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Let $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)(-1 \leq B<1, B<A, 0<\gamma \leq 1$ and $\alpha>0)$ denote the class of functions of the form $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}(n \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\})$, which are analytic in the open unit disk $U$ and satisfy the following subordination condition $f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)<((1+A z) /(1+B z))^{r}$, for $(z \in U ; A \leq 1 ; 0<\gamma<1),(1+A z) /(1+B z)$, for $(z \in U ; \gamma=1)$. We obtain sharp bounds on $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z), \operatorname{Re} f(z) / z,|f(z)|$, and coefficient estimates for functions $f(z)$ belonging to the class $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Conditions for univalency and starlikeness, convolution properties, and the radius of convexity are also considered.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A_{n}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \quad(n \in N=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U=\{z: z \in C$ and $|z|<1\}$. Let $S_{n}$ and $S_{n}^{*}$ denote the subclasses of $A_{n}$ whose members are univalent and starlike, respectively.

For functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ analytic in $U$, we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $U$ and we write $f(z)<g(z)(z \in U)$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in $U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(z)| \leq|z|, \quad f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if the function $g(z)$ is univalent in $U$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in U) \Longleftrightarrow f(0)=g(0), \quad f(U) \subset g(U) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout our present discussion, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \in N, \quad-1 \leq B<1, \quad B<A, \quad \alpha>0, \quad \beta<1, \quad 0<\gamma \leq 1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the following subclass of $A_{n}$.
Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in A_{n}$ is said to be in the class $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)<h(z) \quad(z \in U) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
h(z)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{1+A z}{1+B z}\right)^{\gamma}, & (A \leq 1 ; 0<\gamma<1)  \tag{1.6}\\ \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, & (\gamma=1)\end{cases}
$$

The classes

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}(1-2 \beta,-1,1,1)=R(\beta) \quad(\beta=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \beta<1), \quad T_{1}(A, 0,1, \alpha)=\widetilde{R}(\alpha, A) \quad(A>0) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been studied by several authors (see [1-5]). Recently, Gao and Zhou [6] showed some mapping properties of the following subclass of $A_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\beta, \alpha)=\left\{f(z) \in A_{1}: \operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right\}>\beta(z \in U)\right\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\beta, 1)=R(\beta), \quad T_{1}(1-2 \beta,-1,1, \alpha)=R(\beta, \alpha) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For further information of the above classes (with $\gamma=1$ ) and related analytic function classes, see Srivastava et al. [7], Yang and Liu [8], Kim [9], and Kim and Srivastava [10].

In this paper, we obtain sharp bounds on $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z), \operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z),|f(z)|$, and coefficient estimates for functions $f(z)$ belonging to the class $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Conditions for univalency and starlikeness, convolution properties, and the radius of convexity are also presented. One can see that the methods used in [6] do not work for the more general class $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ than $R(\beta, \alpha)$.

## 2. The bounds on $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }} f^{\prime}(z), \boldsymbol{\operatorname { R e }}(f(z) / z)$, and $|f(z)|$ in $T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$

In this section, we let

$$
\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)= \begin{cases}\sum_{j=0}^{m}\binom{\gamma}{j}\binom{-\gamma}{m-j} A^{j} B^{m-j}, & (A \leq 1 ; 0<\gamma<1)  \tag{2.1}\\ (A-B)(-B)^{m-1}, & (\gamma=1)\end{cases}
$$

where $m \in N$ and

$$
\binom{r}{j}= \begin{cases}\frac{r(\gamma-1) \cdots(r-j+1)}{j!}, & (j=1,2, \ldots, m)  \tag{2.2}\\ 1, & (j=0)\end{cases}
$$

With (2.1), it is easily seen that the function $h(z)$ given by (1.6) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma) z^{m} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Then, for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z) \geq 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} \lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{\alpha n m+1} r^{n m},  \tag{2.4}\\
& \quad \operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z) \leq 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{\alpha n m+1} r^{n m}
\end{align*}
$$

The bounds in (2.4) are sharp for the function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=z+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} z^{n m+1} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The analytic function $h(z)$ given by (1.6) is convex (univalent) in $U$ (cf. [11]) and satisfies $h(\bar{z})=\overline{h(z)}(z \in U)$. Thus, for $|\zeta| \leq \sigma(\zeta \in C$ and $\sigma<1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(-\sigma) \leq \operatorname{Re} h(\zeta) \leq h(\sigma) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Then, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=h(w(z)) \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(z)=w_{n} z^{n}+w_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$ is analytic and $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in U$. By the Schwarz lemma, we know that $|w(z)| \leq|z|^{n}(z \in U)$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{1 / \alpha} f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\alpha} z^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h(w(z)) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha} z^{-1 / \alpha} \int_{0}^{z} \zeta^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h(w(\zeta)) d \zeta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h(w(t z)) d t \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(t z)| \leq(t r)^{n} \quad(|z|=r<1 ; 0 \leq t \leq 1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from (2.6) and (2.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h\left(-(t r)^{n}\right) d t \leq \operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h\left((t r)^{n}\right) d t \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using (2.3) and (2.12), we can obtain (2.4).
Furthermore, for the function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.5), we find that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n}^{\prime}(z)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{\alpha n m+1} z^{n m},  \tag{2.13}\\
f_{n}^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f_{n}^{\prime \prime}(z)=1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma) z^{n m}=h\left(z^{n}\right) \prec h(z) \quad(z \in U) . \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, $f_{n}(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ and from (2.13), we see that the bounds in (2.4) are the best possible.

Hereafter, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(A, B, 1, \alpha)=T_{n}(A, B, \alpha) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.2. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$. Then, for $z \in U$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}  \tag{2.16}\\
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)<1+(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-B)^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1} \quad(B \neq-1) \tag{2.17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The results are sharp.
Proof. For $\gamma=1$, it follows from (2.12) (used in the proof of Theorem 2.1) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1}\left(\frac{1-A t^{n}}{1-B t^{n}}\right) d t  \tag{2.18}\\
\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)<\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1}\left(\frac{1+A t^{n}}{1+B t^{n}}\right) d t \quad(B \neq-1)
\end{gather*}
$$

for $z \in U$. From these, we have the desired results.
The bounds in (2.16) and (2.17) are sharp for the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=z+(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-B)^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} z^{n m+1} \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$. Then, for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z} \geq 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m} \lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} r^{n m} \\
& \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z} \leq 1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} r^{n m} \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The results are sharp.
Proof. Noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z \int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime}(u z) d u, \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z}=\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(u z) d u \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

an application of Theorem 2.1 yields (2.20). Furthermore, the results are sharp for the function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.5).

Corollary 2.4. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$. Then, for $z \in U$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z}>1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} \\
& \operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z}<1+(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-B)^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

The results are sharp for the function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.19).
Proof. For $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.10) (with $\gamma=1$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1}\left(\frac{1-A(u t)^{n}}{1-B(u t)^{n}}\right) d t<\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(u z)<\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1}\left(\frac{1+A(u t)^{n}}{1+B(u t)^{n}}\right) d t \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in U$ and $0<u \leq 1$. Making use of (2.21) and (2.23), we can obtain (2.22).
Theorem 2.5. Let $f(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha)$ and $g(z) \in T_{1}\left(A_{0}, B_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)\left(-1 \leq B_{0}<1, B_{0}<A_{0}\right.$ and $\alpha_{0}>0$ ). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{0}-B_{0}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{0}^{m-1}}{(m+1)\left(\alpha_{0} m+1\right)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $(f * g)(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha)$, where the symbol $*$ stands for the familiar Hadamard product (or convolution) of two analytic functions in $U$.

Proof. Since $g(z) \in T_{1}\left(A_{0}, B_{0}, \alpha_{0}\right)\left(-1 \leq B_{0}<1, B_{0}<A_{0}\right.$ and $\left.\alpha_{0}>0\right)$, it follows from Corollary 2.4 (with $n=1$ ) and (2.24) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z}>1-\left(A_{0}-B_{0}\right) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{0}^{m-1}}{(m+1)\left(\alpha_{0} m+1\right)} \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $g(z) / z$ has the Herglotz representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{g(z)}{z}=\int_{|x|=1} \frac{d \mu(x)}{1-x z} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure on the unit circle $|x|=1$ and $\int_{|x|=1} d \mu(x)=1$.
For $f(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z(f * g)^{\prime \prime}(z)=F(z) * \frac{g(z)}{z} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of the function $(1+A z) /(1+B z)$ is convex (univalent) in $U$, we deduce from (2.26) to (2.28) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f * g)^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z(f * g)^{\prime \prime}(z)=\int_{|x|=1} F(x z) d \mu(x) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z} \quad(z \in U) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $(f * g)(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha)$.
Corollary 2.6. Let $f(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha), g(z) \in R(\beta, 1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geq-\frac{\pi^{2}-9}{12-\pi^{2}} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $(f * g)(z) \in T_{1}(A, B, \alpha)$.
Proof. By taking $A_{0}=1-2 \beta, B_{0}=-1$ and $\alpha_{0}=1$, (2.24) in Theorem 2.5 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(1-\beta) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m+1)^{2}}=2(1-\beta)\left(1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \geq-\frac{\pi^{2}-9}{12-\pi^{2}} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the desired result follows as a special case from Theorem 2.5.
Remark 2.7. R. Singh and S. Singh [4, Theorem 3] proved that, if $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ belong to $R(0,1)$, then $(f * g)(z) \in R(0,1)$. Obviously, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\pi^{2}-9}{12-\pi^{2}} \leq \beta<0 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 2.6 generalizes and improves Theorem 3 in [4].
Theorem 2.8. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha)$ and $A B \leq 1$. Then, for $|z|=r<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \leq r+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} r^{n m+1} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp, with the extremal function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.5).

Proof. It is well known that for $\zeta \in C$ and $|\zeta| \leq \sigma<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1+A \zeta}{1+B \zeta}-\frac{1-A B \sigma^{2}}{1-B^{2} \sigma^{2}}\right| \leq \frac{(A-B) \sigma}{1-B^{2} \sigma^{2}} . \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A B \leq 1$, we have $1-A B \sigma^{2}>0$ and so (2.35) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1+A \zeta}{1+B \zeta}\right|^{\gamma} \leq\left(\left|\frac{1-A B \sigma^{2}}{1-B^{2} \sigma^{2}}\right|+\frac{(A-B) \sigma}{1-B^{2} \sigma^{2}}\right)^{\gamma}=\left(\frac{1+A \sigma}{1+B \sigma}\right)^{r} \quad(|\zeta| \leq \sigma<1) . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (1.6), (2.10), and (2.36), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(u z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1}|h(w(u t z))| d t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} t^{(1 / \alpha)-1} h\left((u t|z|)^{n}\right) d t, \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z \in U$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1$. Now, by using (2.3), (2.21) and (2.37), we can obtain (2.34).
Theorem 2.9. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in T_{n}(A, B, \gamma, \alpha) . \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k}\right| \leq \frac{r(A-B)}{k(\alpha(k-1)+1)} \quad(k \geq n+1) . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp for each $k \geq n+1$.
Proof. It is known (cf. [12]) that, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}<\psi(z) \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in $U$ and $\psi(z)=z+\cdots$ is analytic and convex univalent in $U$, then $\left|b_{k}\right| \leq 1(k \in N)$.

By (2.38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)-1}{r(A-B)}=\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{k(\alpha(k-1)+1)}{r(A-B)} a_{k} z^{k-1}<\psi(z) \quad(z \in U), \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\frac{h(z)-1}{r(A-B)}=z+\cdots \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h(z)$ is given by (1.6). Since the function $\psi(z)$ is analytic and convex univalent in $U$, it follows from (2.41) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k(\alpha(k-1)+1)}{r(A-B)}\left|a_{k}\right| \leq 1 \quad(k \geq n+1) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives (2.39).
Next, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k-1}(z)=z+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{m}(A, B, \gamma)}{(m(k-1)+1)(\alpha m(k-1)+1)} z^{m(k-1)+1} \quad(z \in U ; k \geq n+1) \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{k-1}^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}(z)=h\left(z^{k-1}\right)<h(z) \quad(z \in U) \\
f_{k-1}(z)=z+\frac{\gamma(A-B)}{k(\alpha(k-1)+1)} z^{k}+\cdots \tag{2.45}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.9 is completed.

## 3. The Univalency and Starlikeness of $T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$

Theorem 3.1. $T_{n}(A, B, \alpha) \subset S_{n}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1} \leq 1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$ and (3.1) be satisfied. Then, by (2.16) in Corollary 2.2, we see that $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>0(z \in U)$. Thus, $f(z)$ is close-to-convex and univalent in $U$.

On the other hand, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}>1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the function $f_{n}(z)$ defined by (2.19) satisfies $f_{n}^{\prime}(0)=1>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}^{\prime}\left(r e^{\pi i / n}\right)=1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1} r^{n m} \longrightarrow 1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}<0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$. Hence, there exists a point $z_{n}=r_{n} e^{\pi i / n}\left(0<r_{n}<1\right)$ such that $f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=0$. This implies that $f_{n}(z)$ is not univalent in $U$ and so the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.2. Let (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. If $\alpha \geq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-1)\left(1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}\right)+\frac{n \alpha}{2}\left(1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)}\right) \geq \frac{A-1}{1-B} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $T_{n}(A, B, \alpha) \subset S_{n}^{*}$.
Proof. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1} \geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)} \quad(\alpha \geq 1) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.5) is obvious when $B \geq 0$. For $0>B \geq-1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)}=\mu_{1}-\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}-\mu_{4}+\cdots+(-1)^{m-1} \mu_{m}+\cdots \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{m}=\frac{n m|B|^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)}>0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|B| \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\frac{x}{(x+1)(\alpha x+1)}\right)=\frac{1-\alpha x^{2}}{(x+1)^{2}(\alpha x+1)^{2}} \leq 0 \quad(x \geq 1 ; \alpha \geq 1) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\mu_{m}\right\}$ is a monotonically decreasing sequence. Therefore, the inequality (3.5) follows from (3.6).

Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(A, B, \alpha)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)\right\}>\frac{1-A}{1-B} \quad(z \in U) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $p(z)$ in $U$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.1) in Theorem 3.1 is satisfied, the function $f(z)$ is univalent in $U$, and so $p(z)=$ $1+p_{n} z^{n}+p_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$ is analytic in $U$. Also it follows from (3.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime \prime}(z)=(1-\alpha) f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha \frac{f(z)}{z}\left[z p^{\prime}(z)+(p(z))^{2}\right] . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to prove now that $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for $z \in U$. Suppose that there exists a point $z_{0} \in U$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0 \quad\left(|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} p\left(z_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, applying a result of Miller and Mocanu [13, Theorem 4], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \leq-\frac{n}{2} \operatorname{Re}\left(1-p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)-\left(\operatorname{Im} p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{2} \leq-\frac{n}{2} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\alpha \geq 1$, we deduce from Corollaries 2.2 and 2.4, (3.1), (3.5), (3.11), (3.13), and (3.4) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{f^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)+\alpha z_{0} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right\} \leq & (1-\alpha)\left(1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{\alpha n m+1}\right) \\
& -\frac{n \alpha}{2}\left(1-(A-B) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{B^{m-1}}{(n m+1)(\alpha n m+1)}\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
\leq & \frac{1-A}{1-B}
\end{align*}
$$

But this contradicts (3.9) at $z=z_{0}$. Therefore, we must have $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0(z \in U)$ and the proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed.

Remark 3.3. In [6, Theorem 4(ii)], the authors gave the following: if $0<\alpha<1$ and $\beta_{1}$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{3 \alpha}{2}=\beta+(1-\beta) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m-1} \alpha+2(\alpha-1) m}{m(\alpha(m-1)+1)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $R(\beta, \alpha) \subset S_{1}^{*}$ for $\beta \geq \beta_{1}$. However, this result is not true because the series in (3.15) diverges.

## 4. The Radius of Convexity

Theorem 4.1. Let $f(z)$ belong to the class $T_{n}(\gamma)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}(\gamma)=T_{n}(1,-1, \gamma, 0)=\left\{f(z) \in A_{n}: f^{\prime}(z) \prec\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^{\gamma},(z \in U)\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$0<\delta \leq 1$ and $0 \leq \rho<1$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\delta)\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / \gamma}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}>\rho \quad\left(|z|<r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho)\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho)$ is the root in $(0,1)$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-2 \delta+\rho) r^{2 n}-2(1-\delta+n \delta \gamma) r^{n}+1-\rho=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
Proof. For $f(z) \in T_{n}(\gamma)$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / \gamma}=\frac{1+z^{n} \varphi(z)}{1-z^{n} \varphi(z)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is analytic and $|\varphi(z)| \leq 1$ in $U$. Differentiating both sides of (4.4) logarithmically, we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1+\frac{2 n \gamma z^{n} \varphi(z)}{1-\left(z^{n} \varphi(z)\right)^{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma z^{n+1} \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{1-\left(z^{n} \varphi(z)\right)^{2}} \quad(z \in U) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $|z|=r<1$ and $\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / \gamma}=u+i v(u, v \in R)$. Then, (4.4)implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{n} \varphi(z)=\frac{u-1+i v}{u+1+i v}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \frac{1-r^{n}}{1+r^{n}} \leq u \leq \frac{1+r^{n}}{1-r^{n}} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of the Carathéodory inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1-|\varphi(z)|^{2}}{1-r^{2}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\delta)\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / \gamma}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\} \\
& \geq(1-\delta) u+\delta+2 n \delta \gamma \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z^{n} \varphi(z)}{1-\left(z^{n} \varphi(z)\right)^{2}}\right\}-2 \delta \gamma\left|\frac{z^{n+1} \varphi^{\prime}(z)}{1-\left(z^{n} \varphi(z)\right)^{2}}\right|  \tag{4.9}\\
& \geq(1-\delta) u+\delta+\frac{n \delta \gamma}{2}\left(u-\frac{u}{u^{2}+v^{2}}\right)+\frac{\delta \gamma}{2} \frac{(u-1)^{2}+v^{2}-r^{2 n}\left((u+1)^{2}+v^{2}\right)}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& =F_{n}(u, v) \quad(\text { say }), \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial v} F_{n}(u, v)=\delta \gamma v G_{n}(u, v), \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<r<1,0<\delta \leq 1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{n}(u, v) & =\frac{n u}{\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}+\frac{r^{2 n}\left((u+1)^{2}+v^{2}\right)-\left((u-1)^{2}+v^{2}\right)}{2 r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& >0 \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

because of (4.6) and (4.7). In view of (4.10) and (4.11), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{n}(u, v) \geq & F_{n}(u, 0) \\
= & (1-\delta) u+\delta+\frac{n \delta \gamma}{2}\left(u-\frac{1}{u}\right)+\frac{\delta \gamma}{2 r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}  \tag{4.12}\\
& \times\left\{\left(1-r^{2 n}\right)\left(u+\frac{1}{u}\right)-2\left(1+r^{2 n}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us now calculate the minimum value of $F_{n}(u, 0)$ on the closed interval $\left[\left(1-r^{n}\right) /(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.r^{n}\right),\left(1+r^{n}\right) /\left(1-r^{n}\right)\right]$. Noting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)} \geq n \quad(\text { see }[8]) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4.7), we deduce from (4.12) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d u} F_{n}(u, 0) & =1-\delta+\frac{\delta \gamma}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}+n\right)-\frac{1}{u^{2}}\left(\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}-n\right)\right] \\
& \geq 1-\delta+\frac{\delta \gamma}{2}\left[\left(\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}+n\right)-\left(\frac{1+r^{n}}{1-r^{n}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1-r^{2 n}}{r^{n-1}\left(1-r^{2}\right)}-n\right)\right]  \tag{4.14}\\
& =1-\delta+\frac{2 \delta \gamma I_{n}(r)}{\left(1-r^{n}\right)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(r)=\frac{n}{2}\left(1+r^{2 n}\right)-r\left(1+r^{2}+\cdots+r^{2 n-2}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}^{\prime}(r)=n^{2} r^{2 n-1}-\left(1+3 r^{2}+\cdots+(2 n-1) r^{2 n-2}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{1}^{\prime}(r)=r-1<0$. Suppose that $I_{n}^{\prime}(r)<0$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{n+1}^{\prime}(r) & =(n+1)^{2} r^{2 n+1}-(2 n+1) r^{2 n}-\left(1+3 r^{2}+\cdots+(2 n-1) r^{2 n-2}\right)  \tag{4.17}\\
& <n^{2} r^{2 n}-\left(1+3 r^{2}+\cdots+(2 n-1) r^{2 n-2}\right)<I_{n}^{\prime}(r)<0
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by virtue of the mathematical induction, we have $I_{n}^{\prime}(r)<0$ for all $n \in N$ and $0 \leq r<1$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(r)>I_{n}(1)=0 \quad(n \in N ; 0 \leq r<1) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.14) and (4.18), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d u} F_{n}(u, 0)>0 \quad\left(\frac{1-r^{n}}{1+r^{n}} \leq u \leq \frac{1+r^{n}}{1-r^{n}}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further it follows from (4.9), (4.12), and (4.19) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\{ & \left.(1-\delta)\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{1 / r}+\delta\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right\}-\rho \\
& \geq F_{n}\left(\frac{1-r^{n}}{1+r^{n}}, 0\right)-\rho  \tag{4.20}\\
& =(1-\delta) \frac{1-r^{n}}{1+r^{n}}+\delta \frac{1-2 n \gamma r^{n}-r^{2 n}}{1-r^{2 n}}-\rho \\
& =\frac{J_{n}(r)}{1-r^{2 n}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq \rho<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(r)=(1-2 \delta+\rho) r^{2 n}-2(1-\delta+n \delta \gamma) r^{n}+1-\rho \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $J_{n}(0)=1-\rho>0$ and $J_{n}(1)=-2 n \delta \gamma<0$. If we let $r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho)$ denote the root in $(0,1)$ of the equation $J_{n}(r)=0$, then (4.20) yields the desired result (4.2).

To see that the bound $r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho)$ is the best possible, we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left(\frac{1-t^{n}}{1+t^{n}}\right)^{\gamma} d t \in T_{n}(\gamma) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that for $z=r \in\left(r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho), 1\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\delta)\left(f^{\prime}(r)\right)^{1 / \gamma}+\delta\left(1+\frac{r f^{\prime \prime}(r)}{f^{\prime}(r)}\right)-\rho=\frac{J_{n}(r)}{1-r^{2 n}}<0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the bound $r_{n}(\gamma, \delta, \rho)$ cannot be increased.
Setting $\delta=1$, Theorem 4.1 reduces to the following result.
Corollary 4.2. Let $f(z) \in T_{n}(\gamma)$ and $0 \leq \rho<1$. Then, $f(z)$ is convex of order $\rho$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
|z|<\left[\frac{\left((n \gamma)^{2}+(1-\rho)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-n \gamma}{1-\rho}\right]^{1 / n} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is sharp.
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