

## Research Article

# Zeros for the Gradients of Weakly $A$ -Harmonic Tensors

Yuxia Tong,<sup>1,2</sup> Jiantao Gu,<sup>2</sup> and Shenzhou Zheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

<sup>2</sup> College of Science, Hebei United University, Tangshan, Hebei 063009, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuxia Tong; tongyuxia@126.com

Received 5 February 2014; Accepted 29 April 2014; Published 12 May 2014

Academic Editor: Hongya Gao

Copyright © 2014 Yuxia Tong et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Caccioppoli inequality of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors has been proved, which can be used to consider the weak reverse Hölder inequality, regularity property, and zeros of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the  $A$ -harmonic equation for differential forms

$$d^* A(x, du) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $A : \Omega \times \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \wedge^{l+1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  satisfies the conditions

$$|A(x, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|^{p-1}, \quad \langle A(x, \xi), \xi \rangle \geq \alpha |\xi|^p \quad (2)$$

for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $\xi \in \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Here,  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  are constants and  $1 < p < \infty$  is a fixed exponent associated with (1).  $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  is an  $A$ -harmonic tensor in  $\Omega$  if  $u$  satisfies (1) in  $\Omega$ .

There has been remarkable work [1–10] in the study of (1). When  $u$  is a 0-form, that is,  $u$  is a function, (1) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{div} A(x, \nabla u) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Lots of results have been obtained in recent years about different versions of the  $A$ -harmonic equation; see [11–15].

In 1995, Stroffolini [16] first introduced weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors and gave the higher integrability result of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors. The word *weak* means that the integrable exponent  $r$  of  $u$  is smaller than the natural exponent  $p$ . In 2010, Gao and Wang [17] gave an alternative proof of the higher integrability result of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors by introducing the definition of weak  $WT_2$ -class of differential forms.

*Definition 1* (see [16, 17]). A very weak solution to (1) (also called weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensor) is an element  $u$  of the Sobolev space  $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,r}(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  with  $\max\{1, p-1\} \leq r < p$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \langle A(x, du), d\varphi \rangle dx = 0 \quad (4)$$

for all  $\varphi \in W^{1,(r/(r-p+1))}(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  with compact support.

Under some conditions, the present paper proves that almost every zero for the gradients of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensor  $u$  has infinite order. To do this, we need to give the Caccioppoli inequality and the weak reverse Hölder inequality of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors.

We keep using the traditional notation.

Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be the standard unit basis of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and let  $\wedge^l = \wedge^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be the linear space of  $l$ -covectors, spanned by the exterior products  $e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_l}$ , corresponding to all ordered  $l$ -tuples  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l \leq n$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^1$ . The Grassman algebra  $\wedge = \oplus \wedge^l$  is a graded algebra with respect to the exterior products. For  $\alpha = \sum \alpha^I e_I \in \wedge$  and  $\beta = \sum \beta^I e_I \in \wedge$ , the inner product in  $\wedge$  is given by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sum \alpha^I \beta^I$  with summation over all  $l$ -tuples  $I = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_l)$  and all integers  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . The Hodge star operator  $\star : \wedge \rightarrow \wedge$  is denoted by the rules  $\star 1 = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n$  and  $\alpha \wedge \star \beta = \beta \wedge \alpha = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle (\star 1)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \wedge$ . The norm of  $\alpha \in \wedge$  is given by the formula  $|\alpha|^2 = \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = \star(\alpha \wedge \star \alpha) \in \wedge^0 = \mathbf{R}$ . The Hodge star is an isometric isomorphism on  $\wedge$

with  $\star : \Lambda^l \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-l}$  and  $\star \star (-1)^{l(n-l)} : \Lambda^l \rightarrow \Lambda^l$ . Balls are denoted by  $B$  and  $\rho B$  is the ball with the same center as  $B$  and with  $\text{diam}(\rho B) = \rho \text{diam}(B)$ . We do not distinguish balls from cubes throughout this paper. The  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of a set  $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}^n$  is denoted by  $|E|$ .

Differential forms are important generalizations of real functions and distributions; note that a 0-form is the usual function in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . A differential  $l$ -form  $\omega$  on  $\Omega$  is a Schwartz distribution on  $\omega$  with values in  $\Lambda^l(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . We use  $D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  to denote the space of all differential  $l$ -forms  $\omega(x) = \sum_I \omega_I(x) dx_I = \sum \omega_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_l}(x) dx_{i_1} \wedge dx_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_l}$ . We write  $L^p(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  for the  $l$ -forms with  $\omega_I \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$  for all ordered  $l$ -tuples  $I$ . Thus,  $L^p(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|\omega\|_{p,\Omega} = \left( \int_{\Omega} |\omega(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} = \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum |\omega_I(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx \right)^{1/p}. \tag{5}$$

For  $\omega \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$ , the vector-valued differential form  $\nabla\omega = (\partial\omega/\partial x_1, \dots, \partial\omega/\partial x_n)$  consists of differential forms  $\partial\omega/\partial x_i \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  where the partial differentiations are applied to the coefficients of  $\omega$ . As usual,  $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  is used to denote the Sobolev space of  $l$ -forms, which equals  $L^p(\Omega, \Lambda^l) \cap L^p_1(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  with norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, \Lambda^l)} &= \|\omega\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, \Lambda^l)} \\ &= \text{diam}(\Omega)^{-1} \|\omega\|_{p,\Omega} + \|\nabla\omega\|_{p,\Omega}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The notations  $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \mathbf{R})$  and  $W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  are self-explanatory. We denote the exterior derivative by  $d : D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l) \rightarrow D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l+1})$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Its formal adjoint operator  $d^* : D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l+1}) \rightarrow D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  is given by  $d^* = (-1)^{n+l+1} \star d \star$  on  $D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l+1})$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . A differential  $l$ -form  $u \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^l)$  is called a closed form if  $du = 0$  in  $\Omega$ . It is called exact if there exists a differential form  $\alpha \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l-1})$  such that  $u = d\alpha$ . Poincaré Lemma implies that exact forms are closed.

From [1, 18], if  $D \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  is a bounded, convex domain, to each  $y \in D$  there corresponds a linear operator  $K_y : C^\infty(D, \Lambda^l) \rightarrow C^\infty(D, \Lambda^{l-1})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (K_y\omega)(x; \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{l-1}) \\ = \int_0^1 t^{l-1} \omega(tx + y - ty; x - y, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{l-1}) dt \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and a decomposition  $\omega = d(K_y\omega) + K_y(d\omega)$ . A homotopy operator  $T : C^\infty(D, \Lambda^l) \rightarrow C^\infty(D, \Lambda^{l-1})$  is defined by averaging  $K_y$  over all points  $y$  in  $D$ ; that is,

$$T\omega = \int_D \varphi(y) K_y\omega dy, \tag{8}$$

where  $\varphi \in C^\infty_0(D)$  is normalized by  $\int_D \varphi(y) dy = 1$ . Then, there is also a decomposition

$$\omega = d(T\omega) + T(d\omega). \tag{9}$$

The  $l$ -form  $\omega_D \in D^l(D, \Lambda^l)$  is defined by

$$\omega_D = \begin{cases} |D|^{-1} \int_D \omega(y) dy & \text{if } l = 0 \\ d(T\omega) & \text{if } l = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

for all  $\omega \in L^p(D, \Lambda^l)$ . Clearly,  $\omega_D$  is a closed form and for  $l > 0$ ,  $\omega_D$  is an exact form.

## 2. The Caccioppoli Inequality of Weakly A-Harmonic Tensors

We need the following elementary inequality.

**Lemma 2** (see [19]). *Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are vectors of an inner product space. Then*

$$||X|^{-\varepsilon} X - |Y|^{-\varepsilon} Y| \leq \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon} 2^\varepsilon |X - Y|^{1-\varepsilon} \tag{11}$$

for  $0 \leq \varepsilon < 1$ , and

$$||X|^\varepsilon X - |Y|^\varepsilon Y| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) (|Y| + |X - Y|)^\varepsilon |X - Y| \tag{12}$$

for  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ .

Next is the caccioppoli inequality of weakly A-harmonic tensors.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $u \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l-1})$  be a weakly A-harmonic tensor in a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and  $du \in L^r(D, \Lambda^l)$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ . Then, there exists a constant  $C$ , independent of  $u$ , such that*

$$\int_{B_\rho} |du|^r dx \leq C \left( n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \int_{B_R} \left| \frac{u - c}{R - \rho} \right|^r dx \tag{13}$$

for all balls  $B \subset \Omega$  and all closed forms  $c$ , where  $0 < \rho < R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in W^{1,r}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega, \Lambda^{l-1})$  be a very weak solution of (1). Fix  $R_0 : R_0 \leq d = \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$  for all  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $B_R = B_R(x_0) \subset \subset \Omega$  and  $0 < R/2 \leq \tau < t \leq R$  be arbitrarily fixed cube. Fix a cutoff function  $\eta(x) \in C^\infty_0(B_R)$  such that  $\text{supp } \eta \subset B_t$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ ,  $|\nabla\eta| \leq C(n)/(t - \tau)$ , and  $\eta \equiv 1$  on  $B_\tau$ . Consider the exact form of  $\eta(u - c)$ , where  $c \in D^l(\Omega, \Lambda^{l-1})$  with  $dc = 0$ . With the aid of the Hodge decomposition [18],

$$|d(\eta(u - c))|^{r-p} d(\eta(u - c)) = d\varphi + h, \tag{14}$$

where  $d\varphi, h \in L^{r/(r-p+1)}(B_t, \Lambda^l)$ , and

$$\|h\|_{r/(r-p+1)} \leq C(n) (p - r) \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_r^{r-p+1}. \tag{15}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\varphi\|_{r/(r-p+1)} &\leq \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_r^{r-p} \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_{r/(r-p+1)} \\ &\quad + \|h\|_{r/(r-p+1)} \\ &\leq \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_r^{r-p+1} \\ &\quad + C(n) |p - r| \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_r^{r-p+1} \\ &\leq C(n) \|d(\eta(u - c))\|_r^{r-p+1}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

We can use  $\varphi \in W^{1,r/(r-p+1)}(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  as a test function for (4). Then, by Definition 1,

$$\int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), |d(\eta(u-c))|^{r-p} d(\eta(u-c)) - h \rangle dx = 0. \tag{17}$$

Let

$$E = |d(\eta(u-c))|^{r-p} d(\eta(u-c)) - |\eta d(u-c)|^{r-p} \eta d(u-c); \tag{18}$$

using Lemma 2 yields

$$|E| \leq 2^{p-r} \frac{p-r+1}{r-p+1} |(u-c) d\eta|^{r-p+1}. \tag{19}$$

Then (17) becomes

$$\int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), |\eta d(u-c)|^{r-p} \eta d(u-c) \rangle dx \leq \int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), h \rangle dx - \int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), E \rangle dx. \tag{20}$$

Noticing that  $c$  satisfies  $dc = 0$ , then by the condition (2) we get

$$\int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), |\eta d(u-c)|^{r-p} \eta d(u-c) \rangle dx = \int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), |\eta du|^{r-p} \eta du \rangle dx \geq \alpha \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx. \tag{21}$$

Combining the above inequality with (20), we get

$$\alpha \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \leq \int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), h \rangle dx - \int_{B_t} \langle A(x, du), E \rangle dx = I_1 + I_2. \tag{22}$$

In the following we will estimate the right side of (22). By (2), the Hölder inequality, and (15),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \int_{B_t} |A(x, du)| |h| dx \\ &\leq \beta \int_{B_t} |du|^{p-1} |h| dx \\ &\leq \beta \left( \int_{B_t} |du|^r \right)^{(p-1)/r} \left( \int_{B_t} |h|^{r/(r-p+1)} dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\leq \beta C(n) (p-r) \left( \int_{B_t} |du|^r \right)^{(p-1)/r} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_t} |d(\eta^p(u-c))|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_t} |d(\eta^p(u-c))|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &= \left( \int_{B_t} |\eta^p du + p\eta^{p-1}(u-c) d\eta|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\leq C(p, r) \left( \int_{B_t} |\eta du|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\quad + C(p, r) \left( \int_{B_t} |(u-c) d\eta|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\leq C(p, r) \left( \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\quad + C(n, p, r) \left( \int_{B_t} \left| \frac{u-c}{t-\tau} \right|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

(23) and (24) with Young's inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \beta C(n, p, r) (p-r) \\ &\quad \times \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx + \beta C(n, p, r) (p-r) \varepsilon \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \\ &\quad + \beta C(\varepsilon, n, p, r) (p-r) \int_{B_t} \left| \frac{u-c}{t-\tau} \right|^r dx. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Next we estimate  $I_2$ . By (2), the Hölder inequality, (19), and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq \int_{B_t} |A(x, du)| |E| dx \\ &\leq 2^{p-r} \frac{p-r+1}{r-p+1} \beta \int_{B_t} |du|^{p-1} |(u-c) d\eta|^{r-p+1} dx \\ &\leq \beta C(n, p, r) \left( \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \right)^{(p-1)/r} \\ &\quad \times \left( \int_{B_t} |(u-c) d\eta|^r dx \right)^{(r-p+1)/r} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \beta C(n, p, r) \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx + \beta C(n, p, r, \varepsilon) \\ &\quad \times \int_{B_t} \left| \frac{u-c}{t-\tau} \right|^r dx. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Combining (22), (25), and (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx &\leq \beta C(n, p, r) ((p-r)(1+\varepsilon) + \varepsilon) \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \\ &\quad + \beta C(\varepsilon, n, p, r) ((p-r)+1) \int_{B_t} \left| \frac{u-c}{t-\tau} \right|^r dx. \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Let  $p - r$  and  $\varepsilon$  small enough to let

$$\frac{\beta C(n, p, r)((p - r)(1 + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon)}{\alpha} = \theta < 1; \quad (28)$$

then we have

$$\int_{B_t} |du|^r dx \leq \theta \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx + C \left( n, p, r, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \int_{B_t} \left| \frac{u - c}{t - \tau} \right|^r dx. \quad (29)$$

Next we will refine the inequality (29). Let

$$0 < \rho, t < R, \quad f(t) = \int_{B_t} |du|^r dx, \quad A = \int_{B_R} \left| \frac{u - c}{R - \rho} \right|^r dx. \quad (30)$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  satisfied  $\varepsilon^r > \theta$ . Let

$$t_0 = \rho, \quad t_{i+1} = t_i + (1 - \varepsilon) \varepsilon^i (R - \rho), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (31)$$

then when  $k \rightarrow \infty, t_k \rightarrow R$ . We deduce from (29) that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho) &= f(t_0) \\ &\leq \theta f(t_1) + C \int_{B_{t_1}} \left| \frac{u - c}{(1 - \varepsilon) \varepsilon^0 (R - \rho)} \right|^r dx \\ &\leq \theta f(t_1) + \frac{CA}{(1 - \varepsilon)^r} \\ &\leq \theta^k f(t_k) + \frac{CA}{(1 - \varepsilon)^r} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\theta \varepsilon^{-r})^i. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Let  $k \rightarrow \infty$  yield

$$\int_{B_\rho} |du|^r dx \leq C \left( n, p, r, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \int_{B_R} \left| \frac{u - c}{R - \rho} \right|^r dx. \quad (33)$$

Finally, in our case,  $r$  is sufficiently close to  $p$ ; we can estimate  $C(n, p, r, \beta/\alpha)$  independently of  $r$ .  $\square$

Especially, let  $\rho = R/2, c = u_{B_R}$ , and then (13) becomes

$$\int_{B_{R/2}} |du|^r dx \leq C \left( n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \int_{B_R} \left| \frac{u - u_{B_R}}{R} \right|^r dx, \quad (34)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_{R/2}} |du|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq \frac{C(n, p, \beta/\alpha)}{R} \left( \int_{B_R} |u - u_{B_R}|^r dx \right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

### 3. Zeros for the Gradients of Weakly $A$ -Harmonic Tensors

We need the following Poincaré inequality.

**Lemma 4** (see [16]). *Let  $D$  be a cube or a ball, and  $\omega \in L^s(D, \wedge^l)$  with  $d\omega \in L^s(D, \wedge^{l+1})$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\text{diam } D} \left( \int_D |\omega - \omega_D|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq C(n, s) \left( \int_D |d\omega|^{ns/(n+s-1)} \right)^{(n+s-1)/ns}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Here we denote by  $\int_D$  the integral mean over  $D$ .

Using the Caccioppoli inequality (13) and Lemma 4, we can get the weak-reverse Hölder inequality of weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $u \in D'(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  be a weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensor in a domain  $\Omega \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $du \in L^r(D, \wedge^l), l = 1, \dots, n$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$ , independent of  $u$  and  $R$ , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_{R/2}} |du|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C \left( n, p, r, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \left( \int_{B_R} |du|^{nr/(n+r-1)} dx \right)^{(n+r-1)/nr} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

for all balls  $B \subset \Omega$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_R} |u - u_c|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C(n, r) R \left( \int_{B_R} |du|^{nr/(n+r-1)} dx \right)^{(n+r-1)/nr}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Then, by (35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \int_{B_{R/2}} |du|^r dx \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C \left( n, p, r, \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) \left( \int_{B_R} |du|^{nr/(n+r-1)} dx \right)^{(n+r-1)/nr}. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$\square$

Next we consider the main results of this paper.

**Definition 6** (see [20]). A point  $x_0 \in \Omega$  is said to be an essential zero of a function  $h \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^n} \int_{Q(x_0, R)} |h(x)| dx = 0, \quad (40)$$

where  $Q(x_0, R)$  denotes the cube centered at  $x_0$  of side length  $2R$ . The order of the essential zero is defined to be

$$N(x_0) = \sup \left\{ \alpha : \lim_{R \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{R^{n+\alpha}} \int_{Q(x_0, R)} |h(x)| dx = 0 \right\}. \quad (41)$$

**Lemma 7** (see [20]). *Let  $h \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$  satisfy the weak-reverse Hölder inequality*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q h^p dx \leq A_p \left( \frac{1}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} h dx \right)^p \quad (42)$$

for all cubes  $Q \subset 2Q \subset \Omega$  and some  $1 < p < \infty$ , with a constant  $A_p$  independent of the cube. Then almost every zero of  $h$  has infinite order.

**Theorem 8.** *There exist exponents  $r_0 = r_0(n, p, \beta/\alpha) \in (1, 2)$ ; if  $r > r_0$ , then for the weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensor  $u \in W^{1,r}_{loc}(\Omega, \wedge^{l-1})$  almost every zero of  $du$  has infinite order.*

*Proof.* By the weak-reverse Hölder inequality (37) and Lemma 7, we get the desired result.  $\square$

## Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

## Acknowledgments

The authors are supported by NSFC (11371050) and NSF of Hebei Province (A2013209278).

## References

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, S. Ding, and C. Nolder, *Inequalities for Differential Forms*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.
- [2] C. A. Nolder, "Hardy-Littlewood theorems for  $A$ -harmonic tensors," *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 613–632, 1999.
- [3] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, "Quasiregular mappings in even dimensions," *Acta Mathematica*, vol. 170, no. 1, pp. 29–81, 1993.
- [4] S. Ding and J. Zhu, "Poincaré-type inequalities for the homotopy operator with  $L^p(\Omega)$ -norms," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal. Series A: Theory and Methods*, vol. 74, no. 11, pp. 3728–3735, 2011.
- [5] S. Ding and C. A. Nolder, "Weighted Poincaré inequalities for solutions to  $A$ -harmonic equations," *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp. 199–205, 2002.
- [6] Y. Wang and G. Li, "Weighted decomposition estimates for differential forms," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 649340, 17 pages, 2010.
- [7] Y. Xing, "Poincaré inequalities with Luxemburg norms in  $L^p(m)$ -averaging domains," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 241759, 11 pages, 2010.
- [8] H. Bi, "Weighted inequalities for potential operators on differential forms," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 713625, 13 pages, 2010.
- [9] H. Gao, "Weighted integral inequalities for conjugate  $A$ -harmonic tensors," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 281, no. 1, pp. 253–263, 2003.
- [10] Y. Tong, J. Li, and J. Gu, " $A_r^{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\Omega)$ -weighted inequalities with Lipschitz and BMO norms," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 589040, 14 pages, 2010.
- [11] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear Potential Theory of Degenerate Elliptic Equations*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 1993.
- [12] G. Li and C. Yang, "The existence of a nontrivial solution to a nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem of  $p$ -Laplacian type without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications. An International Multidisciplinary Journal. Series A: Theory and Methods*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 4602–4613, 2010.
- [13] S. Zheng, X. Zheng, and Z. Feng, "Optimal regularity for  $A$ -harmonic type equations under the natural growth," *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems. Series B. A Journal Bridging Mathematics and Sciences*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 669–685, 2011.
- [14] H. Gao, J. Qiao, and Y. Chu, "Local regularity and local boundedness results for very weak solutions of obstacle problems," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2010, Article ID 878769, 12 pages, 2010.
- [15] G. Bao, T. Wang, and G. Li, "On very weak solutions to a class of double obstacle problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 402, no. 2, pp. 702–709, 2013.
- [16] B. Stroffolini, "On weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors," *Studia Mathematica*, vol. 114, no. 3, pp. 289–301, 1995.
- [17] H. Gao and Y. Wang, "Weak  $WT_2$ -class of differential forms and weakly  $A$ -harmonic tensors," *Applied Mathematics. A Journal of Chinese Universities. Ser. B*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 359–366, 2010.
- [18] T. Iwaniec and A. Lutoborski, "Integral estimates for null Lagrangians," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 25–79, 1993.
- [19] T. Iwaniec, L. Migliaccio, L. Nania, and C. Sbordone, "Integrability and removability results for quasiregular mappings in high dimensions," *Mathematica Scandinavica*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 263–279, 1994.
- [20] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, *Geometric Function Theory and Non-Linear Analysis*, The Clarendon Press, New York, NY, USA, 2001.