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Abstract. We prove the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions to the time dependent Ginzburg-Landau system in superconductivity with Coulomb gauge.

## 1. Introduction

We consider the existence and uniqueness problem for the 3D Ginzburg-Landau model in superconductivity:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta \partial_{t} \psi+i \eta k \phi \psi+\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla+A\right)^{2} \psi+\left(|\psi|^{2}-g\right) \psi=0,  \tag{1.1}\\
& \partial_{t} A+\nabla \phi+\operatorname{curl}^{2} A+\operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right) \bar{\psi}\right\}=\operatorname{curl} H \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

in $Q_{T}:=(0, T) \times \Omega$, with boundary and initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\nabla \psi \cdot \nu=0, & A \cdot \nu=0, \quad \operatorname{curl} A \times \nu=H \times \nu & \text { on }(0, T) \times \partial \Omega \\
& (\psi, A)(\cdot, 0)=\left(\psi_{0}, A_{0}\right)(\cdot) & \text { in } \Omega . \tag{1.4}
\end{array}
$$

Here, the unknowns $\psi, A$, and $\phi$ are $\mathbb{C}$-valued, $\mathbb{R}^{2}$-valued, and $\mathbb{R}$-valued functions, respectively, and they stand for the order parameter, the magnetic potential, and the electric potential, respectively. Two positive constants $\eta$ and $\kappa$ are Ginzburg-Landau constants, $g$ is a positive function that depends on the material as well as on the temperature and other variables, $H$ is the applied magnetic field, and $i:=\sqrt{-1} . \bar{\psi}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $\psi, \operatorname{Re} \psi:=(\psi+\bar{\psi}) / 2$ is the real part of $\psi$, and $|\psi|^{2}:=\psi \bar{\psi}$ is the density of superconductivity carriers. $T$ is any given positive constant. $\Omega$ is a simply connected and bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\nu$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$.

It is well-known that the Ginzburg-Landau equations are gauge invariant, namely, if $(\psi, A, \phi)$ is a solution of (1.1)-1.2), then $\left(\psi e^{i k \chi}, A+\nabla \chi, \phi-\partial_{t} \chi\right)$ is also a solution for any real-valued smooth function $\chi$. Accordingly, in order to obtain the well-posedness of the problem, we need to impose some gauge condition. From physical point of view, one may usually think of four types of the gauge condition:

[^0](1) Coulomb gauge: $\operatorname{div} A=0$ in $\Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega} \phi d x=0$.
(2) Lorentz gauge: $\phi=-\operatorname{div} A$ in $\Omega$.
(3) Lorenz gauge: $\partial_{t} \phi=-\operatorname{div} A$ in $\Omega$.
(4) Temporal gauge (Weyl gauge): $\phi=0$ in $\Omega$.

For the initial data $\left(\psi_{0}, A_{0}\right) \in W_{0}:=\left\{\left(\psi_{0}, A_{0}\right) \mid \psi_{0} \in L^{\infty} \cap H^{1}, A_{0} \in H^{1}\right\}$, Chen et al. 4.5], Du [6, Fan and Ozawa [9], and Tang [12] proved the existence and uniqueness of global strong solutions to $(1.1)-(1.4)$ in the case of the Coulomb, Lorenz and Lorentz as well as temporal gauges.

For the initial data $\psi_{0}, A_{0} \in L^{2}$, under the Coulomb or Lorentz gauge, Tang and Wang (2-D) [13], Fan and Jiang (3-D) [8] proved the global existence of weak solutions. Fan and Ozawa (2-D) 10 and Fan, Gao and Guo (3-D) 7 proved the global existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for $\psi_{0}, A_{0} \in L^{d}$ with $d=2,3$.

Here we point out that all the above results [4-10, 12, 13] require $g=1$ and $H$ is smooth.

We will assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
g & :=g(x, t) \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right) \quad \text { with } \frac{2}{p}+\frac{3}{q}=2,1 \leq p<\infty \text { and } \frac{3}{2}<q \leq \infty  \tag{1.5}\\
H & :=H(x, t) \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{3 / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(\partial \Omega)\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The aim of this paper is to study the well-posedness of the problem (1.1)-(1.4) under the conditions (1.5) and 1.6), we will prove

Theorem 1.1. Let $\psi_{0}, A_{0} \in L^{3}$ and (1.5) and (1.6) hold true. Then there exists a unique weak solution $(\psi, A)$ of (1.1) (1.4) with the choice of Coulomb gauge, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi, A \in W:= & L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{5}(\Omega \times(0, T)),  \tag{1.7}\\
& \partial_{t} \psi, \partial_{t} A \in W^{\prime}:=\text { the dual of } W \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $T>0$.
In our proofs, we will use the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.2. 1, 11 Let $\Omega$ be a smooth and bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{p}(\partial \Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{1-1 / p}\|f\|_{W^{1, p}(\Omega)}^{1 / p} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $1<p<\infty$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ in $W^{1, p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 1.3. 2] Let $\Omega$ be a regular bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a smooth enough vector field, and let $1<p<\infty$. Then, the following identity holds true:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\int_{\Omega} \Delta f \cdot f|f|^{p-2} d x= & \int_{\Omega}|f|^{p-2}|\nabla f|^{2} d x+\left.\left.\frac{4(p-2)}{p^{2}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla| f\right|^{p / 2}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{1.10}\\
& -\int_{\partial \Omega}|f|^{p-2}(\nu \cdot \nabla) f \cdot f d S
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 1.4. 3. Let $\psi, A \in W$ and $\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2} \in L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, then $\nabla \phi \in L^{5 / 3}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ $\cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3 / 2}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta \phi & =\operatorname{div} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right) \bar{\psi}\right\} & & \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{1.11}\\
\nabla \phi \cdot \nu & =0 & & \text { on }(0, T) \times \partial \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By the results proved in [7, 8], one can prove a similar well-posedness result of strong solutions. We take $\psi_{0 n} \in H^{1} \cap L^{\infty}, A_{0 n} \in H^{1}, g_{n} \in H^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ and $H_{n} \in H^{2}(\Omega \times(0, T))$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\psi_{0 n}-\psi_{0}\right\|_{L^{3}} \rightarrow 0, \quad\left\|A_{0 n}-A_{0}\right\|_{L^{3}} \rightarrow 0 \\
\left\|g_{n}-g\right\|_{L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{q}(\Omega)\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad\left\|H_{n}-H\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{3 / 2}\left(0, T ; L^{3}(\partial \Omega)\right)} \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have a unique strong solution $\psi_{n}, A_{n}$ with the data $\left(\psi_{0 n}, A_{0 n}, g_{n}, H_{n}\right)$. We want to establish a priori estimates (1.7) and (1.8) uniformly with respect to $n$. Then by the standard compactness argument, we can get $\psi_{n} \rightarrow \psi$ and $A_{n} \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Section 3 below), thus we conclude that the existence of weak solutions and a prior estimates 1.7) and (1.8). Now we drop the subscript " $n$ " of $\psi_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ and do as follows.

Multiplying (1.1) by $\bar{\psi}$, integrating by parts, and then taking the real part, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\eta}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int|\psi|^{2} d x+\int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right|^{2} d x+\int|\psi|^{4} d x \\
= & \int g|\psi|^{2} d x \leq\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|\psi\|_{L^{2 q /(q-1)}}^{2} \\
\leq & C\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2-3 / q}\|\nabla|\psi|\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / q}+C\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{1}{k} \nabla|\psi|\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|g\|_{L^{q}}^{p}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|g\|_{L^{q}}^{p}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\left\|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)} \leq C . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{L^{2 q /(q-1)}} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}^{1-3 /(2 q)}\|\nabla \mid \psi\|_{L^{2}}^{3 /(2 q)}+C\|\psi\|_{L^{2}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the diamagnetic inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{k} \nabla\right| \psi\left|\left|\leq\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right| .\right.\right. \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, multiplying (1.1) by $|\psi| \bar{\psi}$, integrating by parts, and then taking the real part, and using (1.10), (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{3} \frac{d}{d t} \int|\psi|^{3} d x+\int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right|^{2}|\psi| d x+\int|\psi|^{5} d x \\
\leq & \int g|\psi|^{3} d x \leq\|g\|_{L^{q}}\left\||\psi|^{3 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2 q /(q-1)}}^{2}=\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|w\|_{L^{2 q /(q-1)}}^{2} \quad\left(w:=|\psi|^{3 / 2}\right) \\
\leq & \|g\|_{L^{q}}\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2-3 / q}\|\nabla w\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / q}+C\|g\|_{L^{q}}\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\frac{1}{k} \nabla w\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left(\|g\|_{L^{q}}^{p}+1\right)\|w\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2} \int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right|^{2}|\psi| d x+C\left(\|g\|_{L^{q}}^{p}+1\right)\|\psi\|_{L^{3}}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \int|\psi|^{3} d x+\int_{0}^{T} \int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right|^{2}|\psi| d x d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int|\psi|^{5} d x d t \leq C \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Testing (1.2) by $A$ and using (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t} \int|A|^{2} d x+\int|\operatorname{curl} A|^{2} d x-\int H \operatorname{curl} A d x \\
\leq & \left.\int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi| \| A \right\rvert\, d x \\
= & \int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right| \cdot|\psi|^{1 / 2} \cdot|\psi|^{1 / 2} \cdot|A| d x \\
\leq & \left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{6}}\|A\|_{L^{3}} \\
\leq & C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left(\|A\|_{L^{2}}+\|\operatorname{curl} A\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{2}\|\operatorname{curl} A\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left|\left\|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\left.\psi A| | \psi\right|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|A\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\|A\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}+\|A\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)} \leq C .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int|\psi A|^{2} d x d t \leq\|\psi\|_{L^{3}}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\|A\|_{L^{6}}^{2} d t \leq C
$$

it follows from (2.1) that

$$
\|\psi\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right)} \leq C .
$$

Testing (1.2) by $|A| A$ and letting $u:=|A|^{3 / 2}$, using (1.3), 1.9), 1.10), 1.11, (1.12), (2.4), and the vector identities

$$
(\nu \cdot \nabla) A \cdot A=(A \cdot \nabla) A \cdot \nu+(\operatorname{curl} A \times \nu) A,
$$

and

$$
(A \cdot \nabla) A \cdot \nu=-(A \cdot \nabla) \nu \cdot A, \quad(A \cdot \nu=0 \text { on }(0, T) \times \partial \Omega)
$$

we arrive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{d t} \int u^{2} d x+C_{0} \int|\nabla u|^{2} d x+C_{0} \int|A| \|\left.\nabla A\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \int\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi| u^{4 / 3} d x+\int|\nabla \phi| u^{4 / 3} d x+C \int_{\partial \Omega} u^{2} d S \\
& +C \int_{\partial \Omega}|H \times \nu| u^{4 / 3} d S+C \int_{\Omega}|H||A|^{1 / 2}|\nabla u| d x+C \int_{\Omega}|H \| A||\nabla A| d x \\
\leq & C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{6}}\left\|u^{4 / 3}\right\|_{L^{3}}+\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{3 / 2}}\left\|u^{4 / 3}\right\|_{L^{3}} \\
& +C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\partial \Omega)}\left\|u^{4 / 3}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}(\partial \Omega)} \\
& +C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}\|A\|_{L^{3}}^{1 / 2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}+C \int_{\Omega}|H\|A\| \nabla A| d x \\
\leq & C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{6}}\left\|u^{4 / 3}\right\|_{L^{3}}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} \\
& +C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\partial \Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{2}(\partial \Omega)}^{4 / 3}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}\|A\|_{L^{3}}^{1 / 2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}+C \int_{\Omega}|H\|A|\| \nabla A| d x \\
\leq & C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{L^{4}}^{4 / 3}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{H^{1}} \\
& +C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\partial \Omega)}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{H^{1}}\right)^{2 / 3}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}\|A\|_{L^{3}}^{1 / 2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\left|\left\|\left.A\right|^{1 / 2} \nabla A\right\|_{L^{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
\leq & C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 4}+\|u\|_{L^{2}}\right)^{4 / 3}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{H^{1}} \\
& +C\|H\|_{H^{3}(\partial \Omega)}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{H^{1}}\right)^{2 / 3}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}\|A\|_{L^{3}}^{1 / 2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}+\left\||A|^{1 / 2} \nabla A\right\|_{L^{2}}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{C_{0}}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{C_{0}}{2}\left\|\left.| | A\right|^{1 / 2} \nabla A\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\left\|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\left.\psi A\right|^{2}|\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2 / 3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +C\left\|\left|\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right||\psi|^{1 / 2}\right\|_{L^{2}}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{4 / 3}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\partial \Omega)}\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{4 / 3} \\
& +C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\partial \Omega)}^{3 / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}}+C\|H\|_{L^{3}(\Omega)}^{2}\|A\|_{L^{3}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\|A\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}\right)}+\|A\|_{L^{5}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)} \leq C
$$

Here, we have used

$$
\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{3 / 2}} \leq C\left\|\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right) \bar{\psi}\right\|_{L^{3 / 2}} \leq C\| \| \frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\left.\psi A| | \psi\right|^{1 / 2}\left\|_{L^{2}}\right\||\psi|^{1 / 2} \|_{L^{6}}
$$

and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$
\|u\|_{L^{4}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2}}^{1 / 4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}}^{3 / 4}+C\|u\|_{L^{2}}
$$

The proof of uniqueness follows from [7] and the a priori estimates (1.7) and thus we omit the details here.

This completes the proof.

## 3. Appendix

In this section, we will give the precise definition of weak solutions and explain more details of the proof of the existence of weak solutions.

Definition 3.1 (Weak solutions). $(\psi, A, \phi)$ is called a weak solution to the problem (1.1)(1.4) in $\Omega \times(0, T)$ under the Coulomb gauge if $\psi, A \in W:=L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{5}\left(0, T ; L^{5}\right), \quad \nabla \phi \in L^{5 / 3}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3 / 2}\right)$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int\left[-\eta \psi w_{t}+i \eta k \phi \psi w+\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right)\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla w+A w\right)+\left(|\psi|^{2}-g\right) \psi w\right] d x d t=0
$$

for any $w \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega \times[0, T]), w(\cdot, 0)=w(\cdot, T)=0$, and

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int\left[-A B_{t}+\nabla \phi B+(\operatorname{curl} A-H) \operatorname{curl} B+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{i}{k} \nabla \psi+\psi A\right) \bar{\psi} \cdot B\right] d x d t=0
$$

for any $B \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega \times[0, T]), B(\cdot, 0)=B(\cdot, T)=0$.
We have an approximate solution $\left(\psi_{n}, A_{n}, \phi_{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\psi_{n}\right\|_{W}+\left\|A_{n}\right\|_{W} \leq C, \\
&\left\|\partial_{t} \psi_{n}\right\|_{W^{\prime}}+\left\|\partial_{t} A_{n}\right\|_{W^{\prime}} \leq C, \\
&\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{5 / 3}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\left\|\nabla \phi_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3 / 2}\right)} \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

By standard compactness principle (e.g., Lions-Aubin lemma) we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{n} \rightharpoonup \phi & \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3}\right) \\
\psi_{n} \rightarrow \psi & \text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{3 / 2}\right),
\end{array}
$$

which gives

$$
\phi_{n} \psi_{n} \rightarrow \phi \psi \text { in the sense of distributions. }
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\nabla \psi_{n} & \rightharpoonup \nabla \psi \\
A_{n} \rightarrow A & \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \\
\text { strongly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

which implies

$$
\nabla \psi_{n} \cdot A_{n} \rightarrow \nabla \psi \cdot A \quad \text { in the sense of distributions. }
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\psi_{n} \rightarrow \psi & \text { strongly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}\right), & 1<p<5 \\
A_{n} \rightarrow A & \text { strongly in } L^{p}\left(0, T ; L^{p}\right), & 1<p<5
\end{array}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|\psi_{n}\right|^{2} \psi_{n} \rightarrow|\psi|^{2} \psi & \text { srtongly in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\right), \\
\psi_{n}\left|A_{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow \psi|A|^{2} & \text { srtongly in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\right), \\
\left|\psi_{n}\right|^{2} A_{n} \rightarrow|\psi|^{2} A & \text { srtongly in } L^{1}\left(0, T ; L^{1}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Now it is easy to completes the proof.
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