h+1,
$$

as desired.
Lemma 2.7. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$, let $T^{\prime}$ be a tree obtained by adding an edge connecting a leaf of a basic tree in $T$ and a vertex of $P_{2}$. Then we have $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=F(T)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that in Lemma 2.6. Obviously, $\Delta\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\Delta(T)$. Without lost of generality, we divide $T^{\prime}$ into $h$ basic trees $T_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, T_{h}^{\prime}$, where $T_{i}^{\prime}=K_{1, \Delta-1}, i \in[\boldsymbol{h}-\mathbf{1}]$, and $T_{h}^{\prime}$ is a tree obtained by adding an edge connecting a leaf of $K_{1, \Delta}$ and a vertex of $P_{2}$. Since any $\Delta-1$ leaves in $T_{h}^{\prime}$ form a minimum F-set of $T_{h}^{\prime}, F\left(T_{h}^{\prime}\right)=\Delta-1$. By Lemmas 2.4 . 2.2 and 2.6, we have

$$
F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=F\left(T_{h}^{\prime}\right)+(h-1) F\left(K_{1, \Delta-1}\right)=\Delta-1+(h-1)(\Delta-2)=(\Delta-2) h+1=F(T)
$$

as desired.
Let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of a graph $G$. Recall that $\beta(G)$ and $\beta^{\prime}(G)$ are the vertex covering number and the edge covering number of $G$, respectively. Then $\beta(G)+\alpha(G)=n$ (see [4, Corollary 7.1]) and $\alpha(G) \leq \beta^{\prime}(G)$ for any connected graph $G$ of order $n$. Moreover, $\alpha(G)=\beta^{\prime}(G)$ when $G$ is a bipartite graph (see [4, Theorem 7.3]). Hence we have the following result for trees.

Lemma 2.8. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $\beta(T)=n-\beta^{\prime}(T)$.
For $u, v \in V(G)$, we use $P(u, v)$ to denote the shortest path from $u$ to $v$. The distance between $u$ and $v$ is the length of a shortest path $P(u, v)$ in $G$. And the diameter of $G$, denoted by $\operatorname{diam}(G)$, is the maximum distance among every pair of distinct vertices of $G$.

A rooted tree $T$ distinguishes one vertex $r$ called the root (see Figure 2.2). For each vertex $v \neq r$ of $T$, the parent of $v$ is the neighbor of $v$ on the unique $P(v, r)$, while a child of $v$ is any other neighbor of $v$.


Figure 2.2: Example of root tree $T$ with the root $r$.

Theorem 2.9. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, we have $F(T)=(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+} \cup\left\{P_{n}\right\}$.

Proof. The sufficient part follows from Lemmas 2.2 and 2.6. We shall show the necessary part by mathematical induction on $n$.

If $n=3$, then $T=P_{3}$. Hence we are done.
We assume that, for any tree $T^{\prime}$ of order $n^{\prime}, 3 \leq n^{\prime}<n, F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1$ implies $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{n^{\prime}}^{+} \cup\left\{P_{n^{\prime}}\right\}$, where $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, suppose $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ and $F(T)=(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1$, where $n \geq 4$. If $\Delta=2$, then $T=P_{n}$. We are done. If $\Delta \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{diam}(T)=2$, then $T=K_{1, \Delta-1} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$. We are done too. Thus, we only need to deal with $\Delta \geq 3$ and $\operatorname{diam}(T) \geq 3$.

If $\operatorname{diam}(T)=3$, then $T \cong S_{t, s}$, here $S_{t, s}$ is a double star, where $1 \leq t \leq s$ and $s \geq 2$. Let $x$ and $y$ be the (only) vertices in $S_{r, s}$ of degree greater than 1. Clearly $\beta\left(S_{t, s}\right)=2$ since $\{x, y\}$ is a minimum vertex covering of $S_{t, s}$. Then

$$
(\Delta-2) \beta\left(S_{t, s}\right)+1=2(s+1-2)+1=2 s-1
$$

From Lemma 2.4, we can see that $F\left(S_{t, s}\right)<2 s-1$. Thus $\operatorname{diam}(T)=3$ is not a case. Thus $\operatorname{diam}(T) \geq 4$.

Let $u, r \in V(T)$ such that $\operatorname{diam}(T)=d(u, r)$. Clearly, $u$ and $r$ are two leaves in $T$. Let $r$ be the root of $T$ and $P(u, r)=u v w x y \cdots r$. Note that $y=r$ when $\operatorname{diam}(T)=4$ and $y \neq r$ when $\operatorname{diam}(T)>4$.

Let $d_{T}(v)=t$. Clearly, $2 \leq t \leq \Delta$. Let $T_{v}$ be the subtree of $T$ which is induced by the vertex $v$ and its children. Let $T^{\prime}=T-V\left(T_{v}\right), n^{\prime}=\left|T^{\prime}\right|$ and $S^{\prime}$ be a minimum F-set of $T^{\prime}$. Clearly $T^{\prime}$ is a tree. Since $w, x, y$ are distinct vertices of $T^{\prime}, n^{\prime} \geq 3$.

Now, let us compute $\beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)$. Let $A$ be a minimum edge covering of $T$. Since every edge covering contains all pendant edges of $T$, then $E\left(T_{v}\right) \subseteq A$. If $v w \notin A$, then $A \backslash E\left(T_{v}\right)$ is an edge covering of $T^{\prime}$. Since the minimum property of $A$ and $E\left(T_{v}\right) \subseteq A$, then $A \backslash E\left(T_{v}\right)$ is a minimum edge covering of $T^{\prime}$. If $v w \in A$, then $A$ has no other edges incident with $w$.

Otherwise, assuming there is an edge incident with $w$, if necessary, the edge is $w x$. Then, $A \backslash\{v w\}$ is a smaller edge covering of $T$, a contradiction. Let $A^{\prime}=(A \backslash\{v w\}) \cup\{w x\}$. Then $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=|A|$ and $A^{\prime}$ is also a minimum edge covering. Since the minimum property of $A^{\prime}$ and $E\left(T_{v}\right) \subseteq A^{\prime}$, then $A^{\prime} \backslash E\left(T_{v}\right)$ is a minimum edge covering of $T^{\prime}$. In conclusion, $\beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-(t-1)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-t+1$ and $n^{\prime}=n-d_{T}(v)=n-t$. Then Lemma 2.8 implies that

$$
\beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)=n^{\prime}-\beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=n-\beta^{\prime}(T)-1=\beta(T)-1
$$

Recall that $2 \leq t \leq \Delta$ and $\Delta \geq 3$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the maximum degree of $T^{\prime}$.
(A) Suppose $t=2$. Let $S^{\prime}$ be an F-set of $T^{\prime}$ such that $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$. Then $S^{\prime} \cup\{v\}$ is an F-set of $T$. Hence $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1 \geq F(T)$. By the assumption and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1 & \geq F\left(T^{\prime}\right) \geq F(T)-1=(\Delta-2) \beta(T) \geq\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right)\left(\beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+\Delta^{\prime}-2 \geq\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1, \Delta^{\prime}=\Delta$ and $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1=F(T)$.
By induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime}=P_{n^{\prime}}$ or $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{n^{\prime}}^{+}$. Since $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta \geq 3, T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{n^{\prime}}^{+}$.
Since $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}, w$ is a leaf of a basis tree. And $T$ is the tree obtained by adding an edge connecting a leaf of a basic tree in $T^{\prime}$ and a vertex of $P_{2}$. Then by Lemma 2.7, we have $F(T)=F\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ which contradicts $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1=F(T)$.
(B) Suppose $t \geq 3$. From Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(T^{\prime}\right) & =F(T)-(t-2)=(\Delta-2) \beta(T)+1-t+2 \\
& =(\Delta-2)\left(\beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1\right)+1-t+2 \quad \quad\left(\text { since } \Delta \geq \Delta^{\prime} \text { and } \Delta \geq t\right) \\
& =(\Delta-2) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+\Delta+1-t \geq\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with Lemma 2.3 we have $F\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Delta^{\prime}-2\right) \beta\left(T^{\prime}\right)+1$ and $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}=t \geq 3$. By induction hypothesis, $T^{\prime}=P_{n^{\prime}}$ or $T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{n^{\prime}}^{+}$. Since $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta \geq 3, T^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{n^{\prime}}^{+}$.

Let $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots, T_{h}$ be the basic trees of $T^{\prime}$, where $T_{1}=K_{1, \Delta^{\prime}}$ and if $h \geq 2$, then $T_{i}=K_{1, \Delta^{\prime}-1}$ for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}] \backslash\{1\}$. Let $v_{i}$ be the central vertex of $T_{i}$. Then $d_{T^{\prime}}\left(v_{i}\right)=\Delta^{\prime}$ for $i \in[\boldsymbol{h}]$. Hence $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}\right\}$ is an independent set and a minimum vertex covering of $T^{\prime}$. Furthermore, $\beta(T)=h+1 \geq 2$.

Since $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}, w$ is a leaf of a basic tree of $T^{\prime}$. Let $v=v_{h+1}$ and $T_{h+1}=K_{1, \Delta-1}$. Then $T$ is obtained from $T^{\prime}$ by applying once $(\Delta-1)$-LSVA process. That is $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{+}$.

The proof is complete.
3. Zero (Total) forcing number and edge covering number of a tree

In this section, we study the relationship between the zero (total) forcing number of a tree and its edge covering number. Before then, we introduce some definitions and lemmas as follows.

The contraction of an edge $e=u v \in E(G)$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by replacing the vertices $u$ and $v$ by a new vertex and joining this new vertex to all vertices that are adjacent to $u$ or $v$ in $G$. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, the trimmed tree of $T$, denoted by $\operatorname{trim}(T)$, is the tree obtained from $T$ by iteratively contracting edges with one of its incident vertices of degree exactly 2 and with the other incident vertex of degree at most 2 until no such edge remains. For instance, $\operatorname{trim}\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{2}$ for $n \geq 2$. While if $T \neq P_{n}$, then every edge in $\operatorname{trim}(T)$ is incident with a vertex of degree at least 3 .

Lemma 3.1. 8 For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have
(1) $F(T)=F(\operatorname{trim}(T))$;
(2) $F_{t}(T)=F_{t}(\operatorname{trim}(T))$;
(3) both trees $T$ and $\operatorname{trim}(T)$ have the same number of leaves.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a graph obtained from a graph $H$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ with $n \geq 2$, by adding an edge to join a vertex of $H$ and the central vertex of $K_{1, n}$. Then $F_{t}(G) \leq$ $F_{t}(H)+n$.

Proof. Let $S_{1}$ be a minimum TF-set of $H$ and $S_{2}$ be a set containing the central vertex and $n-1$ leaves of $K_{1, n}$. Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $S_{2}$ is a minimum TF-set of $K_{1, n}$. Hence, $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ is a TF-set of $G$. So $F_{t}(G) \leq\left|S_{1}\right|+\left|S_{2}\right|=F_{t}(H)+n$, as desired.

In particular, when $H$ is a tree, in view of Lemma 2.8 and the discussion in Theorem 2.9, we then have the following result.

Lemma 3.3. Let $T$ be a tree obtained from a tree $T^{\prime}$ and a star $K_{1, n}$ with $n \geq 2$, by adding an edge to join a vertex of $T^{\prime}$ and the central vertex of $K_{1, n}$. Then $\beta^{\prime}(T)=\beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+n$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $G$ be a connected graph of order at least 3 and $e=u v \in E(G)$. If $H$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by contracting e, then $\beta^{\prime}(H) \leq \beta^{\prime}(G)$.

Proof. Let $x$ be the resulting new vertex in $H$ after contracting $e$. Since the order of $G$ is at least 3, without loss of generality, we assume $d(u) \geq 2$ and let $w$ be another neighbor of $u$ rather than $v$. Thus $x w \in E(H)$.

Let $A$ be a minimum edge covering of $G$. If $u v \in A$, then let $A^{\prime}=(A \backslash\{u v\}) \cup\{x w\}$. If $u v \notin A$, then let $A^{\prime}=A$.

Clearly $A^{\prime}$ is an edge covering of $H$ and $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \leq|A|$ (since $v w \in E(G)$ may be in $A$ and it is the same edge $x w \in E(H))$. Thus $\beta^{\prime}(H) \leq \beta^{\prime}(G)$.

Corollary 3.5. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $\beta^{\prime}(\operatorname{trim}(T)) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)$.

Lemma 3.6. [9] If $G$ is an isolate-free graph, then every vertex $v$ of $G$ with at least two leaf neighbors is contained in every TF-set, and all except possibly one leaf neighbor of $v$ is contained in every TF-set.

Theorem 3.7. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, we have $F_{t}(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)$.
Proof. We shall prove this theorem by mathematical induction on $n$.
If $n=3$, then $T=P_{3}$. Thus the result follows from Lemma 2.2 since $\beta^{\prime}\left(P_{3}\right)=2$.
Assume that $F\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ holds for any $T^{\prime}$ of order $n^{\prime}$, where $3 \leq n^{\prime}<n$.
Now let $T$ be a tree of order $n \geq 4$. If $T=P_{n}$, then Lemma 2.2 implies that $F_{t}\left(P_{n}\right)=2$. Thus we have $F_{t}\left(P_{n}\right) \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(P_{n}\right)$ as $\beta^{\prime}\left(P_{n}\right) \geq 2$. In what follows, we assume that $T \neq P_{n}$. We now consider the following two cases.
(a) $T=\operatorname{trim}(T)$. The tree $T$ is obtained from a tree $T^{\prime}$ and a star $K_{1, k}$ with $k \geq 2$, by adding an edge to join a vertex of $T^{\prime}$ and the central vertex of $K_{1, k}$. By induction hypothesis and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 , we then have

$$
F_{t}(T) \leq F_{t}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+k \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)+k=\beta^{\prime}(T),
$$

as desired.
(b) $T \neq \operatorname{trim}(T)$. Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.5 imply that

$$
F_{t}(T)=F_{t}(\operatorname{trim}(T)) \leq \beta^{\prime}(\operatorname{trim}(T)) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T),
$$

as desired. This completes the proof.
A tree $T$ is called a spider with $k$ legs, where $k \geq 2$, if $\Delta(T)=k$ and $T$ contains only one vertex of degree $k$. This vertex is called the core of the spider. Let $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ be the spider of $k$ legs shown in Figure 3.1, where $v$ is its core and $n_{1} \geq n_{2} \geq \cdots \geq n_{k} \geq 1$. We shall adopt a spider has only 2 legs. In this case, the spider $T\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)$ is a path of length $n_{1}+n_{2}$.


Figure 3.1: The tree $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$.

Note that $\operatorname{trim}\left(T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)=K_{1, k}$. By Lemmas 2.2 and 3.1 we have
Lemma 3.8. If $T$ is a spider with $k \geq 2$ legs, then $F(T)=k-1$.
Combining this with Lemma 2.4, we then have the following result.
Lemma 3.9. Let $G$ be a graph obtained from a graph $H$ and a spider $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$, by adding an edge to join a vertex of $H$ and the core of $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right), k \geq 2$. Then $F(G)=F(H)+k-1$.

Lemma 3.10. [8] For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $F_{t}(T) \geq F(T)+1$.
Lemma 3.11. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 3$, we have $F(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-1$.
Proof. The result follows form Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.10.
Lemma 3.12. For an isolate-free graph $G$ with $k \geq 1$ strong support vertices, we have $F_{t}(G) \geq F(G)+k$.

Proof. Let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ be strong support vertices of $G$. Assume that $S$ is a minimum TFset of $G$ with $|S|=F_{t}(G)$. By Lemma 3.6, we have $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\} \subseteq S$ and there is a leaf neighbor, say $x_{i}$, of $v_{i}$ such that $x_{i} \in S$ for each $i \in[\boldsymbol{k}]$. Let $S^{\prime}=S \backslash\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. We claim that $S^{\prime}$ is an F -set of $G$. Indeed, for the forcing process of $S^{\prime}$, firstly, $x_{1}$ forces $v_{1}$ to color. Next, $x_{i}$ gradually forces $v_{i}$ to color for $[\boldsymbol{k}] \backslash\{1\}$. Finally, the set of colored vertices is $S$. Hence, $S^{\prime}$ is an F-set of $G$ since $S$ is a TF-set of $G$. That is $F(G) \leq\left|S^{\prime}\right|=|S|-k=F_{t}(G)-k$. It follows that $F_{t}(G) \geq F(G)+k$.

A subpath $P=v u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{l}$ of a graph $G$ is referred to a pendent path if $d_{G}(v) \geq 3$, $d_{G}\left(u_{1}\right)=\cdots=d_{G}\left(u_{l-1}\right)=2, d_{G}\left(u_{l}\right)=1$, and $l$ is the length of the pendant path. We use $p(v)$ to denote the number of pendant paths which attached to $v \in V(G)$. If $p(v) \geq 2$, we call $v$ a strong major vertex; if $p(v)=1$, we call $v$ a weak major vertex.

Lemma 3.13. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 4$, if $T$ has $k \geq 1$ strong major vertices, then $F(T) \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-k$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a tree with $k \geq 1$ strong major vertices. Note that every strong major vertex in $T$ is a strong support vertex in $\operatorname{trim}(T)$. Then $\operatorname{trim}(T)$ has $k$ strong support vertices.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(T) & =F(\operatorname{trim}(T)) \\
& \leq F_{t}(\operatorname{trim}(T))-k \\
& \leq \beta^{\prime}(\operatorname{trim}(T))-k \\
& \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-k .
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Lemma 3.1)
(by Lemma 3.12)
(by Theorem 3.7)
(by Corollary 3.5)

This completes the proof.

Before proving Theorems 3.16 and 3.17 , we introduce the following types of spiders. Let
(1) $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ be the set of spiders $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ for some $k \geq 2$ with $n_{1} \leq 2$ and $n_{k}=1$;
(2) $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ be the set of spiders $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ for some $k \geq 2$ with $n_{1}=n_{2}=\cdots=n_{k}=2$;
(3) $\mathcal{G}_{3}$ be the set of trees $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ spiders for some $k \geq 2$ with $n_{1}=3$ and $n_{2} \leq 2$;
(4) $\mathcal{G}_{4}$ be the set of trees $T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ spiders for some $k \geq 3, n_{1}=4, n_{2} \leq 2$ and $n_{k}=1$.

Remark 3.14. Clearly, for a spider with 2 legs, $\left\{P_{3}, P_{4}\right\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{1}, P_{5} \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$ and $\left\{P_{5}, P_{6}\right\} \subset \mathcal{G}_{3}$.
Lemma 3.15. For $k \geq 2$,

$$
\beta^{\prime}\left(T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil+1 & \text { if all } n_{i} \text { 's are even } \\ \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $v$ be the core of the spider $T=T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ and let $R_{i}=v x_{1}^{i} \cdots x_{n_{i}}^{i}$ be the pendant path of length $n_{i}$. Let $A$ be a minimum edge covering of $T$ and $A_{i}=A \cap E\left(R_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose $n_{i}$ is even. The pendant edge $x_{n_{i}-1}^{i} x_{n_{i}}^{i} \in A_{i}$. Since all vertices of $R_{i}-v$ are covered by $A_{i}, x_{n_{i}-3}^{i} x_{n_{i}-2}^{i}, \ldots, x_{2}^{i} x_{1}^{i} \in A_{i}$ gradually. Thus $\left|A_{i}\right|=n_{i} / 2$ or $n_{i} / 2+1$ when $v x_{1}^{i} \notin A_{i}$ or $v x_{1}^{i} \in A_{i}$, respectively.

Suppose $n_{i}$ is odd. Similarly, $x_{n_{i}-1}^{i} x_{n_{i}}^{i}, \ldots, x_{3}^{i} x_{2}^{i} \in A_{i}$. Since $x_{1}^{i}$ is also covered by $A_{i}$, $v x_{1}^{i} \in A_{i}$. Thus $\left|A_{i}\right|=\left(n_{i}+1\right) / 2=\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil$.

Suppose there is an odd $n_{j}$. By the proof above, $v x_{1}^{j} \in A$. By the minimality, those $A_{i}$ 's do not contain $v x_{1}^{i}$ for all even $n_{i}$ 's. Hence $\beta^{\prime}\left(T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil$.

Suppose all $n_{i}$ 's are even. Since $v$ must be covered, by the minimality only one of $A_{i}$ contains $v x_{1}^{i}$. Hence $\beta^{\prime}\left(T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil+1$.

Let $G$ and $H$ be two disjoint connected graphs with $v \in V(G)$ and $u \in V(H)$. Define the graph $G(v) \circ H(u)$ is obtained from $G \cup H$ by identifying $v$ with $u$. For example, let $v$ be a leaf of $P_{2}$ and $u$ be a leaf of $P_{3}$, then $G(v) \circ H(u)=P_{4}$. Let $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ be three mutually disjoint connected graphs, and let $x_{1} \in V\left(G_{1}\right), x_{2} \in V\left(G_{2}\right), y_{1}, y_{2} \in V\left(G_{3}\right)$, where $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$. A connected graph $G$ obtained from $G_{1} \cup G_{2} \cup G_{3}$ by identifying $x_{1}$ with $y_{1}$ and identifying $x_{2}$ with $y_{2}$ is denoted by $G_{1}\left(x_{1} \circ y_{1}\right) \bigcup_{G_{3}} G_{2}\left(x_{2} \circ y_{2}\right)$.

We define

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{c|c}
\left.T_{1}\left(v_{1} \circ x_{1}\right) \bigcup_{K_{1,3}} T_{2}\left(v_{2} \circ x_{2}\right) \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}
T_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \text { with core } v_{i}, i=1,2 \\
x_{1}, x_{2} \text { are two different leaves of } K_{1,3}
\end{array}\right.\right\}, \text {, }, ~=, ~
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{Q}_{2}=\left\{T_{1}\left(v_{1} \circ x_{1}\right) \bigcup_{P_{2}} T_{2}\left(v_{2} \circ x_{2}\right) \mid T_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \text { with core } v_{i}, i=1,2, P_{2}=x_{1} x_{2}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{3}=\left\{T_{1}\left(v_{1} \circ x_{1}\right) \bigcup_{P_{3}} T_{2}\left(v_{2} \circ x_{2}\right) \mid T_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \text { with core } v_{i}, i=1,2, P_{3}=x_{1} y x_{2}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{4}=\left\{T_{1}\left(v_{1} \circ x_{1}\right) \bigcup_{P_{4}} T_{2}\left(v_{2} \circ x_{2}\right) \mid T_{i} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \text { with core } v_{i}, i=1,2, P_{4}=x_{1} y z x_{2}\right\}, \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{5}=\left\{T_{1}\left(v_{1} \circ x_{1}\right) \bigcup_{P_{3}} T_{2}\left(v_{2} \circ x_{2}\right) \mid T_{1} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{2} \text { with core } v_{i}, i=1,2, P_{3}=x_{1} y x_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.16. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.

Proof. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$. If $T=P_{n}$, then by Lemma 2.2, we check that only $T=P_{3}$ or $T=P_{4}$ satisfies that $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$, as desired.

If $T \neq P_{n}$, let $l \geq 1$ be the number of the strong major vertices in $T$. Since $F(T)=$ $\beta^{\prime}(T)-1$, Lemma 3.13 implies that $l=1$. Then $T$ is a spider. Let $T=T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ with $k \geq 3$ and $v$ be the unique major vertex of $T$. Then Lemma 3.8 implies $F(T)=k-1$. Thus $k=\beta^{\prime}(T)$. By Lemma 3.15, there exists an odd $n_{j}$ and all $\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil=1$. This implies that $n_{i} \leq 2$ and $n_{j}=1$. By definition, $n_{1} \leq 2$ and $n_{k}=1$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.

The converse follows from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.15 . This completes the proof.
Note that if $T$ has exactly two strong major vertices $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ and some weak major vertices, then each weak major vertex should be in the path $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$. Let $T\left(v_{i}\right)$ be the induced subgraph of vertices of all pendant paths attached to $v_{i}$, i.e., $T\left(v_{i}\right)$ is a spider for $i=1,2$.

Theorem 3.17. For any $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $n \geq 2$, we have $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-2$ if and only if $T$ is an element in one of the following classes:

$$
\mathcal{G}_{2}, \mathcal{G}_{3}, \mathcal{G}_{4}, \mathcal{Q}_{1}, \mathcal{Q}_{2}, \mathcal{Q}_{3}, \mathcal{Q}_{4}, \mathcal{Q}_{5}
$$

Proof. For $T \in \mathcal{T}_{n}$ with $F(T)=\beta^{\prime}(T)-2$, if $T=P_{n}$, then by Lemma 2.2, one may check that only $P_{5}$ and $P_{6}$ satisfy that $F\left(P_{5}\right)=\beta^{\prime}\left(P_{5}\right)-2$ and $F\left(P_{6}\right)=\beta^{\prime}\left(P_{6}\right)-2$, as desired.

Now we consider $T \neq P_{n}$. Let $l \geq 1$ be the number of strong major vertices in $T$. By Lemma 3.13, we have $l \leq 2$, i.e., $l=1$ or $l=2$. We now consider the following two cases.
(A) Suppose $l=1$. Then $T=T\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ with $k \geq 3$. Let $v$ be the major vertex (core) and let $u$ be one of its neighbor. Let $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ be the pendant paths with length of $n_{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then $F(T)=k-1$ by Lemma 3.8.

Suppose all $n_{i}$ are even. By Lemma 3.15, $k-1=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(n_{i} / 2\right)-1$. This implies that $n_{i}=2$. So $T \in \mathcal{G}_{2}$.

Suppose there is an odd $n_{j}$. By Lemma 3.15, $k-1=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil-2$. This implies that $\left\lceil n_{1} / 2\right\rceil=2$ and $\left\lceil n_{i} / 2\right\rceil=1$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$. Thus $n_{i} \leq 2$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$.

Suppose $n_{1}=3 . T \in \mathcal{G}_{3}$. Suppose $n_{1}=4$. Since there is an odd $n_{j}, n_{k}=1$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{G}_{4}$.
(B) Suppose $l=2$. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be two strong major vertices of $T, u_{i}$ be the neighbor of $v_{i}$ in $P=P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), i=1,2$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{h}$ be $h$ weak major vertices on $P, h \geq 0$.
(B1) Suppose $h \geq 1$. Let $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=v_{1} u w \cdots v_{2}$ and $T-v_{1} u=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$, where $v_{1} \in V\left(T_{1}\right)$. Here $w$ may be $v_{2}$.

We let $T^{\prime}=\operatorname{trim}(T)$. Then $T^{\prime}-v_{1} u=T_{1}^{\prime} \cup T_{2}^{\prime}$. Moreover $\operatorname{trim}\left(T_{i}\right)=T_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2$.
Let $S_{i}$ be an F-set of $T_{i}^{\prime}$ with minimum cardinality, $i=1$, 2. Clearly $S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ is an F-set of $T^{\prime}$. Thus $F\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)+F\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.

Since $T_{1}^{\prime}$ is a star graph, $\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left|E\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right|$. Hence Lemma $2.2 \operatorname{implies} F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)-1$.
Let $A$ be a minimum edge covering of $T^{\prime}$ and let

$$
A^{\prime}= \begin{cases}A \backslash E\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) & \text { if } v_{1} u \notin A, \\ (A \cup\{u w\}) \backslash\left(E\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cup\left\{v_{1} u\right\}\right) & \text { if } v_{1} u \in A\end{cases}
$$

Then $A^{\prime}$ is a minimum edge covering of $T_{2}^{\prime}$ since $A$ is a minimum edge covering of $T^{\prime}$ and $E\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subseteq A$. That is, $\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)-\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ (since $u w$ may be already in $A$ ). Hence, by assumption and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\prime}(T)-2 & =F(T)=F\left(T^{\prime}\right) \leq F\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)+F\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)-1+\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)-1 \leq \beta^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)-2 \leq \beta^{\prime}(T)-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus all inequalities become equalities. Hence $T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Since $T_{2}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, h=1$. Furthermore, $\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{1}^{\prime}\right)=k_{1}$ and $\beta^{\prime}\left(T_{2}^{\prime}\right)=k_{2}+1$.

Now $T_{1}$ is a spider of $k_{1}$ legs and $T_{2}$ is a spider of $k_{2}+1$ legs. By Lemma 3.15, it forces that $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$.

Let us look at the weak major vertex $w_{1}$. Let $R$ be the pendant path attached to $w_{1}$. Since $w_{1}$ is a vertex in one of a leg of $T_{2}$ and $T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$, the distance between $v_{2}$ and $w_{1}$ is 1 and the length of $R$ is 1 . Also since $w_{1}$ is a vertex of the path $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right), w_{1}=u$. Thus $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=v_{1} w_{1} v_{2}$. Thus, $T_{2}=T\left(2, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k_{2}}\right)$ with $m_{i} \leq 2$ for $i \geq 1$ and $m_{k_{2}}=1$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{Q}_{1}$.
(B2) Suppose $h=0$. Suppose $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=v_{1} v_{2}$. Let $T-v_{1} v_{2}=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. By the same proof of Case (B1), we get $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Thus $T \in \mathcal{Q}_{2}$.

Suppose $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=v_{1} u \cdots v_{2}$. Let $T-v_{1} u=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. By the same proof of Case (B1), we get $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1} . T_{2}$ is a spider with a leg $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)-v_{1}$. So the length of $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is less than 3.

Suppose the length of $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is 3 . Since $T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, T_{2}=T\left(2, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k_{2}}\right)$ with $m_{i} \leq 2$ for $i \geq 1$ and $m_{k_{2}}=1$. So $T\left(v_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_{1}$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{Q}_{4}$.

Suppose the length of $P\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)$ is 2 . Since $T_{2} \in \mathcal{G}_{1}, T_{2}=T\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k_{2}}, 1\right)$ with $m_{i} \leq 2$ for $i \geq 1$. So $T\left(v_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{G}_{1} \cup \mathcal{G}_{2}$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{Q}_{3} \cup \mathcal{Q}_{5}$.

The converse follows from Lemmas 3.8 and 3.15. This completes the proof.
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