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#### Abstract

We consider the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the $p(x)$-Kirchhoff-type equations without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Using the Mountain Pass Lemma, the Fountain Theorem, and its dual, the existence of solutions and infinitely many solutions were obtained, respectively.


## 1. Introduction

The Kirchhoff equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{\rho_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right| d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced by Kirchhoff [1] in the study of oscillations of stretched strings and plates, where $\rho, \rho_{0}, h, E$, and $L$ are constants. The stationary analogue of the Kirchhoff equation, that is, (1), is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right) \Delta u=f(x, u) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

After the excellent work of Lions [2], problem (2) has received more attention; see [3-10] and references therein.

The $p(x)$-Laplace operator arises from various phenomena, for instance, the image restoration [11], the electro-rheological fluids [12], and the thermoconvective flows of nonNewtonian fluids [13, 14]. The study of the $p(x)$-Laplace operator is based on the theory of the generalized Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and the Sobolev space $W^{m, p(x)}(\Omega)$, which we called variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev space. We refer the reader to [15-19] for an overview on the variable exponent Sobo-lev space, and to [20-29] for the study of the $p(x)$ -Laplacian-type equations.

Recently, there has been an increasing interest in studying the Kirchhoff equation involving the $p(x)$-Laplace operator.

Autuori et al. [30, 31] have dealt with the nonstationary Kirch-hoff-type equation involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t t}-M & \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta_{p(x)} u \\
+ & Q\left(t, x, u, u_{t}\right)+f(x, u)=0 \\
u_{t t}-M & \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta_{p(x)} u  \tag{3}\\
& +\mu|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} u+Q\left(t, x, u, u_{t}\right)=f(t, x, u)
\end{align*}
$$

In [32-35], applying variational method and AmbrosettiRabinowitz (AR) condition, Guowei Dai has studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the $p(x)$-Kirchhofftype equations with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition. In [36], by using $\left(S_{+}\right)$mapping theory and the Mountain Pass Lemma, Fan has discussed the nonlocal $p(x)$-Laplacian Dirichlet problem with the nonvariational form

$$
\begin{align*}
-A(u) \Delta_{p(x)} u & =B(u) f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4}\\
u & =0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

and the $p(x)$-Kirchhoff-type equation with the variational form

$$
\begin{align*}
& -a\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta_{p(x)} u \\
& \quad=b\left(\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x\right) f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{5}\\
& u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
$$

under (AR) condition, where $A, B$ are two functionals defined on $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, and $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$.

Motivated by the above works, the purpose of this paper is to study the $p(x)$-Kirchhoff-type equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(a+b \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \Delta_{p(x)} u=f(x, u), \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

without (AR) condition, where $\Omega$ is a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, a, b$ are two positive constants, $\Delta_{p(x)} u=$ $\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u(x)\right), p \in C^{0, \beta}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\beta \in(0,1)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<p^{-}:=\inf _{\Omega} p(x) \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{\Omega} p(x)<+\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the famous Mountain Pass Lemma, the Fountain Theorem, and its dual, we obtain the existence of solutions and infinitely many solutions for the $p(x)$-Kirchhoff-type equation (6) under no (AR) condition.

## 2. Preliminary

We recall in this section some definitions and properties of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev space. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by
$L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left\{u: u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is measurable, } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L^{p(x)}}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\sigma>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u}{\sigma}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{1, p(x)}}=\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. $|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ is an equivalent norm on $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. In this paper we use the notation $\|u\|=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ for $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Define

$$
p^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text { if } p(x)<N  \tag{12}\\ +\infty & \text { if } p(x) \geq N\end{cases}
$$

We refer the reader to [36-38] for the elementary properties of the space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

Proposition 1 (see [38]). Set $\rho(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, then the following are given:
(1) $|u|_{p(x)}=\lambda \Leftrightarrow \rho(u / \lambda)=1$ if $u \neq 0$;
(2) $|u|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$;
(3) $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \quad$ if $|u|_{p(x)}>1$;
(4) $|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \quad$ if $|u|_{p(x)}<1$;
(5) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=0 \Leftrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=0$;
(6) $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{k}\right|_{p(x)}=+\infty \Leftrightarrow \lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \rho\left(u_{k}\right)=+\infty$.

## 3. Positive Energy Solution

In this section we discuss the existence of weak solutions of (6). For simplicity we write $X=W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$.

First, we state the assumptions on $f$ as follows.
$\left(f_{0}\right)$ Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq c_{1}+c_{2}|t|^{\alpha(x)-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1<\alpha(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and there exist positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(x, t)| \leq c_{1}+c_{2}|t|^{\alpha(x)-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $p^{+}<\alpha(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$; $t f(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $t>0$.
$\left(f_{1}\right)$ Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left(F(x, t) /|t|^{2 p^{+}}\right)=+\infty$, uniformly for $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$.
$\left(f_{2}\right)$ There exists $\theta \geq 1$ such that $\theta G(x, t) \geq G(x, s t)$ for $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ and $s \in[0,1]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t)=t f(x, t)-2 p^{+} F(x, t) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(f_{3}\right)$ Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(F(x, t) /|t|^{p^{+}}\right)=0$, uniformly on $x \in$ $\Omega$.
$\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ There exists $\delta>0$, such that $F(x, t) \leq 0$ for $x \in$ $\bar{\Omega},|t|<\delta$.
$\left(f_{4}\right)$ Let $f(x, t)=-f(x,-t)$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(f_{5}\right)$ Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}\left(\bar{F}(x, t) /|t|^{q^{+}}\right)=0$, uniformly on $x \in$ $\bar{\Omega}$, where $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies $1<q(x)<p(x)$ for $x \in$ $\bar{\Omega}$.

Remark 2. Condition $\left(f_{2}\right)$ was first introduced by Jeanjean [39] for the case $p(x)=2$. Let $f(x, t)=2 p^{+}|t|^{2 p^{+}-2} t \ln |t|$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t)=|t|^{2 p^{+}} \ln |t|-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}|t|^{2 p^{+}}, \quad G(x, t)=|t|^{2 p^{+}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that the function $f$ does not satisfy (AR) condition, but it satisfies $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{5}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)$.

Define $I(u)=J(u)-\Phi(u)$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
J(u)=\left(a+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x, \\
\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d u . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$.
Proposition 3 (see [38]). Assume that $\left(f_{0}\right)$ hold, then the functional $J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is sequentially weakly continuous, and $I$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous.

Proposition 4 (see [37]). Assume that $\left(f_{0}\right)$ hold, and let $u_{0} \in$ $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ be a local minimizer (resp., a strictly local minimizer) of I in the $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ topology. Then $u_{0}$ is a local minimizer (resp., a strictly local minimizer) of I in the $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ topology.

Definition 5. We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (6), if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(a+b \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x  \tag{18}\\
\quad=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x
\end{gather*}
$$

for any $v \in X$.
Definition 6. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Given $c \in \mathbb{R}$. we say that $I$ satisfies the Cerami $c$ condition (we denote by $(C)_{c}$ the condition), if
(i) any bounded sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$ and $I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ has a convergent subsequence;
(ii) there exist constants $\delta, R, \beta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|\left\|I^{\prime}(u)\right\| \geq \beta, \quad \forall u \in I^{-1}[c-\delta, c+\delta], \quad\|u\| \geq R . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies $(C)_{c}$ condition for every $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then we say that $I$ satisfies $(C)$ condition.

Remark 7. Although (PS) condition is stronger than (C) condition, the Deformation Theorem is still valid under (C) condition; we see that the Mountain Pass Lemma, the Fountain Theorem, and its dual are true under $(C)$ condition.

Lemma 8. Assume that conditions $\left(f_{0}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ hold. Then I satisfies (C) condition.

Proof. From [36, Proposition 3.1], I satisfies (i) of (C) condition.

Now we check that $I$ satisfies (ii) of ( $C$ ) condition. Arguing by contradiction, we may assume that, for some $c \in$ $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow c, \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\| \longrightarrow \infty, \quad\left\|u_{n}\right\|\left\|I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\| \longrightarrow 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{a \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right. \\
&+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x  \tag{21}\\
&\left.+\frac{1}{2 p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) d x\right\} \\
&= \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{I\left(u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle\right\}=c .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $v_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, then up to a subsequence we may assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { in } X, \\
v_{n} \longrightarrow v \quad \text { in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega),  \tag{22}\\
v_{n}(x) \longrightarrow v(x) \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \Omega .
\end{gather*}
$$

If $v=0$, inspired by $[13,14]$, then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)=\max _{t \in[0,1]} I\left(t u_{n}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $m>1 / 2 p^{+}$, let $w_{n}=\left(2 m p^{+}\right)^{1 / p^{-}} v_{n}$. Since $w_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, t)| \leq c_{5}+c_{6}|t|^{\alpha(x)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the continuity of $F(x, \cdot), F\left(\cdot, w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\cdot, w_{n}\right) d x=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for $n$ large enough, $\left(2 m p^{+}\right)^{1 / p^{-}} /\left\|u_{n}\right\| \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \geq & I\left(w_{n}\right) \\
= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{n}\right) d x \\
= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(\left(2 m p^{+}\right)^{1 / p^{-}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|\right)^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left(\left(2 m p^{+}\right)^{1 / p^{-}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|\right)^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2} \\
& -\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{n}\right) d x \\
\geq & \frac{2 m a}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{2 m^{2} b}{\left(p^{+}\right)^{2}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{n}\right) d x \\
\geq & \frac{2 m a}{p^{+}}+\frac{2 m^{2} b}{\left(p^{+}\right)^{2}}-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, w_{n}\right) d x . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

That is, $I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$. From $I(0)=0$ and $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c$, we know that $t_{n} \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
a \int_{\Omega} \mid \nabla & \left.t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +b\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla t_{n} u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} f\left(x, t_{n} u_{n}\right) u_{n} d x \\
= & \left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle=\left.t_{n} \frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=t_{n}} I\left(t u_{n}\right)=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \int_{\Omega}( & \left.\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2 p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq a \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
&+\frac{b}{2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
&+\frac{1}{2 p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G\left(x, t_{n} u_{n}\right)}{\theta} d x \\
& \geq \frac{a}{\theta} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\right) t_{n}^{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad+\frac{b}{2 \theta} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} t_{n}^{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad \times \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{p(x)}-\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right) t_{n}^{p(x)}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{2 p^{+}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{G\left(x, t_{n} u_{n}\right)}{\theta} d x \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta}\left(I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2 p^{+}}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right), t_{n} u_{n}\right\rangle\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\theta} I\left(t_{n} u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

This contradicts (21).

$$
\text { If } v \neq 0 \text {, from (20), when }\left\|u_{n}\right\| \geq 1
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{p^{-}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}+\frac{b}{2\left(p^{-}\right)^{2}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p^{+}}-(c+o(1)) \geq \int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{n}\right) d x \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from $\left(f_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{a}{p^{-}} \frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{p^{+}}}+\frac{b}{2\left(p^{-}\right)^{2}}-\frac{c+o(1)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p^{+}}} \\
& \quad \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2 p^{+}}} d x  \tag{30}\\
& \quad=\left(\int_{v_{n} \neq 0}+\int_{v_{n}=0}\right) \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}} d x \\
& \quad=\int_{v_{n} \neq 0} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}} d x .
\end{align*}
$$

For $x \in \Theta:=\{x \in \Omega: v(x) \neq 0\},\left|u_{n}(x)\right| \rightarrow+\infty$. By $\left(f_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{p^{+}}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{p^{+}} \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Lebesgue measure of $\Theta$ is positive; using the Fatou Lemma, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{n} \neq 0} \frac{F\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left|u_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}}}\left|v_{n}\right|^{2 p^{+}} d x \longrightarrow+\infty \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts (30).
The technique used in this lemma was first introduced by [39, 40].

Theorem 9. Assume that conditions $\left(f_{0}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$ (or $\left.\left(f_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ hold. Then (6) has a nontrivial solution with positive energy.

Proof. From Lemma 8, I satisfies (C) condition. Let us show that the functional $I$ has a Mountain-Pass-type geometry.

Note that $I(0)=0 . \operatorname{By}\left(f_{3}\right)$, there exists $\delta>0$, and for any $u \in X$ with $|u|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}<\delta$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}+\frac{b}{\left(p^{+}\right)^{2}}\|u\|^{2 p^{+}}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x>0 . \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that 0 is a strictly local minimizer of $I$ in the $C(\bar{\Omega})$ topology, and hence 0 is a strictly local minimizer of $I$ in the $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ topology. By [37, Theorem 1.1], 0 is a strictly local minimizer of $I$ in the $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ topology. Thus there exists $r>0$ such that $I(u)>0$ for every $u \in X \backslash\{0\}$ with $\|u\| \leq r$.

We claim that $\inf _{\|u\|=r} I(u)>0$. To prove this claim, arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=r$ such that $I\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We may assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $X$. Since $I$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, we have that $I\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, and hence $u_{0}=0$. Since $\Phi$ is sequentially weakly continuous, then we have that $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \Phi(0)=0$, and hence $J\left(u_{n}\right)=I\left(u_{n}\right)+$ $\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. It follows from this that $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $X$ which contradicts with $\left\|u_{n}\right\|=r$.

Let $y \in X$ with $y>0$ in $\Omega$ and $\|y\|=1 . \operatorname{By}\left(f_{0}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)$, for $s \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I(s y)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla s y|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +b\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla s y|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, s y) d x \\
\leq & \frac{a}{p^{-}} s^{p^{+}}+\frac{b}{\left(p^{-}\right)^{2}} s^{2 p^{+}} \\
& -c_{1} s^{2 p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|y|^{2 p^{+}} d x+c_{2} \longrightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } s \longrightarrow+\infty . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

We set $e=s y$. Then for $s$ large, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|e\|>r, \quad I(e)<0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by the famous Mountain Pass Lemma, problem (6) has a nontrivial weak solution with positive energy.

## 4. Infinitely Many Solutions

Since $X$ is a reflexive and separable Banach space, then there exists $\left\{e_{j}\right\} \subset X$ and $\left\{e_{j}^{*}\right\} \subset X^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
X=\overline{\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}}, \\
\overline{X^{*}}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}^{*}: j=1,2, \ldots\right\}  \tag{36}\\
\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}^{*},\right\rangle= \begin{cases}1, & i=j, \\
0, & i \neq j\end{cases}
\end{gather*}
$$

For convenience, we write $X_{j}=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{j}\right\}, Y_{k}=\oplus_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}, Z_{k}=$ $\overline{\oplus_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{j}}$.

Lemma 10 (see [21]). If $\alpha \in C(\bar{\Omega}), 1<\alpha(x)<p^{*}$ for any $x \in$ $\bar{\Omega}$, denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{k}=\sup \left\{|u|_{\alpha(x)}:\|u\|=1, u \in Z_{k}\right\} . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \beta_{k}=0$.
Proposition 11 (Fountain Theorem). Assume that $I \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional. If, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}\right) a_{k}=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} I(u) \leq 0 \\
& \left(A_{2}\right) b_{k}=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} I(u) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(A_{3}\right) I$ satisfies $(C)_{c}$ condition for every $c>0$, then I has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

Proposition 12 (Dual Fountain Theorem). Assume that $I \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is an even functional. If, for any $k \geq k_{0}$, there exists $\rho_{k}>r_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(B_{1}\right) a_{k}=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} I(u) \geq 0 \\
& \left(B_{2}\right) b_{k}=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} I(u)<0 \\
& \left(B_{3}\right) d_{k}=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} I(u) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(B_{4}\right)$ I satisfies $(c)_{c}^{*}$ condition for every $c \in\left[d_{k_{0}, 0}\right]$,
then I has a sequence of negative critical values converging to 0 .

Theorem 13. Assume that the conditions $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{4}\right)$ hold. Then (6) has infinitely many solutions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that $I\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ ask $\rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By conditions $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)$, and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, u) \geq C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{2 p^{+}}-\varepsilon|u|^{p^{+}}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in Y_{k}$, when $\|u\|>1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
\leq & \frac{a}{p^{-}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}+\frac{b}{2\left(p^{-}\right)^{2}}\|u\|^{2 p^{+}} \\
& -C_{\varepsilon}|u|_{2 p^{+}}^{2 p^{+}}+\varepsilon|u|_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}} \longrightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\|u\| \longrightarrow+\infty . \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for some $\rho_{k}>0$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{k}:=\max _{u \in Y_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} I(u) \leq 0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(f_{3}\right)$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|^{p^{+}}+C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha(x)}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta_{k}:=\sup _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}}|u|_{\alpha^{-}}$. From Lemma 10, $\beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For $u \in Z_{k}$, when $\|u\| \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}+\frac{b}{2\left(p^{+}\right)^{2}}\|u\|^{2 p^{-}}-C_{\varepsilon}|u|_{\alpha^{-}}^{\alpha^{-}}-\varepsilon|u|_{p^{+}}^{p^{+}}  \tag{42}\\
\geq & \frac{a}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}-c|u|_{\alpha^{-}}^{\alpha^{-}} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}-c \beta_{k}\|u\|^{\alpha^{-}} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose $r_{k}:=\left(a / 4 c p^{+} \beta_{k}^{\alpha-}\right)^{1 /\left(\alpha^{-}-p^{-}\right)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then, for $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\|=r_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq \frac{a}{4 p^{+}}\left(\frac{a}{4 c p^{+} \beta_{k}^{\alpha^{-}}}\right)^{p^{-} /\left(\alpha^{-}-p^{-}\right)}:=\bar{b}_{k} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $b_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=r_{k}} I(u) \geq \bar{b}_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow+\infty$.

Theorem 14. Assume that conditions $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right),\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right),\left(f_{4}\right)$, and $\left(f_{5}\right)$ hold. Then (6) has infinitely many solutions $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that $I\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. By conditions $\left(f_{0}^{\prime}\right),\left(f_{1}\right)$, and $\left(f_{5}\right)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, u) \geq C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{2 p^{+}}-\varepsilon|u|^{q^{+}}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in Y_{k}$, when $\|u\|$ is large enough,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(u)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
\leq & \frac{a}{p^{-}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}+\frac{b}{\left(p^{-}\right)^{2}}\|u\|^{2 p^{+}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-C_{\varepsilon}|u|_{2 p^{+}}^{2 p^{+}}+\varepsilon|u|_{q^{-}}^{q^{-}} \longrightarrow-\infty
$$

$$
\text { as }\|u\| \longrightarrow+\infty .
$$

Then for some $r_{k}>0$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}:=\max _{u \in Y_{k}\|u\|=r_{k}} I(u)<0 . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by $\left(f_{5}\right)$, there exists $C_{\varepsilon}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq \varepsilon|u|^{q^{-}}+C_{\varepsilon}|u|^{\alpha(x)}, \quad \forall(x, u) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta_{k}:=\sup _{u \in Z_{k}\| \| \|=r_{k}}|u|_{q^{-}}$, then $\beta_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. For $u \in Z_{k}$, when $\|u\|$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough,

$$
\begin{align*}
I(u)= & a \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& +\frac{b}{2}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x\right)^{2}-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
\geq & \frac{a}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c C_{\varepsilon}|u|_{\alpha^{-}}^{\alpha^{-}}-c \varepsilon|u|_{q^{+}}^{q^{+}}  \tag{48}\\
\geq & \frac{a}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c|u|_{q^{+}}^{q^{+}} \\
\geq & \frac{a}{2 p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}}-c \beta_{k}^{q^{+}}\|u\|^{q^{+}} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we choose $\rho_{k}:=\left(4 c p^{+} \beta_{k}^{q^{+}} / a\right)^{1 /\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, then, for $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\|=\rho_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq c \beta_{k}^{q^{+}}\left(\frac{4 c p^{+} \beta_{k}^{q^{+}}}{a}\right)^{q^{+} /\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right)}:=\bar{a}_{k} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $a_{k}:=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\|=\rho_{k}} I(u) \geq \bar{a}_{k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow$ $+\infty$.

Furthermore, if $u \in Z_{k}$ with $\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(u) \geq-c \beta_{k}^{q^{-}} \rho_{k}^{q^{-}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } k \longrightarrow \infty \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $d_{k}=\inf _{u \in Z_{k},\|u\| \leq \rho_{k}} I(u) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.
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