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#### Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the least squares solution with the minimal norm to the system (1.1) over reduced biquaternion via complex representation of reduced biquaternion tensors and the Moore-Penrose inverse of tensors. Besides, we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability to the above system and give an expression of the general solution to the system when the solvability conditions are met. Moreover, the algorithm and numerical example are presented to verify the main results of this paper.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we prescribe the following notations. $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ represents the set of all $m \times n$ complex matrices, $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{m \times n}$ represents the set of all $m \times n$ reduced biquaternion matrices. For a positive integer $N$, let $[N]=\{1, \ldots, N\}$, an order $N$ tensor $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}\right)_{1 \leq i_{j} \leq I_{j}}(j=$ $1, \ldots, N)$ is a multidimensional array with $I=I_{1} I_{2} \cdots I_{N}$ entries. $\mathbb{R}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}, \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$, $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ stand for the sets of all order $N$ and dimension $I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}$ tensors over the real number field $\mathbb{R}$, complex number field $\mathbb{C}$, and real reduced biquaternion algebra $\mathbb{H}_{r}$, respectively. Given $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}$, define $\bar{a}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}$ to be conjugate of $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}$, and let $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{j_{1} \cdots j_{M} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ be the conjugate transpose of $\mathcal{A}$, where $b_{j_{1} \cdots j_{M} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}=\bar{a}_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{*}$. When $b_{j_{1} \cdots j_{M} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}=a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}, \mathcal{B}$ is called the transpose of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{T}$. A tensor $\mathcal{D}=\left(d_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ is called a diagonal tensor if all its entries are zero except for $d_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}$. For a diagonal tensor, if all the diagonal entries $d_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}=1$, then $\mathcal{D}$ is a unit tensor, denoted by $\mathcal{I}$. The zero tensor with suitable order is denoted by $\mathcal{O}$. For $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$, the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is defined as $\|\mathcal{A}\|=$ $\left(\sum_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}\left|a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} . \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}$ represent the real and imaginary parts

[^0]of the complex tensor $\mathcal{A}$, respectively. $\otimes$ stands for the Kronecker product. $*_{N}$ stands for the Einstein product.

Reduced biquaternions were introduced by Schütte and Wenzel in 1990, and have great applications in signal and image processing, control and system theory, neural network, etc. Sylvester equation is a very important kind of matrix equation in matrix theory. It is widely applied in characteristic structure configuration, aerospace control technology, numerical solution of differential equations, pattern recognition and so on. At present, there have been a huge amount of papers to discuss the standard Sylvester equation and its various generalized forms $[3,4,6,8,17,18,20,22$. In recent decades, tensor conceived by Tullio Levi-Civita [10], has attracted a lot of scholars to study. Tensor equations can be used to model many problems in quantum physics, engineering and science, general relativity, data mining and so on [1,5, 14, 15, 19]. More and more people are getting interested in the Sylvester tensor equation and its generalization. Some results on tensor equations and related problems can be found in $[2,7,9,11,13,16,23,26]$. In particular, [2] proposed a projection method based on the tensor format and considered the preconditioned iterative solvers of Sylvester tensor equations; (9] was concerned with the conjugate gradient least squares algorithm to solve a class of tensor equations via the Einstein product and proved that the solution of the tensor equation can be obtained within a finite number of iterative steps in the absence of round-off errors; [26] focused on solving high order Sylvester tensor equation arising in control theory and proposed some effective iterative algorithms for solving Sylvester tensor equation; [25] investigated the solution to the least squares problem for the quaternion Sylvester tensor equation and studied the convergence properties of the proposed iterative method; [7] established some necessary and sufficient solvability conditions for a system of quaternary-coupled Sylvester-type quaternion tensor equations and gave an expression of the general solution to this system when it is solvable; [24] gave some necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability to a system of a pair of coupled two-sided Sylvester-type tensor equations over the quaternion algebra and derived some solvability conditions and expressions of the $\eta$-Hermitian solutions to some systems of coupled two-sided Sylvester-type quaternion tensor equations as applications, etc.

To our knowledge, there has been little information on the system of mixed generalized Sylvester reduced biquaternion tensor equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{Y} *_{N} \quad \mathcal{A}_{2}=\mathcal{B}_{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}=\mathcal{B}_{3}  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{2}=\mathcal{E}_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}, \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}, \mathcal{C}_{\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\tau}(\sigma=1,2,3, \tau=1,2)$ are given reduced biquaternion tensors and $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ are unknown reduced biquaternion tensors.

Motivated by above mentioned, as well as the wide applications of Sylvester-type tensor
equations, we in this paper discuss the least squares solution with the minimal norm of the system of mixed generalized Sylvester tensor equations (1.1) over the reduced biquaternion algebra based on complex representation of reduced biquaternion tensors together with the Moore-Penrose inverse of tensors. The least squares solution with the minimal norm of (1.1) can be stated as follows.

Problem 1.1. Given the tensors in 1.1): $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{3}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{H}_{L}=\{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \mid & \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \\
& \left\|\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{B}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\mathcal{B}_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{B}_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& \left.+\left\|\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{2}\right\|^{2}=\min \right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find out $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)\right\|^{2}=\min _{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}}\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})\|^{2}
$$

The solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)$ in Problem 1.1 is called the minimal norm least squares solution.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first overview some basic definitions and related properties with regard to a complex tensor. Then we give its complex representation for a reduced biquaternion tensor, and on this basis we deduce some important properties. In Section 3, we establish some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the system (1.1), and give the general solution to this system when it is solvable. In Section 4, we give an algorithm and numerical example to prove that our results are feasible. In Section 5, we put some conclusions.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. An introduction to tensors

Definition 2.1. 1] Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}$, the Einstein product of tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by the operation $*_{N}$ via

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\right)_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}=\sum_{k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} k_{1} \cdots k_{N}} b_{k_{1} \cdots k_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}},
$$

where $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}$.
In the following, we start by introducing the Kronecker product of tensors and block complex tensors.

Definition 2.2. 23] Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$, the Kronecker product of the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}:=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \mathcal{B}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.3. (1) Note that it is a 'Kr-block tensor' whose ( $s, t$ )-subblock is $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}} \mathcal{B}$ obtained via multiplied all the entries of $\mathcal{B}$ by a constant $a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{N}}$, where $s=$ $i_{N}+\sum_{K=1}^{N-1}\left[\left(i_{K}-1\right) \prod_{L=K+1}^{N} I_{L}\right]$ and $t=j_{N}+\sum_{K=1}^{N-1}\left[\left(j_{K}-1\right) \prod_{L=K+1}^{N} J_{L}\right]$.
(2) Obviously, this Kronecker product is non-commutative, that is, $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \neq \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ in general.

Furthermore, the following properties of this Kronecker product can be found in 26 .
Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$. Then
(1) $(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B})^{*}=\mathcal{A}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{*}$;
(2) $\mathcal{A} \otimes(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C})=(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{C}$;
(3) $\mathcal{A} \otimes(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{A}$.

For a tensor $\mathcal{D}=\left(d_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N} l_{1} \cdots l_{M}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}, \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} \cdots j_{N} \mid:\right)}=\left(d_{j_{1} \cdots j_{N}: \cdots: ~}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$ is a subblock of $\mathcal{D} . \quad V_{c}(\mathcal{D})$ is obtained by lining up all the subtensors in a column. The $t$-th subblock of $V_{c}(\mathcal{D})$ is $\mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} \cdots j_{N} \mid:\right)}$, where $t=j_{N}+\sum_{K=1}^{N-1}\left[\left(j_{K}-\right.\right.$ 1) $\left.\prod_{P=K+1}^{N} J_{P}\right]$. For instance, if $\mathcal{D}=\left(d_{j_{1} j_{2} l_{1}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 2 \times 3}$, then

$$
V_{c}(\mathcal{D})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{D}_{(11 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(12 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(21 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(22 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(31 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(32 \mid:)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.5. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$, then we have

$$
(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) *_{M} V_{c}(\mathcal{D})=V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{D} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T}\right) .
$$

Example 2.6. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{1} j_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$, then we can obtain

$$
\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}=\left(\begin{array}{clll}
a_{1111} \mathcal{B} & a_{1112} \mathcal{B} & a_{1121} \mathcal{B} & a_{1122} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{1211} \mathcal{B} & a_{1212} \mathcal{B} & a_{1221} \mathcal{B} & a_{1222} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{1311} \mathcal{B} & a_{1312} \mathcal{B} & a_{1321} \mathcal{B} & a_{1322} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2111} \mathcal{B} & a_{2112} \mathcal{B} & a_{2121} \mathcal{B} & a_{2122} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2211} \mathcal{B} & a_{2212} \mathcal{B} & a_{2221} \mathcal{B} & a_{2222} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2311} \mathcal{B} & a_{2312} \mathcal{B} & a_{2321} \mathcal{B} & a_{2322} \mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2 \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$, we can further get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}) *_{M} V_{c}(\mathcal{D}) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1111} \mathcal{B} & a_{1112} \mathcal{B} & a_{1121} \mathcal{B} & a_{1122} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{1211} \mathcal{B} & a_{1212} \mathcal{B} & a_{1221} \mathcal{B} & a_{1222} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{1311} \mathcal{B} & a_{1312} \mathcal{B} & a_{1321} \mathcal{B} & a_{1322} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2111} \mathcal{B} & a_{2112} \mathcal{B} & a_{2121} \mathcal{B} & a_{2122} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2211} \mathcal{B} & a_{2212} \mathcal{B} & a_{2221} \mathcal{B} & a_{2222} \mathcal{B} \\
a_{2311} \mathcal{B} & a_{2312} \mathcal{B} & a_{2321} \mathcal{B} & a_{2322} \mathcal{B}
\end{array}\right) *{ }_{M}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathcal{D}_{(11 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(12 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(21 \mid:)} \\
\mathcal{D}_{(22 \mid:)}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} a_{11 j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2} \mid:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T} \\
\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} a_{12 j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2} \mid:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T} \\
\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} a_{13 j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2}:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T} \\
\sum_{j_{1 j} j_{2}} a_{21 j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2} \mid:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T} \\
\sum_{j_{1 j_{2}}} a_{22 j_{1 j} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2}:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T} \\
\sum_{j_{1} j_{2}} a_{23 j_{1} j_{2}} \mathcal{D}_{\left(j_{1} j_{2} \mid:\right)} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A} *_{2} \mathcal{D} *_{M} \mathcal{B}^{T}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 2.7. 23 Let $\mathcal{A}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} j_{1} \cdots j_{M}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}, \mathcal{B}=\left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} k_{1} \cdots k_{M}}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M}}$. Then the 'row block tensor' consisting of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is denoted by

$$
(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha^{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}},
$$

where $\alpha^{N}=I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}, L_{i}=J_{i}+K_{i}, i=1, \ldots, M$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B})_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} l_{1} \cdots l_{M}} \\
= & \begin{cases}a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} l_{1} \cdots l_{M}}, & i_{1} \cdots i_{N} \in\left[I_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[I_{N}\right], l_{1} \cdots l_{M} \in\left[J_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[J_{M}\right], \\
b_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N} l_{1} \cdots l_{M}}, & i_{1} \cdots i_{N} \in\left[I_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[I_{N}\right], l_{1} \cdots l_{M} \in \Gamma_{1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma_{M}, \\
0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Gamma_{i}=\left\{J_{i}+1, \ldots, J_{i}+K_{i}\right\}, i=1, \ldots, M$.
Similarly, for given tensors $\mathcal{C}=\left(c_{j_{1} \cdots j_{M} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{D}=$ $\left(d_{k_{1} \cdots k_{M} i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$, the 'column block tensor' consisting of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is denoted by

$$
\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{C}^{T} & \mathcal{D}^{T}
\end{array}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M} \times \alpha^{N}}
$$

Suppose $\rho_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1}\end{array}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right)$ are two 'row block tensors', where $\mathcal{A}_{1} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M}}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{C}^{T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M}}$. The 'column block tensor' $\binom{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{2}}$ can be written as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\
\mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\beta_{1} \times \cdots \times \beta_{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}
$$

where $\beta_{i}=I_{i}+T_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$ and $L_{j}=J_{j}+K_{j}, j=1, \ldots, M$.
Next, we present some properties with regard to the product of some 'block tensors'.
Lemma 2.8. 23 Suppose $(\mathcal{A} B),\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right)$ are the forms as in Definition 2.7 . Then
(1) $\mathcal{F} *_{N}(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B})=\left(\mathcal{F} *_{N} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{F} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha^{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$;
(2) $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}} *_{N} \mathcal{F}=\binom{\mathcal{C} *_{N} \mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{D} *_{N} \mathcal{F}} \in \mathbb{C}^{L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M} \times \alpha^{N}}$;
(3) $(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}) *_{M}\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}=\mathcal{A} *_{M} \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B} *_{M} \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha^{N} \times \alpha^{N}}$;
(4) $\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}} *_{N}(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{C} *_{N} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{C} *_{N} \mathcal{B} \\ \mathcal{D} *_{N} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{D} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}$;
(5) $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right) *_{M}\binom{\mathcal{C}}{\mathcal{D}}=\binom{\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{D}} \in \mathbb{C}^{\beta_{1} \times \cdots \times \beta_{N} \times \alpha^{N}}$;
(6)
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{G} & \mathcal{H}) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right)=\left(\mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{H} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2} \quad \mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{H} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{N} \times L_{1} \times \cdots \times L_{M}}, ~, ~, ~\end{array}\right.$ where $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha^{N} \times \alpha^{N}}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathbb{C}^{S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{N} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{N} \times T_{1} \times \cdots \times T_{N}}$.

Now we introduce the definition of the Moore-Penrose inverse of a tensor over $\mathbb{C}$ via the Einstein product, which is a generalization of the Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix.

Definition 2.9. 21] Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$. The tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$ satisfying the following four complex tensor equalities:
(1) $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X} *_{N} \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$,
(2) $\mathcal{X} *_{N} \mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}$,
(3) $\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}\right)^{*}=\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}$,
(4) $\left(\mathcal{X} *_{N} \mathcal{A}\right)^{*}=\mathcal{X}{ }_{*_{N}} \mathcal{A}$
is called the Moore-Penrose inverse of $\mathcal{A}$, denoted by $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}$.
The following lemma provides the solvability conditions and general solution to the multilinear system $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B}$, which is used to prove our main results thereinafter.

Lemma 2.10. 21 Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}$. Then we have the following statements:
(1) The least squares solutions of the multilinear system $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B}$ can be represented as

$$
\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{B}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{A}\right) *_{N} \mathcal{W},
$$

where $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}$ is an arbitrary tensor. The minimal norm least squares solution is $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{B}$.
(2) The multilinear system $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B}$ has a solution $\mathcal{X}^{\star}$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}$. In that case, $\mathcal{X}^{\star}$ can be represented as

$$
\mathcal{X}^{\star}=\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{B}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{A}\right) *_{N} \mathcal{W},
$$

where $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbb{R}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{N}}$ is an arbitrary tensor.
2.2. The complex representation of reduced biquaternion tensors

We know that a matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{m \times n}$ can be written as $A=A_{1}+A_{2} \mathbf{j}$, where $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$. Similarly, a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}$ can also be expressed as $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{M}}$. Thus, the complex representation tensor of $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j}$ is given by $f(\mathcal{A})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{A}_{2} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{A}_{1}\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 I_{1} \times \cdots \times 2 I_{N} \times 2 J_{1} \times \cdots \times 2 J_{M}}$. Notice that $f(\mathcal{A})$ is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.11. Suppose $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$. Then we have

$$
f\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\right)=f(\mathcal{A}) *_{N} f(\mathcal{B}) .
$$

Proof. By the complex representation tensor of $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B}$ and Lemma 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\right) & =f\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}\right) \mathbf{j}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} & \mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} \\
\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} & \mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\mathcal{A}) *_{N} f(\mathcal{B}) & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{A}_{2} \\
\mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{A}_{1}
\end{array}\right) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{B}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{2} \\
\mathcal{B}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} & \mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} \\
\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} & \mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $f\left(\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B}\right)=f(\mathcal{A}) *_{N} f(\mathcal{B})$.

For $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$, we denote such an identification by the symbol $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$, that is,

$$
\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j}=\mathcal{A} \stackrel{ }{=} \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)
$$

We also denote

$$
\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}=\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{1}, \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{1}, \operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{2}, \operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)
$$

Note that $\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}\right\|=\|\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}\|$. Besides, we have $V_{c}(\mathcal{A})=V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j}\right)=V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)+V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{j}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{c}(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{ }{=} V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}\right) & =\binom{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)}{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)}=\binom{V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)+V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \mathbf{i}}{V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)+V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \mathbf{i}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\mathcal{I} & \mathbf{i} \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{I} & \mathbf{i} \mathcal{I}
\end{array}\right) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{A}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\Omega_{J_{N} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}),}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{C}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$ and $\Omega_{J_{N}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\mathcal{I} & \mathbf{i} & \mathcal{I} & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{I} & \mathbf{i}\end{array}\right)$.
Addition of two reduced biquaternion tensors $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{j}$ is defined by

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \mathbf{j}=(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}) \fallingdotseq \Phi_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}+\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)
$$

Whereas multiplication of two reduced biquaternion tensors $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2 \mathbf{j}}\right) *_{N}\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{C}_{2} \mathbf{j}\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) \mathbf{j}
$$

So $\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C} \doteq \Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C}}$. We derive some properties of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ as follows.
Theorem 2.12. Suppose $k$ is a real number and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}, \mathcal{C} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N}}$. Then
(1) $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B} \Longleftrightarrow \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}=\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}$;
(2) $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B}}=\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}+\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}, \Phi_{k \mathcal{A}}=k \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$;
(3) $\Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C}}=\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} *_{N} f(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Since the proofs of (1) and (2) are easy, we omit them. We only prove (3). $\Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C}}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{C}} & =\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{C}_{1} & \mathcal{C}_{2} \\
\mathcal{C}_{2} & \mathcal{C}_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} *_{N} f(\mathcal{C}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 2.13. Suppose $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots \times I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$, $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}_{1}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M}}$ and $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{C}_{2} \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{K_{1} \times \cdots \times K_{M} \times J_{1} \times \cdots \times J_{N}}$. Then

$$
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B} *_{M} \mathcal{C}}\right)=f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{M} \Omega_{K_{M}} *_{M} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{B}})
$$

where $\Omega_{K_{M}}$ has the same structure as $\Omega_{J_{N}}$, except for dimension.
Proof. By Theorems 2.11 and 2.12, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} \mathcal{B} *_{M} \mathcal{C}}= & \Phi_{\mathcal{A} *_{N} f\left(\mathcal{B} *_{M} \mathcal{C}\right)=\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} *_{N} f(\mathcal{B}) *_{M} f(\mathcal{C})}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}\right) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{B}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{2} \\
\mathcal{B}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{1}
\end{array}\right) *_{M}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{C}_{1} & \mathcal{C}_{2} \\
\mathcal{C}_{2} & \mathcal{C}_{1}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}\right. \\
& \left.\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\left.\mathcal{A}_{*_{N}} \mathcal{B}_{M} \mathcal{C}\right)}\right. \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{2}+\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{2} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}+\mathcal{A}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{B}_{1} *_{M} \mathcal{C}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \\
\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}\right) *_{M} V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T} & \mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T} \\
\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T} & \mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}
\end{array}\right] *_{M}\binom{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)}{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)} \\
& =f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{1}^{T}+\mathcal{A}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{2}^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{M}\binom{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}\right)}{V_{c}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. The solution for Problem 1.1

In this section, we are now in a position to solve Problem1.1. For convenience, we set $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}=$ $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\sigma}+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\sigma} \mathbf{j}(\sigma=1,2,3), \mathcal{C}_{\tau}=\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{D}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}(\tau=1,2), \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots K_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{3}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{L_{1} \times \cdots L_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}, \mathcal{C}_{\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}, \mathcal{E}_{\tau} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{P}_{1}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, & \mathcal{P}_{2}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{2}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{2}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{3}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{3} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{3} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, & \mathcal{P}_{4}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{5}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}^{1}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}^{1}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, & \mathcal{P}_{6}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, \\
\mathcal{P}_{7}=f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}^{2}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}^{2}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}}, &
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{1} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O}  \tag{3.1}\\
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{P}_{2} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{P}_{3} \\
\mathcal{P}_{4} & -\mathcal{P}_{5} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & -\mathcal{P}_{7} & \mathcal{P}_{6}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{G}=\binom{\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}}{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{P}}, \quad \mathcal{H}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By the complex representation of reduced biquaternion tensors mentioned above, we can turn least squares problem of the system of mixed generalized Sylvester reduced biquaternion tensor equations (1.1) into a corresponding problem of complex tensor equations. Next we give the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}=\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\sigma}+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\sigma} \mathbf{j}, \mathcal{B}_{\sigma}=\mathcal{B}_{1}^{\sigma}+\mathcal{B}_{2}^{\sigma} \mathbf{j}(\sigma=1,2,3), \mathcal{C}_{\tau}=\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\tau}+$ $\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{D}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}, \mathcal{E}_{\tau}=\mathcal{E}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{E}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j} \quad(\tau=1,2), \mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in$ $\mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots K_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{3}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{L_{1} \times \cdots L_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}, \mathcal{C}_{\tau}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}, \mathcal{E}_{\tau} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$ and let $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}$ be as in (3.1). Hence the set $\mathbb{H}_{L}$ of Problem 1.1 can be expressed as

$$
\mathbb{H}_{L}=\left\{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}} \left\lvert\,\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}})  \tag{3.2}\\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{G}\right) *_{N} \mathcal{\mho}\right.\right\},
$$

where $\mho$ is an arbitrary tensor vector of appropriate order. And then, the minimal norm least squares solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)$ of Problem 1.1 satisfies

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}_{l}}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{l}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}}_{l}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}
$$

Proof. For $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$, it follows from Theorem 2.13 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{B}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\mathcal{B}_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{B}_{3}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{1}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{2}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2}}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N}} \mathcal{D}_{2}}-\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N}} \mathcal{A}_{2}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N}} \mathcal{D}_{1}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{Y}_{*_{N}} \mathcal{D}_{2}}\right)-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N} *_{N}} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{2}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{2}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|f\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{3} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{3} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\| f\left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N} *_{N}} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
& -f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}^{1}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}^{1}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \|^{2} . ~(\mathcal{I}} \\
& +\| f\left[\left(\mathcal{C}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right)+\left(\mathcal{C}_{2}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}} *_{N} V_{c}(\vec{Z}) \\
& -f\left[\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{1}^{2}\right)^{T}\right)+\left(\mathcal{I} \otimes\left(\mathcal{D}_{2}^{2}\right)^{T}\right) \mathbf{j}\right] *_{N} \Omega_{J_{N}} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right) \|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{P}_{1} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{2} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{3} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{P}_{4} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}})-\mathcal{P}_{5} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_{6} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})-\mathcal{P}_{7} *_{N} V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})-V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{1} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{P}_{2} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{P}_{3} \\
\mathcal{P}_{4} & -\mathcal{P}_{5} & \mathcal{O} \\
\mathcal{O} & -\mathcal{P}_{7} & \mathcal{P}_{6}
\end{array}\right) *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{P} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\binom{\operatorname{Re} \mathcal{P}}{\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{P}} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Re} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}_{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right)\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{G} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\mathcal{H}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.10, we get

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{G}\right) *_{N} \mho
$$

Moreover, from (3.2), we know that the solution set $\mathbb{H}_{L}$ is nonempty and is a closed
convex set. Therefore, Problem 1.1 has a unique solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}$. Now, we prove that this unique solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)$ can be expressed as (3.3). It follows from the above that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}}\left(\|(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})\|^{2}\right) & =\min _{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}}\left(\|\mathcal{X}\|^{2}+\|\mathcal{Y}\|^{2}+\|\mathcal{Z}\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\min _{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}}\left(\left\|V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}})\right\|^{2}+\left\|V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}})\right\|^{2}+\left\|V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =\min _{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \in \mathbb{H}_{L}}\left\|\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, using Lemma 2.10 and (3.2), we can derive

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}
$$

Thus we can get $(3.2)$ and (3.3). The proof is completed.
By virtue of Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.10, we derive the following conclusion.
Corollary 3.2. The system of mixed generalized Sylvester reduced biquaternion tensor equations (1.1) has a solution $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the solution set of system (1.1) can be represented as

$$
\mathbb{H}_{S}=\left\{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \left\lvert\,\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{G}\right) *_{N} \mathcal{\mho}\right.\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is an arbitrary tensor vector of appropriate order. Furthermore, (1.1) has a unique solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{H}_{S}$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}$ has full column rank, and the unique solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}_{l}^{\prime}}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}_{l}^{\prime}}\right) \\
V_{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_{l}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H} .
$$

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 3.1 and Definition 2.9, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{B}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\mathcal{B}_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{B}_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{2}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{G} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\mathcal{H}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{G} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)-\mathcal{H}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{A}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{B}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{A}_{2}-\mathcal{B}_{2}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{A}_{3} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{B}_{3}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left\|\mathcal{C}_{1} *_{N} \mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{1}-\mathcal{E}_{1}\right\|^{2}+\left\|\mathcal{C}_{2} *_{N} \mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y} *_{N} \mathcal{D}_{2}-\mathcal{E}_{2}\right\|^{2}=0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \left\|\mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}-\mathcal{H}\right\|^{2}=0 \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \mathcal{G} *_{N} \mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get the formula in (3.4). Under the condition that (3.4) is established, the solution $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$
\mathcal{G} *_{N}\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{H}
$$

Also, in the light of Lemma 2.10, the solution $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{X}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Y}}) \\
V_{c}(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}})
\end{array}\right)=\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{H}+\left(\mathcal{I}-\mathcal{G}^{\dagger} *_{N} \mathcal{G}\right) *_{N} \mho
$$

At the same time, the unique solution (3.5) can also be obtained.

## 4. Algorithm and numerical experiment

In this section, we first present an algorithm for solving Problem 1.1, which is based on the discussions in Section 3. And then we use an example to illustrate our main results.

Algorithm 4.1. (Problem 1.1)
(1) Input the given tensors: $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma}=\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\sigma}+\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\sigma} \mathbf{j}(\sigma=1,2,3), \mathcal{C}_{\tau}=\mathcal{C}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{C}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}, \mathcal{D}_{\tau}=\mathcal{D}_{1}^{\tau}+\mathcal{D}_{2}^{\tau} \mathbf{j}$, $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{I_{1} \times \cdots I_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times K_{1} \times \cdots K_{N}}, \mathcal{A}_{3}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{L_{1} \times \cdots L_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$, $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \mathcal{C}_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{1}, \mathcal{D}_{2}, \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2} \in \mathbb{H}_{r}^{J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N} \times J_{1} \times \cdots J_{N}}$.
(2) Compute $\Omega_{J_{N}}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{P}_{3}, \mathcal{P}_{4}, \mathcal{P}_{5}, \mathcal{P}_{6}, \mathcal{P}_{7}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, which are defined in Section 3.
(3) According to (3.3), calculate the minimal norm least squares solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)$ of Problem 1.1.

Now, we give the following numerical example to explain Algorithm4.1. On the basis of the discussions in Section 3, it is not hard to find that the expression of the minimal norm least squares solution is the same as that of the solution. To make sure that Problem 1.1 has a solution, we suppose that the system (1.1) is consistent.

Example 4.2. Let $I_{1}=J_{1}=K_{1}=L_{1}=2, I_{2}=J_{2}=K_{2}=L_{2}=2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{1}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{j} \\
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{A}_{1}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{1}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & 1 \\
1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{1}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} \\
0 & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{2}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & -\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} \\
0 & \mathbf{i}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{2}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j} \\
-\mathbf{i} & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{2}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & 0 \\
0 & 1+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{2}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{k} & 1 \\
1-\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{3}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} \\
1+\mathbf{j} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{3}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & 1+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{A}_{3}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{j} & 0 \\
\mathbf{k} & -1-\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{A}_{3}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} \\
1+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{C}_{1}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & -1-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
-\mathbf{i} & -\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{C}_{1}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{C}_{1}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & -\mathbf{j} \\
-1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{C}_{1}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & -1+\mathbf{k} \\
-1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & 0 \\
1 & -1-\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& \mathcal{C}_{2}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathcal{C}_{2}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{D}_{1}(:,:,, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i} \\
\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{D}_{1}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
-1+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k} & -\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{D}_{1}(:,:,, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{D}_{1}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{D}_{2}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{D}_{2}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{k} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{D}_{2}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
-\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{D}_{2}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1+\mathbf{k} & -1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{j} & -\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{X}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1-\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
-1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{X}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{X}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{X}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathbf{i} & 0 \\
1-\mathbf{k} & -1-\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{Y}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathbf{k} & -1-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k} \\
-1-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{Y}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathbf{i} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{Y}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \mathbf{i} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & 0
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{Y}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k} \\
\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{Z}(:,:, 1,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & -\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{Z}(:,:, 2,1)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{j} & 1-\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), \\
\mathcal{Z}(:,:, 1,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+\mathbf{j}+\mathbf{k} & \mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j} \\
-1-\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{k} & 1+\mathbf{i}-\mathbf{j}
\end{array}\right), & \mathcal{Z}(:,:, 2,2)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{k} \\
1+\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

We can obtain the solution $\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}, \mathcal{Y}_{m}, \mathcal{Z}_{m}\right)$ with the minimal norm of the system of mixed generalized Sylvester reduced biquaternion tensor equations (1.1) by MATLAB. In this way, we can compute $\log _{10}\left\|\left(\mathcal{X}_{l}, \mathcal{Y}_{l}, \mathcal{Z}_{l}\right)-\left(\mathcal{X}_{m}, \mathcal{Y}_{m}, \mathcal{Z}_{m}\right)\right\|=-13.4292$, which can demonstrate the feasibility of Algorithm 4.1.

## 5. Conclusion

Based on complex representation of reduced biquaternion tensors and the Moore-Penrose inverse of tensors, we have derived some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the general solution to the system (1.1) and provided an expression of the general
solution to the system when it is solvable. Moreover, an example has been furnished to illustrate the main results.
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