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We characterize the conditions under which approximately multiplicative functionals are near multiplicative functionals on weighted Hardy spaces.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a commutative Banach algebra and $\hat{\mathscr{A}}$ the set of all its characters, that is, the nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on $\mathcal{A}$. If $\varphi$ is a linear functional on $\mathcal{A}$, then define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\breve{\varphi}(a, b)=\varphi(a b)-\varphi(a) \varphi(b) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that $\varphi$ is $\delta$-multiplicative if $\|\breve{\varphi}\| \leq \delta$.
For each $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^{\star}$ define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\varphi)=\inf \{\|\varphi-\psi\|: \psi \in \hat{\mathcal{A}} \cup\{0\}\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra in which approximately multiplicative functionals are near multiplicative functionals or $\mathcal{A}$ is $A M N M$ for short if, for each $\varepsilon>0$, there is $\delta>0$ such that $d(\varphi)<\varepsilon$ whenever $\varphi$ is a $\delta$-multiplicative linear functional.

We deal with an algebra in which every approximately multiplicative functional is near a multiplicative functional ( $A M N M$ algebra). The question whether an almost multiplicative map is close to a multiplicative, constitutes an interesting problem. Johnson has shown that various Banach algebras are AMNM and some of them fail to be AMNM [1-3]. Also, this property is still unknown for some Banach algebras such as $H^{\infty}$, Douglas algebras,
and $R(K)$ where $K$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{C}$. Here, we want to investigate conditions under which a weighted Hardy space is to be $A M N M$. For some sources on these topics one can refer to [1-8].

Let $\{\beta(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\beta(0)=1$ and $1<p<\infty$. We consider the space of sequences $f=\{\widehat{f}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|^{p}=\|f\|_{\beta}^{p}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(n)|^{p} \beta^{p}(n)<\infty . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The notation $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^{n}$ will be used whether or not the series converges for any value of $z$. These are called formal power series or weighted Hardy spaces. Let $H^{p}(\beta)$ denote the space of all such formal power series. These are reflexive Banach spaces with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta}$. Also, the dual of $H^{p}(\beta)$ is $H^{q}\left(\beta^{p / q}\right)$, where $1 / p+1 / q=1$ and $\beta^{p / q}=\left\{\beta(n)^{p / q}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (see [9]). Let $\widehat{f}_{k}(n)=\delta_{k}(n)$. So $f_{k}(z)=z^{k}$, and then $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is a basis such that $\left\|f_{k}\right\|=\beta(k)$ for all $k$. For some sources one can see [9-21].

## 2. Main Results

In this section we investigate the $A M N M$ property of the spaces of formal power series. For the proof of our main theorem we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1$. Then, $H^{p}(\beta)^{\star}=H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$, where $\beta^{-1}=\left\{\beta^{-1}(n)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.
Proof. Define $L: H^{q}\left(\beta^{p / q}\right) \rightarrow H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$ by $L(f)=F$, where

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^{n},  \tag{2.1}\\
F(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) \beta^{p}(n) z^{n} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\|F\|_{H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)}^{q} & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(n)|^{q}\left(\frac{\beta^{p q}(n)}{\beta^{q}(n)}\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\widehat{f}(n)|^{q} \beta^{p}(n)  \tag{2.2}\\
& =\|f\|_{H^{p}\left(\beta^{p / q}\right)}^{q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $L$ is an isometry. It is also surjective because, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{F}(n) z^{n} \in H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $L(f)=F$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\widehat{F}(n)}{\beta^{p}(n)}\right) z^{n} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $H^{q}\left(\beta^{p / q}\right)$ and $H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$ are norm isomorphic. Since $H^{p}(\beta)^{\star}=H^{q}\left(\beta^{p / q}\right)$, the proof is complete.

In the proof of the following theorem, our technique is similar to B. E. Johnson's technique in [2].

Theorem 2.2. Let $\lim \inf \beta(n)>1$ and $1<p<\infty$. Then, $H^{p}(\beta)$ with multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^{n}\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(n) z^{n}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) \widehat{g}(n) z^{n} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a commutative Banach algebra that is AMNM.
Proof. First note that clearly $H^{p}(\beta)$ is a commutative Banach algebra. To prove that it is $A M N M$, let $0<\varepsilon<1$ and put $\delta=\varepsilon^{2} / 16$. Suppose that $\varphi \in H^{q}\left(\beta^{-1}\right)$ and $\|\breve{\varphi}\| \leq \delta$, where $1 / p+1 / q=1$. It is sufficient to show that $d(\varphi)<\varepsilon$. Since $d(\varphi) \leq\|\varphi\|$, if $\|\varphi\|<\varepsilon$, then $d(\varphi) \leq\|\varphi\|$. So suppose that $\|\phi\| \geq \varepsilon$. For each subset $E$ of $\mathbb{N}_{0}(=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}(E)=\left(\sum_{j \in E}|\widehat{\varphi}(j)|^{q} \beta^{-q}(j)\right)^{1 / q} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi}(j) z^{j} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any subsets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ of $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{\varphi}^{q}\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) & =\sum_{j \in E_{1} \cup E_{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(j)|^{q} \beta^{-q}(j) \\
& \leq \sum_{j \in E_{1}}|\widehat{\varphi}(j)|^{q} \beta^{-q}(j)+\sum_{j \in E_{2}}|\widehat{\varphi}(j)|^{q} \beta^{-q}(j)  \tag{2.8}\\
& =n_{\varphi}^{q}\left(E_{1}\right)+n_{\varphi}^{q}\left(E_{2}\right) \\
& \leq\left(n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1}\right)+n_{\varphi}\left(E_{2}\right)\right)^{q} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) \leq n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1}\right)+n_{\varphi}\left(E_{2}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $E_{1}, E_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Also if $E_{1} \cap E_{2}=\emptyset$, then, by considering $f, g$ with support, respectively, in $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, we get that $f g=0$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\varphi(f)||\varphi(g)|=|\breve{\varphi}(f, g)| \leq \delta\|f\|\|g\| \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking supremum over all such $f$ and $g$ with norm one, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1}\right) \cdot n_{\varphi}\left(E_{2}\right) \leq \delta \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So either $n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ or $n_{\varphi}\left(E_{2}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ whenever $E_{1} \cap E_{2}=\emptyset$.
For all $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \leq\|\varphi\|=n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}\right) \leq n_{\varphi}(E)+n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash \mathrm{E}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash \mathrm{E}\right) \geq \varepsilon-n_{\varphi}(E) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash E\right) \cap E=\emptyset$, as we saw earlier, it should be $n_{\varphi}(E) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ or $n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash E\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ and equivalently it should be $n_{\varphi}(E) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ or $n_{\varphi}(E) \geq 3 \varepsilon / 4$ for all $E \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

Note that, if $E_{1}, E_{2} \subseteq \mathbb{N}_{0}$ with $n_{\varphi}\left(E_{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ for $i=1,2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) \leq n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1}\right)+n_{\varphi}\left(E_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the relation $n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) \geq 3 \varepsilon / 4$ is not true and so it should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(E_{1} \cup E_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|\varphi\|>\varepsilon$, clearly there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $n_{\varphi}\left(S_{j}\right)>\varepsilon$ for all $j \geq n_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}=\left\{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}: i \leq j\right\} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Now, let $n_{\varphi}(\{i\}) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n_{0}$. Since $n_{\varphi}\left(S_{0}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ and $n_{\varphi}(\{1\}) \leq \varepsilon / 4, n_{\varphi}\left(S_{1}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$. By continuing this manner we get that $n_{\varphi}\left(S_{n_{0}}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$, which is a contradiction. Hence there exists $m_{0} \in S_{n_{0}}$ such that $n_{\varphi}\left(\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \geq 3 \varepsilon / 4$. On the other hand, since $\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \cap\left\{m_{0}\right\}=\emptyset, n_{\varphi}\left(\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$ or $n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$. But $n_{\varphi}\left(\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \geq 3 \varepsilon / 4$, and so it should be $n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \leq \varepsilon / 4$.

Remember that $f_{j}(z)=z^{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Now we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\breve{\varphi}\left(f_{m_{0}}, f_{m_{0}}\right)\right| & =\left|\varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}^{2}\right)-\varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}\right) \varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)-\varphi^{2}\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right|\left|1-\varphi\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right|  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right|\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(f_{m_{0}}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \delta \beta^{2}\left(m_{0}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right| \beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left(\beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right|\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{16^{\prime}}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\varphi}\left(\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right)\left(\beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right|\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{16} . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $n_{\varphi}\left(\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right) \geq 3 \varepsilon / 4$, and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{12} . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\psi(z)=z^{m_{0}}$. Then $\psi \in \widehat{H^{p}}(\beta)$, and we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\varphi-\psi\| & =\left\|\sum_{n \neq m_{0}} \widehat{\varphi}(n) z^{n}+\left(\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)-1\right) z^{m_{0}}\right\| \\
& =\left(\sum_{n \neq m_{0}}|\widehat{\varphi}(n)|^{q} \beta^{-q}(n)\right)^{1 / q}+\beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right|  \tag{2.21}\\
& =n_{\varphi}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \backslash\left\{m_{0}\right\}\right)+\beta^{-1}\left(m_{0}\right)\left|1-\widehat{\varphi}\left(m_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}+\frac{\varepsilon}{12}<\varepsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, indeed $d(\varphi) \leq \varepsilon$, and so the proof is complete.
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