## Chapter 3

## Stochastic integrals and martingales in Hilbert and conuclear spaces

From now on we shall be concentrating on two kinds of infinite dimensional spaces: a separable Hilbert space $H$ and a conuclear space $\Phi^{\prime}$, the strong dual of a CHNS $\Phi$. Our aim in the present chapter is twofold: (1) To define martingales taking values in $H$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$ respectively.

While the study of such martingales (particularly $H$-valued martingales) is of importance in the general theory (see e.g. the books of Métivier [38] and da Prato and Zabczyk [45]), we confine our attention to discussing only those properties which are relevant to the theory of $H$ or $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued SDE's.
(2) To introduce the definitions and study the basic properties of stochastic integrals taking values in $H$ and $\Phi^{\prime}$. In contrast to finite dimensional stochastic calculus, we have three interested Brownian motions to consider: cylindrical Brownian motion, $H$-valued Brownian motion and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Brownian motion. We shall also define stochastic integrals with respect to a Poisson random measure.

We assume throughout that $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is a complete probability space with a right continuous filtration $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$. This chapter is organized as follows: After discussing some general properties of $H$-valued and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued martingales, we introduce $H$-cylindrical Brownian motion ( $H$-c.B.m), $H$ valued Brownian motion and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process. Then the stochastic integrals with respect to these processes will be defined and a representation theorem will be derived for $H$-valued and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued continuous squareintegrable martingales. Finally we define the stochastic integral with respect to Poisson random measure and give conditions for a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued martingale to be represented as a stochastic integral with respect to a Poisson random measure. The two representation theorems will play important roles
in later chapters in the study of stochastic differential equations on infinite dimensional spaces.

### 3.1 Martingales taking values in Hilbert and conuclear spaces

In this section, we study general $\mathcal{X}$-valued martingales where $\mathcal{X}=H$ or $\Phi^{\prime}$. In the latter case, we shall denote by $\left\{\phi_{j}^{p}\right\} \subset \Phi$ a CONS of $\Phi_{p}$ and $\left\{\phi_{j}^{-p}\right\}$ the CONS of $\Phi_{-p}$ conjugate to $\left\{\phi_{j}^{p}\right\}$ for $p \geq 0$. Let $\theta_{p}$ be the isometry from $\Phi_{-p}$ to $\Phi_{p}$ such that $\theta_{p} \phi_{j}^{-p}=\phi_{j}^{p}, \forall j \geq 1$.

First, we discuss some basic properties of $\mathcal{X}$-valued random variables.
Definition 3.1.1 $A$ map $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is an $\mathcal{X}$-valued random variable if it is $\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$-measurable, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is the Borel field of the topological space $\mathcal{X}$. A family $\left\{X_{t}: t \in \mathbf{R}_{+}\right\}$of $\mathcal{X}$-valued random variables is called an $\mathcal{X}$-process.

Theorem 3.1.1 (a) $\mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ is the $\sigma$-field generated by the following class of subsets of $\Phi^{\prime}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{f \in \Phi^{\prime}: f[\phi]<a\right\} \quad \phi \in \Phi \text { and } a \in \mathbf{R} \tag{3.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $\mathcal{B}(H)$ is the $\sigma$-field generated by the following class of subsets of $H$ :

$$
\left\{f \in H:<f, h>_{H}<a\right\} \quad h \in H \text { and } a \in \mathbf{R}
$$

Proof: (a) Let $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be the $\sigma$-field generated by the sets given by (3.1.1). As $\left\{f \in \Phi^{\prime}: f[\phi]<a\right\}$ is an open set in the strong topology of $\Phi^{\prime}$ for any $\phi \in \Phi$ and $a \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \subset \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$.

On the other hand, for any bounded subset $B$ of $\Phi$ and $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\left\{q_{B}(f) \leq \epsilon\right\}=\cap_{\phi \in B \cap D}\left\{f \in \Phi^{\prime}:|f[\phi]| \leq \epsilon\right\} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}
$$

where $D$ is a countable dense subset of $\Phi$ and $q_{B}$ is the seminorm on $\Phi^{\prime}$ given by Definition 1.1 .7 c ). Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ contains the collection of all neighborhoods in $\Phi^{\prime}$. As $\Phi^{\prime}$ can be represented as a countable union of compact subsets as follows

$$
\Phi^{\prime}=\cup_{p \geq 1}\left\{\phi \in \Phi^{\prime}:\|\phi\|_{-p} \leq p\right\}
$$

$\Phi^{\prime}$ is separable. Let $C$ be a countable dense subset of $\Phi^{\prime}$. Let $G$ be an open subset of $\Phi^{\prime}$. Then $\forall \xi \in G$ there exists a neighborhood $U_{\xi} \subset G$ and hence

$$
G=\cup_{\xi \in C \cap G} U_{\xi} \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}
$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ contains the collection of all open subsets of $\Phi^{\prime}$ and hence $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}=$ $\mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) can be proved in a similar fashion (note that the $\sigma$-compactness of $\Phi^{\prime}$ is needed in the proof of part (a) only for the separability of $\Phi^{\prime}$ and in the present case, we assumed that $H$ is a separable Hilbert space).

Corollary 3.1.1 (a) A map $X: \Omega \rightarrow \Phi^{\prime}$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable iff for any $\phi \in \Phi, X[\phi]$ is a real-valued random variable.
(b) A map $X: \Omega \rightarrow H$ is an $H$-valued random variable iff for any $h \in H$, $\langle X, h\rangle_{H}$ is a real-valued random variable.

Proof: We only prove (a). It is clear that if $X$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable then $X[\phi]$ is a real-valued random variable, for any $\phi \in \Phi$. On the other hand, let

$$
\mathcal{G}=\left\{C \in \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right): X^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{F}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ is a $\sigma$-field. As the sets of the form (3.1.1) are in $\mathcal{G}$, we have by Theorem 3.1.1 that $\mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right) \subset \mathcal{G}$. Hence, $X$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable.

The following regularization theorem is useful for constructing some $\Phi^{\prime}-$ valued random variables.

Theorem 3.1.2 (Itô [19]) Let $Y: \Phi \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a continuous linear map. Then there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable $\tilde{Y}$ such that $\forall \phi \in \Phi$,

$$
\tilde{Y}(\omega)[\phi]=Y(\phi)(\omega) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Moreover there is $q>0$ such that $P\left(\tilde{Y} \in \Phi_{-q}\right)=1$.
Proof: Let $V(\phi)=E\left(Y(\phi)^{2}\right), \forall \phi \in \Phi$. Since V is continuous there exist $r>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that if $\|\phi\|_{r} \leq \delta$ then $V(\phi)<1$. Hence if $\theta=1 / \delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi) \leq \theta^{2}\|\phi\|_{r}^{2}, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi . \tag{3.1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q>r$ be such that the canonical injection from $\Phi_{q}$ into $\Phi_{r}$ is HilbertSchmidt. Then from (3.1.2)

$$
E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Y\left(\phi_{j}^{q}\right)^{2}\right) \leq \theta^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|\phi_{j}^{q}\right\|_{r}^{2}<\infty
$$

i.e. if $\Omega_{1}=\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(Y\left(\phi_{j}^{q}\right)(\omega)\right)^{2}<\infty\right\}$ then $P\left(\Omega_{1}\right)=1$. Define

$$
\tilde{Y}(\omega)= \begin{cases}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Y\left(\phi_{j}^{q}\right)(\omega) \phi_{j}^{-q} & \text { if } \omega \in \Omega_{1} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\tilde{Y}$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable such that $\tilde{Y} \in \Phi_{-q}$ a.s. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}(\omega)[\phi]=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} Y\left(\phi_{j}^{q}\right)(\omega)<\phi, \phi_{j}^{q}>_{q}, \forall \phi \in \Phi \text { a.s. } \tag{3.1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\psi_{n} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n}<\phi, \phi_{j}^{q}>_{q} \phi_{j}^{q}$, then $\left\|\psi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{r} \leq\left\|\psi_{n}-\phi\right\|_{q} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so that from (3.1.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y\left(\phi_{j}^{q}\right)<\phi, \phi_{j}^{q}>_{q}-Y(\phi)\right)^{2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from (3.1.3) and (3.1.4) we have

$$
E(\tilde{Y}(\omega)[\phi]-Y(\phi)(\omega))^{2}=0
$$

i.e.

$$
\tilde{Y}[\phi]=Y(\phi) \quad \text { a.s. } \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

Remark 3.1.1 A more general regularization result can be found in Ramaswamy [46].

In the rest of this section, we discuss $H$-valued and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued martingales. Most of the results due to Mitoma [40].

Definition 3.1.2 (a) $A \Phi^{\prime}$-valued processs $M=\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-martingale with respect to $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$ if for each $\phi \in \Phi, M_{t}[\phi]$ is a martingale with respect to $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$. It is called $a \Phi^{\prime}$-square-integrable-martingale if, in addition,

$$
E\left(M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right)<\infty, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi, t \geq 0
$$

We denote the collection of all $\Phi^{\prime}$-martingales (resp. $\Phi^{\prime}$-square-integrablemartingales) by $\mathcal{M}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{2}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ ). We also denote

$$
\mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right): & M_{t}[\phi] \text { has a continuous } \\
& \text { version for each } \phi \in \Phi
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(b) An H-valued process $M=\left\{M_{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an $H$-martingale with respect to $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$ if for each $h \in H,<M_{t}, h>_{H}$ is a martingale with respect to $\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}\right\}$. It is called an $H$-square-integrable-martingale if, in addition,

$$
E\left\|M_{t}\right\|^{2}<\infty, \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

We denote the collection of all H-martingales (resp. H-square-integrablemartingales) by $\mathcal{M}(H)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}^{2}(H)$ ) and write

$$
\mathcal{M}^{2, c}(H)=\left\{M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(H): M_{t} \text { has a continuous version }\right\}
$$

Theorem 3.1.3 Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$. Then there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued version $\tilde{M}$ of $M$ such that the following conditions hold:
(i) For each $T>0$ there exists $p=p_{T}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\tilde{M}\right|_{[0, T]} \in D\left([0, T], \Phi_{-p}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

(ii) $\tilde{M}$ is r.c.l.l. in the strong $\Phi^{\prime}$-topology, i.e.

$$
\tilde{M} \in D\left([0, \infty), \Phi^{\prime}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof: (i) Fix $T>0$ and define $V_{T}^{2}(\phi)=E\left(M_{T}[\phi]^{2}\right)$. Then $V_{T}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.3.1 and hence, there exist $\theta=\theta_{T}>0$ and $r=r_{T}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{T}(\phi) \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r} \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi \tag{3.1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D$ be a countable dense subset of $[0, T]$. Then by Doob's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\sup _{t \in D} M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right) \leq 4 \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} E\left(M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right)=4 E\left(M_{T}[\phi]^{2}\right) \tag{3.1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \geq r$ be such that the canonical injection from $\Phi_{p}$ to $\Phi_{r}$ is HilbertSchmidt. Then from (3.1.5) and (3.1.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup _{t \in D} M_{t}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right]^{2}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} E\left(\sup _{t \in D} M_{t}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right]^{2}\right) \\
& \leq 4 \theta^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|\phi_{j}^{p}\right\|_{r}^{2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

So, if $\Omega_{1}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega: \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup _{t \in D} M_{t}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right]^{2}(\omega)<\infty\right\}$, then $P\left(\Omega_{1}\right)=1$.
Since each real-valued martingale $M_{t}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right]$ has a right continuous modification $X_{t}^{j}$, writing

$$
\Omega_{t}^{j} \equiv\left\{\omega \in \Omega: X_{t}^{j}(\omega)=M_{t}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right](\omega)\right\}
$$

we have $P\left(\Omega_{t}^{j}\right)=1$ for $t \in D$. Then the set defined by

$$
\Omega_{2} \equiv\left(\cap_{t \in D} \cap_{j \geq 1} \Omega_{t}^{j}\right) \cap \Omega_{1}
$$

has probability one and if $\omega \in \Omega_{2}$

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} X_{t}^{j}(\omega)^{2}<\infty
$$

For $0 \leq t \leq T$, define $\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)=0$ for $\omega \notin \Omega_{2}$ and

$$
\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} X_{t}^{j}(\omega) \phi_{j}^{-p}, \omega \in \Omega_{2}
$$

Then for $0 \leq t \leq T$ we have $P\left(\tilde{M}_{t} \in \Phi_{-p}\right)=1$ and $\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)[\phi]=M_{t}(\omega)[\phi]$ for all $\phi \in \Phi, \omega \in \Omega_{2}$, i.e. $\tilde{M}_{t}=M_{t}$ a.s.

Next since for $s, t \in[0, T], j \geq 1$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{2}$

$$
\left|X_{t}^{j}(\omega)-X_{s}^{j}(\omega)\right|^{2} \leq 4 \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} X_{t}^{j}(\omega)^{2}
$$

by the dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{s \rightarrow t+}\left\|\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)-\tilde{M}_{s}(\omega)\right\|_{-p}^{2} & =\lim _{s \rightarrow t+}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(X_{t}^{j}(\omega)-X_{s}^{j}(\omega)\right) \phi_{j}^{-p}\right\|_{-p}^{2} \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow t+} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|X_{t}^{j}(\omega)-X_{s}^{j}(\omega)\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lim _{s \rightarrow t+}\left|X_{t}^{j}(\omega)-X_{s}^{j}(\omega)\right|^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

the last assertion follows from the right continuity of $X_{t}^{j}(\omega)$. In a similar fashion the fact that $\tilde{M}_{t}$ has left hand limits in the $\|\cdot\|_{-p}$-norm is shown.

Thus we have proved that for each $T>0$ there exists $p=p_{T}>0$ such that $M_{t}$ has a r.c.l.l. version $\tilde{M}_{t}$ in the $\|\cdot\|_{-p}$-norm, i.e.

$$
\left.\tilde{M}\right|_{[0, T]} \in D\left([0, T], \Phi_{-p}\right), \text { a.s. }
$$

(ii) Let $T_{n}$ increase to infinity. Then by (i) there exists $p_{n}$ such that $M_{t}$ has a version $\tilde{M}^{n}$ with

$$
\left.\tilde{M}^{n}\right|_{\left[0, T_{n}\right]} \in D\left([0, T], \Phi_{-p_{n}}\right), \text { a.s. }
$$

With the notation used in the proof of (i) let $\Omega_{3}=\cap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{2}^{n}$. If $\omega \in \Omega_{3}$ define for $0 \leq t<\infty$

$$
\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)=\tilde{M}_{t}^{n}(\omega) \quad \text { for } T_{n-1}<t \leq T_{n},\left(T_{0}=0\right)
$$

Then $P\left(\tilde{M}_{t} \in \Phi^{\prime}\right)=1$ and $\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)=M_{t}(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega_{3}$.
For $t \geq 0$, let $n$ be such that $t<T_{n}$. Then for $\epsilon>0$ there exists $\delta_{t}>0$ such that if $t<s<t+\delta_{t}$

$$
\left\|\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)-\tilde{M}_{s}(\omega)\right\|_{-p_{n}}<\epsilon
$$

For any bounded subset $B$ of $\Phi$, let $C$ be a constant such that $\|\phi\|_{p_{n}} \leq C$ $\forall \phi \in B$. Therefore

$$
\sup _{\phi \in B}\left|\left(\tilde{M}_{t}(\omega)-\tilde{M}_{s}(\omega)\right)[\phi]\right|<C \epsilon, \quad \forall t<s<t+\delta_{t}
$$

i.e. $\tilde{M}_{t}$ is strongly right continuous. A similar argument shows that it has left hand limits.

Remark 3.1.2 If $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ such that for each $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t<\infty} E\left(M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

there exists $p>0$ such that $M_{t}$ has a version $\tilde{M}_{t} \in D\left([0, \infty), \Phi_{-p}\right)$ a.s. This is seen using the fact that if $D$ is a countable dense subset of $\mathbf{R}_{+}$then

$$
E\left(\sup _{t \in D} M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right) \leq 4 E\left(M_{\infty}[\phi]^{2}\right)
$$

The next theorem is the analogue of Theorem 3.1.3 to continuous martingales.

Theorem 3.1.4 Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$. Then there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued version $\tilde{M}$ of $M$ such that the following conditions hold:
(i) For each $T>0$ there exists $p=p_{T}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\tilde{M}\right|_{[0, T]} \in C\left([0, T], \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

(ii) $\tilde{M}$ is continuous in the strong $\Phi^{\prime}$-topology, i.e.

$$
\tilde{M} \in C\left([0, \infty), \Phi^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

(iii) If for each $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
\sup _{0 \leq t<\infty} E\left(M_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right)<\infty
$$

then there exists $p>0$ such that

$$
\tilde{M} \in C\left([0, \infty), \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

The following example, due to Kallianpur and Ramaswamy, gives a $\Phi^{\prime}$ valued strongly continuous Gaussian martingale $M_{t}$ for which the following is not true: There exists $p$ independent of $t$ such that

$$
M_{t} \in \Phi_{-p} \quad \forall t \geq 0, \text { a.s. }
$$

Example 3.1.1 Consider the CHNS of Example 1.3.2. Using the notation of that example, we define $f: \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \Phi \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ as follows

$$
f(s, \phi)=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\lambda_{j}\right)^{s}<\phi, \phi_{j}>_{0}
$$

Let $\left\{B_{s}\right\}_{s \geq 0}$ be a real-valued standard Brownian motion. Since for each $t>0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
\int_{0}^{t} f(s, \phi)^{2} d s<\infty
$$

the Wiener integral

$$
X_{t, \phi}=\int_{0}^{t} f(s, \phi) d B_{s}
$$

is a Gaussian martingale for each $\phi \in \Phi$. Since $f(s, \phi)$ is linear and continuous in $\Phi$, the linear random functional

$$
X_{t, \phi}: \Phi \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)
$$

is $\Phi$-continuous. Hence by the regularization theorem there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued random variable $X_{t}$ such that

$$
X_{t}[\phi]=X_{t, \phi} \quad \text { a.s. } \forall \phi \in \Phi .
$$

Then $\left(X_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}\right)_{t \geq 0} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$. Hence by Theorem 3.1.4, $X$ has a strongly continuous version also denoted by $X$.

Now suppose there exists $p>0$ such that $X_{t} \in \Phi_{-p}$ a.s. $\forall t \geq 0$. Let

$$
\phi^{(n)}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\lambda_{j}\right)^{-p-r_{1}} \phi_{j}
$$

Then $\left\{\phi^{(n)}\right\}$ converges in $\Phi_{p}$ to an element $\phi$, and therefore $X_{t}\left[\phi^{(n)}\right] \rightarrow$ $X_{t}[\phi]$. But since $X_{t}$ is $L^{2}$-continuous

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(X_{t}\left[\phi^{(n)}\right]^{2}\right) \rightarrow E\left(X_{t}[\phi]^{2}\right)<\infty \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the finiteness of the limit being a consequence of $X_{t}[\phi]$ being a Gaussian random variable. On the other hand, if $t>p+r_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(X_{t}\left[\phi^{(n)}\right]^{2}\right) & =\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, \phi^{(n)}\right)^{2} d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\lambda_{j}\right)^{-p-r_{1}+s}\right)^{2} d s \\
& \geq \int_{p+r_{1}}^{t}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(1+\lambda_{j}\right)^{-p-r_{1}+s}\right)^{2} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Fatou's lemma

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(X_{t}\left[\phi^{(n)}\right]^{2}\right)=\infty
$$

which contradicts from (3.1.7).

## $3.2 \Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process and cylindrical Brownian motion

In this section we introduce $H$-cylindrical Brownian motion, $H$-valued Brownian motion and $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process. We give several examples of such processes and illustrate how some infinite dimensional extensions of the real valued Brownian motion (as the cylindrical Brownian motion and a sequence of independent Brownian motions) may be seen as nuclear space valued Wiener processes.

Definition 3.2.1 Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H}$. A family $\left\{B_{t}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ of real-valued random variables is called a cylindrical Brownian motion (c.B.m) on $H$ with covariance $\Sigma$ if $\Sigma$ is a continuous self-adjoint positive definite operator on $H$ such that the following conditions hold:
i) For each $h \in H$ such that $h \neq 0,\langle\Sigma h, h\rangle_{H}^{-1 / 2} B_{t}(h)$ is a one dimensional standard Wiener process.
ii) For any $t \geq 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $f_{1}, f_{2} \in H$

$$
B_{t}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\alpha_{2} f_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1} B_{t}\left(f_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2} B_{t}\left(f_{2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

iii) For each $h \in H,\left\{B_{t}(h)\right\}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}$-martingale, where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}=\sigma\left\{B_{s}(k): s \leq t, k \in H\right\}
$$

$\left\{B_{t}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ is called a standard H-c.B.m or simply, H-c.B.m. if it is a H-c.B.m. with covariance $\Sigma=I$.

Theorem 3.2.1 Let $\left\{B_{t}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ be an H-c.B.m with covariance $\Sigma$. Then there exists an $H$-valued process $\bar{B}_{t}$ such that

$$
B_{t}(h)=<\bar{B}_{t}, h>_{H} \quad \forall h \in H
$$

if and only if $\Sigma \in L_{(1)}(H)$. In this case $\left\{\bar{B}_{t}\right\}$ is called an $H$-valued Brownian motion.

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " For $t \geq 0$ fixed, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(h) & \equiv E\left\{\exp \left(i<\bar{B}_{t}, h>_{H}\right)\right\} \\
& =E\left\{\exp \left(i B_{t}(h)\right\}\right. \\
& =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}<\Sigma h, h>_{H}\right), \quad h \in H
\end{aligned}
$$

is a characteristic function on $H$ and hence, by Sazonov's theorem, $F$ is continuous with respect to $S$-topology. Therefore $h \rightarrow<\Sigma h, h>_{H}$ is $S$ continuous which implies that $\Sigma$ is a nuclear operator.
" $\Leftarrow$ " Let

$$
\Sigma h=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}<h, e_{j}>_{H} e_{j}, \quad h \in H
$$

where $\lambda_{j}>0, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}<\infty$ and $\left\{e_{j}\right\}$ is a CONS of H. Let

$$
\bar{B}_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{t}\left(e_{j}\right) e_{j}
$$

It is easy to show that $\bar{B}_{t}$ is well-defined and satisfies the condition of the theorem.

Remark 3.2.1 $1^{\circ}$ Let $\left\{B_{t}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ be a standard H-c.B.m. Then it is an H-c.B.m. with identity operator as its covariance. Therefore there does not exist a process $\bar{B}_{t}$ in $H$ such that

$$
B_{t}(h)=<\bar{B}_{t}, h>_{H}
$$

$2^{\circ}$ If $\left\{B_{t}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ is an $H$-c.B.m with covariance $\Sigma$ and $S \in$ $L(H, H)$, we define

$$
B_{t}^{S}(h) \equiv B_{t}(S h), \forall h \in H
$$

Then $\left\{B_{t}^{S}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ is an $H$-c.B.m with covariance $S^{*} \Sigma S$. As a consequence, $\left\{B_{t}^{S}(h): t \geq 0, h \in H\right\}$ is a standard H-c.B.m if we take $S=\Sigma^{-1 / 2}$. Therefore we only need to consider standard H-c.B.m.

Theorem 3.2.2 Let $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a CONS in H. There exists a one-to-one correspondence between an $\bar{H}-c . B . m$. $B$ and a sequence of independent onedimensional Brownian motions $\left\{B_{t}^{n}\right\}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}^{n}=B_{t}\left(e_{n}\right), n \in \mathbf{N} \tag{3.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}(h)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}<h, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n}, h \in H \tag{3.2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $\left\{B_{t}^{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of independent one-dimensional Brownian motions. Note that by Doob's inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\sum_{n=m+1}^{m+k}<h, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} & \leq 4 E\left|\sum_{n=m+1}^{m+k}<h, e_{n}>_{H} B_{T}^{n}\right|^{2} \\
& =4 T \sum_{n=m+1}^{m+k}<h, e_{n}>_{H}^{2} \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for any $h \in H, B_{t}(h)$ given by (3.2.2) is well-defined in the following sense: $\forall T \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|B_{t}(h)-\sum_{n=1}^{m}<h, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n}\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots<t_{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{j}\left(B_{t_{j+1}}(h)-B_{t_{j}}(h)\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{j} \sum_{n=1}^{m}<h, e_{n}>_{H}\left(B_{t_{j+1}}^{n}-B_{t_{j}}^{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{n=1}^{m} E \exp \left(i \lambda_{j}<h, e_{n}>_{H}\left(B_{t_{j+1}}^{n}-B_{t_{j}}^{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \prod_{n=1}^{m} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{j}^{2}<h, e_{n}>_{H}^{2}\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)\right) \\
= & \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{j}^{2}\left(t_{j+1}-t_{j}\right)\|h\|_{H}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (i) of Definition 3.2.1 holds. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1} \sum_{n=1}^{m}<f_{1}, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n}+\alpha_{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m}<f_{2}, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n} \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{m}<\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\alpha_{2} f_{2}, e_{n}>_{H} B_{t}^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) of Definition 3.2.1 follows immediately from (3.2.3) by the uniqueness of $L^{2}$-limits.

Finally let $A \in \mathcal{F}_{s}^{B}$ which is given in (iii) of Definition 3.2.1. Then for any $t>s$ and $h \in H$

$$
E\left\{\left(B_{t}(h)-B_{s}(h)\right) 1_{A}(\omega)\right\}
$$

$$
=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} E \sum_{n=1}^{m}<h, e_{n}>_{H}\left(B_{t}^{n}-B_{s}^{n}\right) 1_{A}(\omega)=0
$$

This proves (iii) of Definition 3.2.1. Therefore $B$ is a cylindrical Brownian motion on $H$.

On the other hand, let $B$ be a cylindrical Brownian motion on $H$ and define $\left\{B_{t}^{n}\right\}$ by (3.2.1). It follows from (i) of Definition 3.2.1 that $\left\{B_{t}^{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of one-dimensional Brownian motions. Now we prove they are independent, i.e. for any $0 \leq t_{j 1}<\cdots<t_{j m}, \lambda_{j r} \in \mathbf{R}, n_{j} \in \mathbf{N}, j=1, \cdots, k$ and $r=1, \cdots, m_{j}$, we have

$$
E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{m_{j}} \lambda_{j r} B_{t_{j r}}^{n_{j}}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{k} E \exp \left(i \sum_{r=1}^{m_{j}} \lambda_{j r} B_{t_{j r}}^{n_{j}}\right)
$$

We may assume that $m_{j}$ and $t_{j r}$ do not depend on $j$, otherwise we only need to rearrange $\left\{t_{j r}: j=1, \cdots, k\right.$ and $\left.r=1, \cdots, m_{j}\right\}$ as $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{m}\right\}$ and define

$$
\lambda_{j s}=0 \quad \text { if } t_{s} \notin\left\{t_{j r}: r=1, \cdots, m_{j}\right\}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k$ and $s=1, \cdots, m$. Let

$$
\mu_{j r}=\sum_{s=1}^{r} \lambda_{j s} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{j 0}=0, t_{0}=0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_{j_{r}} B_{t_{r}}^{n_{j}}\right) \\
= & E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(\mu_{j_{r}}-\mu_{j(r-1)}\right) B_{t_{r}}\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)\right) \\
= & E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j r}\right)\left(B_{t_{r+1}}\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)-B_{t_{r}}\left(e_{n_{j}}\right)\right)\right. \\
= & E \exp \left[i \sum _ { r = 0 } ^ { m - 1 } \left(B_{t_{r+1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j r}\right) e_{n_{j}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-B_{t_{r}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j_{r}}\right) e_{n_{j}}\right)\right)\right] \\
= & \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left(t_{r+1}-t_{r}\right)\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j r}\right) e_{n_{j}}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left(t_{r+1}-t_{r}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\left(t_{r+1}-t_{r}\right)\left(\mu_{j m}-\mu_{j r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{k} E \exp \left(i \sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_{j r} B_{t_{r}}^{n_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left\{B_{t}^{n}\right\}$ is a sequence of independent one-dimensional Brownian motions.

Next we give an example of $H$-c.B.m. We need the following definition.
Definition 3.2.2 Let $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbf{R}^{d}$ be a measurable set. A real-valued function $W$ on $\Omega \times \mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right)$ is called $a$ white noise random measure if
i) For $A \in \mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right), W(\cdot, A)$ is a $N(0,|A|)$ random variable;
ii) For disjoint Borel sets $A_{1}, A_{2}$ in $\mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right), W\left(\cdot, A_{1}\right)$ and $W\left(\cdot, A_{2}\right)$ are independent and

$$
W\left(\cdot, A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=W\left(\cdot, A_{1}\right)+W\left(\cdot, A_{2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right)=\left\{A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right):|A|<\infty\right\}
$$

and $|A|$ is the Lebesgue measure of $A$.

## Next we define Brownian sheet as a random field.

Definition 3.2.3 Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space. A real-valued measurable function $f$ on $E \times \Omega$ is called a random field on E. It is a Gaussian random field if $\{f(x, \cdot), x \in E\}$ is a Gaussian system.

For each $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$, let

$$
A_{t, x} \equiv\left\{(s, y) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}: 0 \leq s \leq t, y_{j} \leq x_{j}, j=1, \cdots, d\right\}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{d}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{d}\right)$. We assume that $\left|A_{t, x}\right|<$ $\infty, \forall(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$.

Definition 3.2.4 A real-valued function $B$ on $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$ is a Brownian sheet (B.S.) or space-time Brownian motion if $\{B(\cdot, t, x):(t, x) \in$ $\left.\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}\right\}$ it is a Gaussian system such that
i) $E(B(\cdot, t, x))=0, \forall(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$
ii) $\operatorname{Cov}(B(\cdot, t, x), B(\cdot, s, y))=\left|A_{t, x} \cap A_{s, y}\right|, \forall(t, x),(s, y) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$.

Remark 3.2.2 If $\mathcal{O}=[0, b]^{d}$ for some $b>0$ or

$$
\mathcal{O}=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}: x_{j} \geq 0, j=1,2, \cdots, d\right\}
$$

and $B$ is a Brownian sheet on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$, then

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(B(\cdot, t, x), B(\cdot, s, y))=(s \wedge t) \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(x_{j} \wedge y_{j}\right)
$$

Remark 3.2.3 There is a one-to-one correspondence between white noise random measure $W$ and Brownian sheet $B$ as follows:

$$
B(\cdot, t, x)=W\left(\cdot, A_{t, x}\right), \quad \forall(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}
$$

In this sense, we shall denote the Brownian sheet by $W(t, x)$.
Remark 3.2.4 It can be shown that $W(t, x)$ is continuous in $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O}$ and nowhere differentiable for a.a. $\omega$. Therefore we can only define $\dot{W}_{t, x} \equiv$ $\frac{\partial^{2} W(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ in the sense of distribution:

$$
\iint_{\mathcal{O}} \phi(t, x) \frac{\partial^{2} W(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} d t d x=\iint_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} W(t, x) d t d x
$$

for all smooth functions $\phi$ with compact supports in $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{O} . \dot{W}_{t, x}$ is called the white noise in space-time.

Now we proceed to introduce stochastic integrals with respect to white noise random measures (or equivalently, with respect to a Brownian sheet). For convenience, we take $d=1$ and $\mathcal{O}=[0, b]$. For a simple function f on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(s, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} 1_{\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right) \times\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)}(s, x) \tag{3.2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}$ and $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=b$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}(f) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} W\left(\left[t_{i-1} \wedge t, t_{i} \wedge t\right) \times\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)\right), \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the following theorem is straightforward and we leave it to the reader.

Theorem 3.2.3 Let $f$ be a simple function and let $B_{t}(f)$ be defined by (3.2.5). Then
(a) $B_{t}(f)$ is a real-valued continuous Gaussian process such that for any
$0 \leq s<t, B_{s}(f)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{s}^{W}$-measurable, $B_{t}(f)-B_{s}(f)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{s}^{W}$ and

$$
E\left(B_{t}(f)-B_{s}(f)\right)^{2}=\int_{s}^{t} \int_{0}^{b} f(r, x)^{2} d r d x
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}=\sigma\{W(A): \forall A \subset[0, t] \times[0, b]\}
$$

(b) For any $t \geq 0, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and simple functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$,

$$
B_{t}\left(\alpha_{1} f_{1}+\alpha_{2} f_{2}\right)=\alpha_{1} B_{t}\left(f_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2} B_{t}\left(f_{2}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

For general function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{b} f(s, x)^{2} d s d x<\infty, \quad \forall T>0
$$

let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of simple functions such that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{b}\left(f_{n}(s, x)-f(s, x)\right)^{2} d s d x \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \forall T>0
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|B_{t}\left(f_{n}\right)-B_{t}\left(f_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \leq 4 E\left|B_{T}\left(f_{n}-f_{m}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & 4 T \int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{b}\left(f_{n}(s, x)-f_{m}(s, x)\right)^{2} d s d x \rightarrow 0, \forall T>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

there exists a process, denoted by

$$
B_{t}(f)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{b} f(s, x) W(d s d x)
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|B_{t}\left(f_{n}\right)-B_{t}(f)\right|^{2} \rightarrow 0, \forall T>0 \tag{3.2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2.4 Let $H=L^{2}([0, b])$.
(a) Let $W(d t d x)$ be a white noise random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]$. Then $\left\{B_{t}(f): t \geq 0, f \in H\right\}$ defined by (3.2.6) is an H-c.B.m.
(b) Suppose that $\left\{\tilde{B}_{t}(f): t \geq 0, f \in H\right\}$ is an H-c.B.m. Then there exists a white noise random measure $W(d t d x)$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]$ such that $\left\{B_{t}(f)\right\}$ constructed in (3.2.6) has the property:

$$
B_{t}(f)=\tilde{B}_{t}(f), \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof: (a) Let $f_{n}$ be a sequence of simple functions on $[0, \mathrm{~b}]$ such that $\| f_{n}-$ $f \|_{H} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $f$ and $f_{n}$ can be regarded as functions on $\mathbf{R} \times[0, b]$, i.e.

$$
f(t, x) \equiv f(x), \quad \forall(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times[0, b]
$$

Then $B_{t}(f)$ is well-defined by (3.2.6). By Theorem 3.2.3 and (3.2.6), it is easy to see that i), ii) of Definition 3.2.1 hold. The condition iii) of Definition 3.2.1 follows from $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B} \subset \mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}$.
(b) For any $A \in \mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]\right)$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\cdot, A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{B}_{n}\left(1_{A}\right) \tag{3.2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m>n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
E\left|\tilde{B}_{n}\left(1_{A}\right)-\tilde{B}_{m}\left(1_{A}\right)\right|^{2}=\int_{n}^{m} \int_{0}^{b} 1_{A}(s, x) d s d x \rightarrow 0
$$

and hence $W(\cdot, A)$ is well-defined by (3.2.7). For $A_{1}, A_{2} \in \mathcal{B}_{f}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]\right)$, let $\left\{f_{n}\right\},\left\{g_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of simple functions such that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \int_{0}^{b}\left|f_{n}(s, x)-1_{A_{1}}(s, x)\right|^{2} d s d x \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \int_{0}^{b}\left|g_{n}(s, x)-1_{A_{2}}(s, x)\right|^{2} d s d x \rightarrow 0
$$

Let

$$
f_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{n} 1_{\left[t_{j-1}^{n}, t_{j}^{n}\right) \times\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)}
$$

and

$$
g_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}^{n} 1_{\left[t_{j-1}^{n}, t_{j}^{n}\right) \times\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.E \exp \left(i \alpha W\left(\cdot, A_{1}\right)\right)+i \beta W\left(\cdot, A_{2}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{n} E \exp \left(i \alpha B_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)+i \beta B_{n}\left(g_{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{n} E \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left(i\left(\alpha a_{j}^{n}+\beta b_{j}^{n}\right) W\left(\left[t_{j-1}^{n}, t_{j}^{n}\right) \times\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{\left|\alpha a_{j}^{n}+\beta b_{j}^{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\left(t_{j}^{n}-t_{j-1}^{n}\right)\left(x_{j}^{n}-x_{j-1}^{n}\right)\right) \\
= & \lim _{n} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{n} \int_{0}^{b}\left(\alpha f_{n}(s, x)+\beta g_{n}(s, x)\right)^{2} d s d x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+}} \int_{0}^{b}\left(\alpha 1_{A_{1}}+\beta 1_{A_{2}}\right)^{2} d s d x\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \alpha^{2}\left|A_{1}\right|\right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{2}\left|A_{2}\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $W\left(\cdot, A_{1}\right), W\left(\cdot, A_{2}\right)$ are independent and $W\left(\cdot, A_{j}\right) \sim N\left(0,\left|A_{j}\right|\right), j=$ $1,2$.

Further, since

$$
B_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)+B_{n}\left(g_{n}\right)=B_{n}\left(f_{n}+g_{n}\right)
$$

and $f_{n}+g_{n} \rightarrow 1_{A_{1} \cup A_{2}}$ we have

$$
W\left(\cdot, A_{1}\right)+W\left(\cdot, A_{2}\right)=W\left(\cdot, A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right), \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Therefore, by Definition 3.2.2, $W$ is a white noise random measure.
For any $f \in H$, let $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of of simple function such that $\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{H} \rightarrow 0$. Let

$$
f_{n}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{n} 1_{\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)}(x)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{t}\left(f_{n}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{n} W\left([0, t] \times\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{n} \tilde{B}_{t}\left(1_{\left[x_{j-1}^{n}, x_{j}^{n}\right)}\right)=\tilde{B}_{t}\left(f_{n}\right) . \tag{3.2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.2.8) and (3.2.6), we have

$$
B_{t}(f)=\tilde{B}_{t}(f), \text { a.s. }
$$

Now we introduce the concept of $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process and its relationship with $H$-c.B.m.

Definition 3.2.5 A strongly sample continuous $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued stochastic process $W=\left(W_{t}\right)_{t>0}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is called a centered $\Phi^{\prime}-$ Wiener process with covariance $Q(\cdot, \cdot)$ if $W$ satisfies the following three conditions:
a) $W_{0}=0$ a.s.
b) $W$ has independent increments, i.e. the random variables

$$
W_{t_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right],\left(W_{t_{2}}-W_{t_{1}}\right)\left[\phi_{2}\right], \cdots,\left(W_{t_{n}}-W_{t_{n-1}}\right)\left[\phi_{n}\right]
$$

are independent for any $\phi_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{n} \in \Phi, 0 \leq t_{1} \leq \cdots \leq t_{n}, n \geq 1$.
c) For each $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
E\left(e^{i W_{t}[\phi]}\right)=e^{-t Q(\phi, \phi) / 2}
$$

where $Q$ is a covariance functional, i.e. a positive definite symmetric continuous bilinear form on $\Phi \times \Phi$.

Remark 3.2.5 Let $W$ be a $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process with covariance $Q$. Then
i) $W \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$.
ii) $\left\{W_{t}[\phi]: \phi \in \Phi, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a centered Gaussian system and

$$
E\left(W_{t}[\phi] W_{s}[\psi]\right)=(s \wedge t) Q(\phi, \psi), \quad \phi, \psi \in \Phi, s, t \geq 0
$$

Remark 3.2.6 A $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued process $\left(Z_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is a non-centered Wiener process if there exists $m \in \Phi^{\prime}$ such that $Z_{t}-m t$ is a centered Wiener process.

Lemma 3.2.1 i) For each $\phi \in \Phi$, let $\iota \phi=Q(\phi, \cdot)$. Then $\iota$ is an injective linear operator from $\Phi$ onto a linear subspace $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$ of $\Phi^{\prime}$.
ii) For any $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathcal{R}(\iota)$, let

$$
<v_{1}, v_{2}>_{H_{Q}}=Q\left(\iota^{-1} v_{1}, \iota^{-1} v_{2}\right)
$$

Then $<\cdot, \cdot>_{H_{Q}}$ is an inner product on $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{H_{Q}}$ be the norm on $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$ determined by the inner product $<\cdot, \cdot>_{H_{Q}}$ and let $H_{Q}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{H_{Q}}$. Then $H_{Q}$ is a separable Hilbert space and $H_{Q} \subset \Phi^{\prime}$.

Proof: The proof is standard and we leave it to the reader.

Lemma 3.2.2 i) There exists an index $r_{2}$ such that for any $p \geq r_{2}, \exists a$ positive-definite (i.e. $\left\langle\sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi, \phi\right\rangle_{p}>0, \forall \phi \in \Phi_{p}, \phi \neq 0$ ) self-adjoint operator $\sqrt{Q_{p}}$ on $\Phi_{p}$ such that

$$
Q(\phi, \psi)=\left\langle\sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi, \sqrt{Q_{p}} \psi\right\rangle_{p} \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \Phi
$$

ii) For $p \geq r_{2}$, we have

$$
w\left[\theta_{p} v\right]=<w, v>_{-p}, \quad \forall w, v \in \Phi_{-p}
$$

and

$$
\theta_{p} \sqrt{Q_{p}}=\sqrt{Q_{p}} \theta_{p}: \Phi_{-p} \rightarrow \Phi_{p}
$$

iii) For any $p \geq r_{2}$, we have $H_{Q}=\mathcal{R}\left({\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, for any $h \in \Phi_{-p}$

$$
\left\|{\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} h\right\|_{H_{Q}}=\|h\|_{-p}
$$

i.e., ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime}$ is an isometry from $\Phi_{-p}$ to $H_{Q}$.

Proof: i) Let $V^{2}(\phi)=Q(\phi, \phi)$ for $\phi \in \Phi$. Then $V: \Phi \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.3.1. Therefore there exist $\theta>0$ and $r_{2} \geq 0$ such that

$$
Q(\phi, \phi) \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r_{2}}^{2}, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

Hence

$$
|Q(\phi, \psi)| \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r_{2}}\|\psi\|_{r_{2}} \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{p}\|\psi\|_{p}, \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \Phi, p \geq r_{2}
$$

Therefore $Q$ can be extended to become a symmetric continuous bilinear form on $\Phi_{p} \times \Phi_{p}$, still denoted by $Q$. As $Q(\phi, \cdot) \in \Phi_{-p}$ for any $\phi \in \Phi_{p}$, it follows from Riesz's representation theorem that there exists $Q \phi \in \Phi_{p}$ such that

$$
Q(\phi, \psi)=<Q \phi, \psi>_{p}, \quad \forall \psi \in \Phi_{p}
$$

It is easy to show that $Q$ is a positive definite self-adjoint operator on $\Phi_{p}$ and hence $\sqrt{Q_{p}}$ is well-defined and $Q(\phi, \psi)=\left\langle\sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi, \sqrt{Q_{p}} \psi\right\rangle_{p}$ for any $\phi$ and $\psi$ in $\Phi_{p}$.
ii) Note that, for any $v$ and $w$ in $\Phi_{-p}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w\left[\theta_{p} v\right]=w\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}<v, \phi_{j}^{-p}>_{-p} \phi_{j}^{p}\right] \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}<v, \phi_{j}^{-p}>_{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}<w, \phi_{k}^{-p}>_{-p} \phi_{k}^{-p}\left[\phi_{j}^{p}\right] \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}<v, \phi_{j}^{-p}>_{-p}<w, \phi_{j}^{-p}>_{-p}=<v, w>_{-p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left[\theta_{p}{\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} v\right] & =\left\langle w,{\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} v\right\rangle_{-p}=\left\langle\sqrt{{Q_{p}}^{\prime}} w, v\right\rangle_{-p} \\
& =\left(\sqrt{Q_{p}^{\prime}} w\right)\left[\theta_{p} v\right]=w\left[\sqrt{Q_{p}} \theta_{p} v\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) If $f_{0} \in \Phi_{-p}$ such that $\left\langle f_{0},{\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \phi\right\rangle_{-p}=0$ for any $\phi \in \Phi$, then ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} f_{0}=0$ and hence, $f_{0}=0$. i.e., ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \Phi$ is dense in $\Phi_{-p}$. As $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$ is
dense in $H_{Q}$, we only need to show that ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime}$ is an isometry from ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \Phi$ onto $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$. Note that $\forall \phi, \psi \in \Phi$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(\phi, \psi) & =\left\langle\sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi, \sqrt{Q_{p}} \psi\right\rangle_{p} \\
& =\left(\theta_{-p} \sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi\right)\left[\sqrt{Q_{p}} \psi\right] \\
& =\left(\sqrt{Q_{p}} \theta_{-p} \sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi\right)[\psi]
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore for $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
Q(\phi, \cdot)={\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \sqrt{Q_{p}} \phi={\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime}{\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \phi
$$

and

$$
\|Q(\phi, \cdot)\|_{H_{Q}}^{2}=Q(\phi, \phi)=\left\|\sqrt{Q_{p}} \theta_{-p} \phi\right\|_{-p}^{2}
$$

Hence $\sqrt{{Q_{p}}^{\prime}}$ is an isometry from ${\sqrt{Q_{p}}}^{\prime} \theta_{-p} \Phi$ onto $\mathcal{R}(\iota)$.

Theorem 3.2.5 Let $Q$ be a covariance functional on $\Phi \times \Phi$ and let $H_{Q}$ be constructed in Lemma 3.2.1. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q$ and an $H_{Q}-c . B . m$. $B$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} B_{t}\left(f_{j}\right) f_{j} \tag{3.2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ is a CONS of $H_{Q}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}(v)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right], \forall v \in H_{Q} \tag{3.2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{v_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}(\iota)$ converges to $v$ in $H_{Q}$.
Proof: First we assume that $W$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process and define $B$ by (3.2.10). It follows from Doob's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right]-W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{m}\right]\right|^{2} \\
= & 4 T Q\left(\iota^{-1}\left(v_{n}-v_{m}\right), \iota^{-1}\left(v_{n}-v_{m}\right)\right) \\
= & 4 T\left\|v_{n}-v_{m}\right\|_{H_{Q}}^{2} \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (3.2.10) is well-defined and $B .(v)$ is a real-valued continuous process. Further, let $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}$ and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{k} \in \mathbf{R}$. Then

$$
E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}\left(B_{t_{j}}(v)-B_{t_{j-1}}(v)\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E \exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{j}\left(W_{t_{j}}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right]-W_{t_{j-1}}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right) \lambda_{j}^{2} Q\left(\iota^{-1} v_{n}, \iota^{-1} v_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{j}-t_{j-1}\right) \lambda_{j}^{2}\|v\|_{H_{Q}}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left\{\|v\|_{H_{Q}}^{-1} B_{t}(v): t \geq 0\right\}$ is a real-valued Brownian motion. This proves (i) of Definition 3.2.1.

For $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in \mathbf{R}$ and $t \geq 0$, note that

$$
W_{t}\left[\alpha_{1} \iota^{-1} v_{n}^{1}+\alpha_{2} \iota^{-1} v_{n}^{2}\right] \rightarrow B_{t}\left(\alpha_{1} v_{1}+\alpha_{2} v_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1} W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}^{1}\right]+\alpha_{2} W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}^{2}\right] \rightarrow \alpha_{1} B_{t}\left(v_{1}\right)+\alpha_{2} B_{t}\left(v_{2}\right)
$$

in the sense of (3.2.11), where $\left\{v_{n}^{1}\right\},\left\{v_{n}^{2}\right\} \subset \mathcal{R}(\iota)$ such that $v_{n}^{1} \rightarrow v_{1}, v_{n}^{2} \rightarrow v_{2}$ in $H_{Q}$. (ii) of Definition 3.2.1 follows easily.

As $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B} \subset \mathcal{F}_{t}^{W}$, it follows from (3.2.11) that $\forall v \in H_{Q}, A \in \mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}, r>t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(B_{r}(v) 1_{A}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(W_{r}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right] 1_{A}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(W_{t}\left[\iota^{-1} v_{n}\right] 1_{A}\right) \\
& =E\left(B_{t}(v) 1_{A}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $B_{t}(v)$ is a $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{B}$-martingale. This proves (iii) of Definition 3.2.1 and hence $B$ is an $H_{Q}$-c.B.m.

On the other hand, let $B$ be an $H_{Q}$-c.B.m. and define $W$ by (3.2.9). Let $r_{2}$ be given by Lemma 3.2.2 and $p \geq r_{2}$ such that the canonical injection from $\Phi_{-r_{2}}$ to $\Phi_{-p}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\|\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k} B_{t}\left(f_{j}\right) f_{j}\right\|_{-p}^{2} \\
= & E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k} B_{t}\left(f_{j}\right)\left\langle f_{j}, \phi_{i}^{-p}\right\rangle_{-p}\right)^{2} \\
\leq & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 4 E\left(\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k} B_{T}\left(f_{j}\right)\left\langle f_{j}, \phi_{i}^{-p}\right\rangle_{-p}\right)^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 4 T \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k}\left\langle f_{j}, \phi_{i}^{-p}\right\rangle_{-p}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=4 T \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{-p}^{2} \rightarrow 0
$$

as the canonical injection from $H_{Q}$ to $\Phi_{-p}$ given by the composition $H_{Q} \rightarrow$ $\Phi_{-r_{2}} \rightarrow \Phi_{-p}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt. Therefore (3.2.9) is well-defined and $W_{t}$ is a continuous $\Phi_{-p}$-valued process. As in the first part of the proof of this theorem, we can show that $W_{t}$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 3.2.5, i.e., $W$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process.

Corollary 3.2.1 For any covariance functional $Q$ on $\Phi \times \Phi$, there exists $a \Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q$ and there exists $p \geq 0$ depending only on $Q$ such that

$$
W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

where $C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right)$ is the space of strongly continuous functions from $\mathbf{R}_{+}$to $\Phi_{-p}$.

Proof: Let $H_{Q}$ be constructed by Lemma 3.2.1. It follows from Theorem 3.2.2 that there exists an $H_{Q}$-c.B.m. and then by Theorem 3.2.5, we obtain the results of the corollary.

Remark 3.2.7 Let $\left(\Phi, H, T_{t}\right)$ be a special compatible family defined in Section 1.3. Suppose that $Q$ is a covariance functional on $\Phi \times \Phi$, then there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q$ such that

$$
W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \text { a.s. }
$$

for any $p \geq r_{1}+r_{2}$ where $r_{1}$ is given by (1.3.17) and $r_{2}$ is given by Lemma 3.2.2.

Remark 3.2.8 It follows from Corollary 3.2.1 that the condition (iii) in Theorem 3.1.4 is not necessary.

Now we introduce some examples of $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener processes.
Example 3.2.1 Let $(\Phi, H, L)$ be a special compatible family such that $H=$ $L^{2}([0, b])$ (cf. Remark 1.3.4). Let $W(t, x)$ be a Brownian sheet on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times[0, b]$. Let $W_{t}$ be a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued process defined by

$$
W_{t}[\phi]=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{b} \phi(x) W(d s d x) \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

It is easy to see that $\left\{W_{t}\right\}$ is a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process with covariance functional $Q$ given by

$$
Q(\phi, \psi)=<\phi, \psi>_{H} \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in \Phi .
$$

Further $W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right)$ for $p \geq r_{1}$.

Example 3.2.2 Let $(\Phi, H, L)$ be a special compatible family (see Remark 1.3.4). Recall that the injection from $\Phi_{q}$ to $\Phi_{p}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt map for $q>p+r_{1}$. Let $<\cdot, \cdot>_{0}$ be the inner product in $H$ and define

$$
Q_{0}(\phi, \psi)=<\phi, \psi>_{0} \quad \phi, \psi \in \Phi
$$

Then from Corollary 3.2.1 there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q_{0}$ such that

$$
W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { a.s. if } \quad p>r_{1}
$$

and will be called $a$ standard Wiener process. More generally, if $r>0$ and

$$
Q_{r}(\phi, \psi)=<\phi, \psi>_{r} \quad \phi, \psi \in \Phi
$$

then there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q_{r}$ such that $W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right)$ for $p>r+r_{1}$.

As will be shown in later examples, in applications the $Q$ is not always given by one of the inner products on the Hilbert spaces defining $\Phi$. Nevertheless since $Q$ is continuous on $\Phi \times \Phi$, then, as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.2, there exist $\theta>0$ and $r_{2} \geq 0$ such that

$$
Q(\phi, \phi) \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r_{2}}^{2}, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

and therefore there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q$ such that

$$
W . \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

for any $p \geq r_{1}+r_{2}$.
Example 3.2.3 Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ be the Schwartz space of Example 1.3 .1 (see also Remark 1.3.5). Then $\left(\mathcal{S}, L^{2}(\mathbf{R}),-d^{2} / d x^{2}+x^{2} / 4\right)$ is a special compatible family where $\left\{\phi_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 1}$ are the Hermite functions given by (1.3.10), $\lambda_{j}=$ $j-1 / 2, j \geq 1,<\cdot,>_{0}$ is the inner product on $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ and $r_{1}>1 / 2$. Taking $\Phi=\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ and $H=L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ in the last example, we have that if $Q_{0}(\phi, \psi)=<\phi, \psi>_{0}$ then the standard Wiener process $W$ in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}(\mathbf{R})$ is such that $W \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ for $p>1 / 2$. Clearly, there is no smallest $p$ such that this happens.

For $\phi \in \Phi$ define

$$
W_{t}^{(1)}[\phi]=W_{t}\left[D^{2} \phi\right] \quad \text { where } D=\frac{d}{d x}
$$

Then the covariance functional of the $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process $W^{(1)}=$ $\left(W_{t}^{(1)}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ is

$$
Q^{(1)}(\phi, \psi)=Q_{0}\left(D^{2} \phi, D^{2} \psi\right)=<D^{2} \phi, D^{2} \psi>_{0}
$$

We shall show that $W \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ for $p>3 / 2$. In general we will prove the following: Let $Q_{r}(\phi, \psi)=<\phi, \psi>_{r}, \forall \phi, \psi \in \Phi$ and $r \geq 0$, and let $W=\left(W_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the corresponding $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{t}^{(1)}[\phi]=W_{t}\left[D^{2} \phi\right] \tag{3.2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $W^{(1)}$ is a $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process such that $W^{(1)} \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ for $p>r+3 / 2$.

Clearly

$$
Q^{(1)}(\phi, \psi)=<D^{2} \phi, D^{2} \psi>_{r} \quad \phi, \psi \in \Phi
$$

then from Example 1.3.1 for $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^{(1)}(\phi, \phi) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 r}<D^{2} \phi, \phi_{n}>_{0}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 r}<\phi, D^{2} \phi_{n}>_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from the proof of lemma 1.3.4 that

$$
\phi_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \phi_{n-1}(x)-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \phi_{n+1}(x)
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n-2}}{2} \phi_{n-2}(x)-\frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{2} \phi_{n}(x)\right\} \\
& -\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\left\{\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} \phi_{n}(x)-\frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{2} \phi_{n+2}(x)\right\} \\
= & \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-2)}}{4} \phi_{n-2}(x)-\frac{2 n-1}{4} \phi_{n}(x)-\frac{\sqrt{n(n+1)}}{4} \phi_{n+2}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q^{(1)}(\phi, \phi) \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 r}\langle\phi \\
& \left.\frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-2)}}{4} \phi_{n-2}-\frac{2 n-1}{4} \phi_{n}-\frac{\sqrt{n(n+1)}}{4} \phi_{n+2}\right\rangle_{0}^{2} \\
\leq & \frac{3}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 r}\left\{(n-1)(n-2)<\phi, \phi_{n-2}>_{0}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.+(2 n-1)^{2}<\phi, \phi_{n}>_{0}^{2}+n(n+1)<\phi, \phi_{n+2}>_{0}^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{3}{16} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 r+2}\left\{<\phi, \phi_{n-2}>_{0}^{2}+4<\phi, \phi_{n}>_{0}^{2}+<\phi, \phi_{n+2}>_{0}^{2}\right\} \\
\leq & \alpha\|\phi\|_{r+1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a constant. Since the injection $\mathcal{S}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{r+1}$ is Hilbert-Schmidt for $p>r+1+\frac{1}{2}=r+\frac{3}{2}$, we have shown that the $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process given by (3.2.12) is such that, for $p>r+3 / 2$,

$$
W^{(1)} \in C\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}, \mathcal{S}_{p}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

### 3.3 Stochastic integral with respect to H-c.B.m and $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process

In this section, we discuss stochastic integrals with respect to $H$-c.B.m. and with respect to $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process. We shall also obtain stochastic representations for $H$-valued and $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued continuous martingales.

### 3.3.1 Stochastic integral

Let $H$ and $K$ be two separable Hilbert spaces and let $B$ be an $H$-c.B.m. Let $L_{B}^{2}$ be the collection of all $L_{(2)}(H, K)$-valued predictable processes $f$ such that

$$
E \int_{0}^{T}\|f(t, \omega)\|_{(2)}^{2} d t<\infty, \forall T>0
$$

Definition 3.3.1 For $f \in L_{B}^{2}$, we define

$$
I_{t}(f)=\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{i}\right)\right) g_{j}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

where $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ are CONS of $H$ and $K$ respectively and $f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \in$ $L(K, H)$ denotes the dual operator of $f(s, \omega) \in L(K, H)$.

Theorem 3.3.1 $I(f) \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(K)$ is well-defined.

Proof: First we show that $\forall j \geq 1$,

$$
I_{t}(f)_{j} \equiv \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{i}\right)
$$

converges. In fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \int_{0}^{t}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 4 E\left|\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} \int_{0}^{T}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & 4 \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+k} E \int_{0}^{T}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H}^{2} d s \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As a consequence, we see that $I(f)_{j} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$ is well-defined, $\forall j \geq 1$.

Next we show that $I(f)_{j}$ does not depend on the choice of the CONS $\left\{f_{i}\right\}$ of $H$. Let $\left\{\tilde{f}_{i}\right\}$ be another CONS of $H$ and let $\tilde{I}(f)_{j} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$ be given by

$$
\tilde{I}_{t}(f)_{j}=\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, \tilde{f}_{i}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(\tilde{f}_{i}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|I_{t}(f)_{j}-\tilde{I}_{t}(f)_{j}\right|^{2}=E\left|I_{t}(f)_{j}\right|^{2}+E\left|\tilde{I}_{t}(f)_{j}\right|^{2}-2 E I_{t}(f)_{j} \tilde{I}_{t}(f)_{j} \\
= & \sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} E<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H}^{2} d s+\sum_{i} \int_{0}^{t} E<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, \tilde{f}_{i}>_{H}^{2} d s \\
& -2 \sum_{i, r} \int_{0}^{t} E<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, f_{i}>_{H}<f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}, \tilde{f}_{r}>_{H}<f_{i}, \tilde{f}_{r}>_{H} d s \\
= & 2 \int_{0}^{t} E\left\|f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}\right\|_{H}^{2} d s-2 \int_{0}^{t} E\left\|f(s, \omega)^{\prime} g_{j}\right\|_{H}^{2} d s=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

By similar arguments, we can show that

$$
I_{t}(f)=\sum_{j} I(f)_{j} g_{j}
$$

converges and does not depend on the choice of the CONS $\left\{g_{j}\right\}$ of $K$. This proves our assertion.

As a consequence of the definition we have the following inequality.
Theorem 3.3.2 For $2 \leq p<\infty$, there exist constants $C_{p}$ depending only on $p$ such that for a predictable $L_{2}(H, K)$-valued process $f$ with

$$
E\left[\left\{\int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{2}^{2} d s\right\}^{p / 2}\right]<\infty
$$

one has

$$
E\left[\sup _{t \leq T}\left\|\int_{0}^{t} f(s, \omega) d W_{s}\right\|_{K}^{p}\right] \leq C_{p} E\left[\left\{\int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{2}^{2} d s\right\}^{p / 2}\right]
$$

Proof: It follows from Burkholder's inequality for finite dimensional martingale $\left(I_{t}(f)_{1}, \cdots, I_{t}(f)_{d}\right)$ that

$$
E\left[\sup _{t \leq T}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{d} I_{t}(f)_{j}^{2}\right\}^{p / 2}\right] \leq C_{p} E\left[\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|f(s, \omega) f_{j}\right\|_{K}^{2} d s\right\}^{p / 2}\right]
$$

The required inequality follows from this, using Fatou's lemma.
Let $W$ be a $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued Wiener process with covariance Q . The space of integrands, $L_{Q}^{2}$ consists of those predictable functions $f: \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \Omega \rightarrow L\left(\Phi^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)$ for which

$$
E \int_{0}^{T} Q\left(f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi, f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi\right) d s<\infty, \quad \forall T>0, \phi \in \Phi
$$

Theorem 3.3.3 Let $f \in L_{Q}^{2}$. Then for $T>0$, there exists $p \equiv p_{T} \geq 0$ such that $f$ can be regarded as a predictable map from $[0, T] \times \Omega$ to $L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right)$ and

$$
E \int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right)}^{2} d s<\infty
$$

Proof: Define a map $V_{T}$ from $\Phi$ to $[0, \infty)$ by

$$
V_{T}(\phi)^{2} \equiv E \int_{0}^{T} Q\left(f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi, f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi\right) d s
$$

It is easy to see that $V_{T}$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.3.1 and hence, there exist $\theta>0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that

$$
V_{T}(\phi) \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r}, \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

Let $p>r$ be such that the canonical injection from $\Phi_{p}$ to $\Phi_{r}$ is HilbertSchmidt. Note that for $\phi \in \Phi \subset \Phi_{p} \subset H_{Q}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\phi\|_{H_{Q}^{\prime}}^{2} & =\sup \left\{Q(\psi, \cdot)[\phi]^{2} /\|Q(\psi, \cdot)\|_{H_{Q}}^{2}: \psi \in \Phi\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{Q(\psi, \phi)^{2} / Q(\psi, \psi): \psi \in \Phi\right\}=Q(\phi, \phi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{j}\left\|f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi_{j}^{p}\right\|_{H_{Q}^{\prime}}^{2} d s & =E \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{j} Q\left(f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi_{j}^{p}, f(s, \omega)^{\prime} \phi_{j}^{p}\right) d s \\
& =\sum_{j} \theta\left\|\phi_{j}^{p}\right\|_{r}^{2}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $f(t, \omega)^{\prime} \in L_{(2)}\left(\Phi_{p}, H_{Q}^{\prime}\right)$, dtdP-a.e. and hence,

$$
f(t, \omega)=f(t, \omega)^{\prime \prime} \in L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \quad \text { dtdP-a.e. }
$$

such that

$$
E \int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right)}^{2} d s=E \int_{0}^{T}\left\|f(s, \omega)^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{(2)}\left(\Phi_{p}, H_{Q}^{\prime}\right)}^{2} d s<\infty .
$$

Let $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ be a CONS of $H_{Q}$. As

$$
L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right) \cap L\left(\Phi^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\ell \in L\left(\Phi^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right): \sum_{j}\left\|\ell v_{j}\right\|_{-p}^{2}<\infty\right\},
$$

is a measurable subset of $L\left(\Phi^{\prime}, \Phi^{\prime}\right), f$ can be regarded as a predictable map from $[0, T] \times \Omega$ to $L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right)$.

Based on Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.3, we now introduce the stochastic integral with respect to a $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process $W$.

Definition 3.3.2 Let $B$ be the $H_{Q}$-c.B.m. given by $W$ in Theorem 3.2.5 and $f \in L_{Q}^{2}$. For any $T>0$, let $p=p_{T}$ be given by Theorem 3.3.3. For $t \leq T$, we define

$$
M_{t} \equiv \int_{0}^{t} f(s, \omega) d W_{s} \equiv \int_{0}^{t} f(s, \omega) d B_{s},
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}[\phi]=\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}\left(f(s, \omega) v_{j}\right)[\phi] d B_{s}\left(v_{j}\right) \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{v_{j}\right\}$ is a CONS of $H_{Q}$. As

$$
E \int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{L_{(2)}\left(H_{Q}, \Phi_{-p}\right)}^{2} d s<\infty,
$$

$M_{t}$, given by (3.3.1), is a well-defined $\Phi_{-p}$-valued martingale for $t \in[0, T]$.
The following theorem follows directly from Theorems 3.3.1, 3.3.3 and Definition 3.3.2.

Theorem 3.3.4 $M$ in Definition 3.3.2 is a well-defined element in $\mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$. Further, if $p=p_{T}$ is given by Theorem 3.3.3, then

$$
\left.M\right|_{[0, T]} \in C\left([0, T], \Phi_{-p}\right)
$$

### 3.3.2 Representation theorems

Now we consider the stochastic integral representation for $H$-valued continuous square-integrable martingales. First we fix $T>0$ and let $M$ be an $H$-valued continuous square-integrable martingale. Let $f:[0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow$ $L_{(2)}(H, H)$ be predictable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
<M_{t}\left(h^{1}\right), M_{t}\left(h^{2}\right)>=\int_{0}^{t}<f(s, \omega) h^{1}, f(s, \omega) h^{2}>_{H} d s \tag{3.3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{j} \in H, M_{t}\left(h^{j}\right)=<M_{t}, h^{j}>_{H}, j=1,2$, and the left hand side of (3.3.2) is the quadratic covariation process of the martingales $M_{t}\left(h^{1}\right)$ and $M_{t}\left(h^{2}\right)$.

Definition 3.3.3 We say a stochastic basis $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)$ is an extension of a stochastic basis $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ if there exists a map $\pi: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Omega$ which is $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} / \mathcal{F}$-measurable such that i) $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t} \supset \pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$; ii) $P=\tilde{P} \pi^{-1}$ and iii) for every bounded random variable $X$ on $\Omega$,

$$
\tilde{E}\left(\tilde{X}(\tilde{\omega}) \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)=E\left(X \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)(\pi \tilde{\omega}) \quad \tilde{P}-a . s
$$

where $\tilde{X}(\tilde{\omega})=X(\pi \tilde{\omega})$, for $\tilde{\Omega} \in \tilde{\Omega}$. We shall denote $\tilde{X}$ by $X$ if its meaning is clear from the context.
$\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)$ is called $a$ standard extension of a stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}$, $\left.P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ if we have another stochastic basis $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)=\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \times\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)
$$

and $\pi \tilde{\omega}=\omega$ for $\tilde{\omega}=\left(\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{\Omega}$.
Theorem 3.3.5 Let $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(H)$ such that (3.3.2) holds and

$$
E \int_{0}^{T}\|f(s, \omega)\|_{(2)}^{2} d s<\infty
$$

Then, on a standard extension $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)$ of $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, there exists an H-c.B.m $B_{t}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} f(s, \omega) d B_{s} \tag{3.3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: We divide the proof into three steps. For simplicity of notations, we suppress $\omega$ and write $f(s), g_{n}(s), R(s)$ for $f(s, \omega), g_{n}(s, \omega), R(s, \omega)$.
Step 1. We construct an $H$-c.B.m. $B_{t}$ under the assumption that $\forall(s, \omega) \in$ $[0, T] \times \Omega, f(s, \omega)$ is a non-negative definite self-adjoint Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Let $g_{n}(s)=f(s)\left(f(s)^{2}+n^{-1} I\right)^{-1}$. It is easy to see that $\left\|f(s) g_{n}(s)\right\|_{L(H, H)}$ $\leq 1$ and $\left\|g_{n}(s)\right\|_{L(H, H)} \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}$. Let $R(s)$ be the (orthogonal) projection operator from $H$ to the range of $f(s)^{2}$. Then $f(s) g_{n}(s)=g_{n}(s) f(s) \rightarrow R(s)$ in $L(H, H)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ be a stochastic basis and $B_{t}^{\prime}$ be an $H$-c.B.m on this basis. Let $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)=\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \times\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ be a standard extension of the stochastic basis $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$. On this extension, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{t}^{(n, J)}(h)= & \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{0}^{t}<g_{n}(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{0}^{t}<(I-R(s)) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{\prime}\left(f_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $t \in[0, T], h \in H, n, J \in \mathbf{N}$, where $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ is a CONS of $H$. Then for $h^{1}, h^{2} \in H$, the quadratic covariation process is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& <B^{(n, J)}\left(h^{1}\right), B^{(m, K)}\left(h^{2}\right)>_{t} \\
= & \int_{0}^{t}<f(s) \pi_{J} g_{n}(s) h^{1}, f(s) \pi_{K} g_{m}(s) h^{2}>_{H} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}<\pi_{J \wedge K}(I-R(s)) h^{1},(I-R(s)) h^{2}>_{H} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\pi_{J}$ is the projection operator from $H$ to the linear span of $\left\{f_{j}: 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq J\}$ on $H$. By the dominated convergence theorem, as $J \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E \sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|B^{(n, J+k)}(h)-B^{(n, J)}(h)\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 4 E\left\langle B^{(n, J+k)}(h)-B^{(n, J)}(h)\right\rangle_{T} \\
= & 4 \int_{0}^{T}\left\|f(s)\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right) g_{n}(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& +4 \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right)(I-R(s)) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $B^{(n, J)}(h)$ converges to a real-valued continuous square-integrable martingale, say $B^{(n)}(h)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
<B^{(n)}\left(h^{1}\right), B^{(m)}\left(h^{2}\right)>_{t}= & \int_{0}^{t}<f(s) g_{n}(s) h^{1}, f(s) g_{m}(s) h^{2}>_{H} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}<(I-R(s)) h^{1}, h^{2}>_{H} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
<B^{(n, J)}\left(h^{1}\right), B^{(n)}\left(h^{2}\right)>_{t}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{0}^{t}<f(s) \pi_{J} g_{n}(s) h^{1}, f(s) g_{n}(s) h^{2}>_{H} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}<\pi_{J}(I-R(s)) h^{1},(I-R(s)) h^{2}>_{H} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding as in (3.3.4) we can prove that $B^{(n)}(h)$ converges to a real-valued continuous square-integrable martingale, say $B(h)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
<B\left(h^{1}\right), B\left(h^{2}\right)>_{t}= & \int_{0}^{t}<R(s) h^{1}, h^{2}>_{H} d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t}<(I-R(s)) h^{1}, h^{2}>_{H} d s \\
= & t<h^{1}, h^{2}>_{H} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to verify the conditions of Definition 3.2.1 and hence, $B$ is an $H$ c.B.m.

Step 2. We now obtain the representation (3.3.3). Let

$$
\tau_{m}=\inf \left\{t \in[0, T]:\|f(t)\|_{(2)}>m\right\} .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{J} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n, K)}\left(f_{j}\right)  \tag{3.3.5}\\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) \pi_{J} f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<(I-R(s)) \pi_{J} f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d B_{s}^{\prime}\left(f_{k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

As for $s<\tau_{m}$,

$$
\left\|f(s) \pi_{K} g_{n}(s)\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq m^{4} \frac{n}{4}\|h\|_{H}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\pi_{K}(I-R(s))\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} \leq m^{2}\|h\|_{H}^{2}
$$

it follows from the dominated convergence theorem that, as $J \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\sum_{j=J+1}^{J+k} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n, K)}\left(f_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i, j=J+1}^{J+k} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{i}>_{H}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad<f(s) \pi_{K} g_{n}(s) f_{i}, f(s) \pi_{K} g_{n}(s) f_{j}>_{H} d s \\
& +\sum_{i, j=J+1}^{J+k} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{i}>_{H}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} \\
& <\pi_{K}(I-R(s)) f_{i},(I-R(s)) f_{j}>_{H} d s \\
& =E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|f(s) \pi_{K} g_{n}(s)\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \\
& +E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|\pi_{K}(I-R(s))\left(\pi_{J+k}-\pi_{J}\right) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. the left hand side of (3.3.5) converges to

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n, K)}\left(f_{j}\right)
$$

We can similarly derive the limit (as $J \rightarrow \infty$ ) of the right hand side of (3.3.5). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n, K)}\left(f_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right) \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<(I-R(s)) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d B_{s}^{\prime}\left(f_{k}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that as $K \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d\left(B_{s}^{(n, K)}\left(f_{j}\right)-B_{s}^{(n)}\left(f_{j}\right)\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i, j=1}^{J} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{i}>_{H}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} \\
& \left\langle f(s)\left(I-\pi_{K}\right) g_{n}(s) f_{i}, f(s)\left(I-\pi_{K}\right) g_{n}(s) f_{j}\right\rangle_{H} d s \\
+ & \lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i, j=1}^{J} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{i}>_{H}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} \\
& \left\langle\left(I-\pi_{K}\right)(I-R(s)) f_{i},(I-R(s)) f_{j}\right\rangle_{H} d s \\
= & \lim _{J \rightarrow \infty} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\{\left\|f(s)\left(I-\pi_{K}\right) g_{n}(s) \pi_{J} f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.+\left\|\left(I-\pi_{K}\right)(I-R(s)) \pi_{J} f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2}\right\} d s \\
=E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|f(s)\left(I-\pi_{K}\right) g_{n}(s) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|\sum_{k=K+1}^{K+\ell} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \\
&= \sum_{j, k=K+1}^{K+\ell} E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} \\
& \quad<f(s) f_{j}, f(s) f_{k}>_{H} d s \\
&= E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|f(s)\left(\pi_{K+\ell}-\pi_{K}\right) g_{n}(s) f(s) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n)}\left(f_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}^{(n)}\left(f_{j}\right) \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{j}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|M_{t \wedge \tau_{m}}(h)-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<g_{n}(s) f(s) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & E\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<\left(I-g_{n}(s) f(s)\right) h, f_{k}>_{H} d M_{s}\left(f_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \\
= & \lim _{K \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|f(s) \pi_{K}\left(I-g_{n}(s) f(s)\right) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \\
= & E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\left\|f(s)\left(I-g_{n}(s) f(s)\right) h\right\|_{H}^{2} d s \\
\rightarrow & E \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}\|f(s)(I-R(s)) h\|_{H}^{2} d s=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
M_{t \wedge \tau_{m}}(h)=\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{m}}<f(s, \omega) h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{j}\right)
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we see that (3.3.3) holds.
Step 3. For general $f$, let $p(s)$ be an $L(H, H)$-valued predictable process such that $p(s)^{\prime} p(s)=I$ and $\left(f(s)^{\prime} f(s)\right)^{1 / 2}=f(s) p(s)$. As

$$
<M_{t}\left(h^{1}\right), M_{t}\left(h^{2}\right)>=\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\left(f(s)^{\prime} f(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{1},\left(f(s)^{\prime} f(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h^{2}\right\rangle_{H} d s
$$

by previous steps, there exists an $H$-c.B.m $B_{t}$ such that

$$
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left(f(s)^{\prime} f(s)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d B_{s}
$$

Let

$$
\tilde{B}_{t}(h)=\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}<p(s)^{\prime} h, f_{j}>_{H} d B_{s}\left(f_{j}\right) \quad \forall h \in H
$$

Note that

$$
<\tilde{B}_{t}(h)>=\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}<p(s)^{\prime} h, f_{j}>_{H}^{2} d s=t\|h\|_{H}^{2}
$$

it is easy to show that $\tilde{B}_{s}$ is an $H$-c.B.m. Using similar arguments as in step 2 , we see that (3.3.3) holds with $B$ replaced by $\tilde{B}$.

Finally we consider the stochastic integral representation for $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued continuous square-integrable martingales.

Theorem 3.3.6 Let $Q$ be a covariance function on $\Phi \times \Phi$. Suppose that $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ and there exists $f \in L_{Q}^{2}$ such that

$$
<M_{t}[\phi]>=\int_{0}^{t} Q\left(f(s)^{\prime} \phi, f(s)^{\prime} \phi\right) d s
$$

Then on an extension $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)$ of $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, there exists a $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process $W$ with covariance $Q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d W_{s} \tag{3.3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $p_{n}$ be given by Theorem 3.3 .3 (with $T=n$ ). Then $\left.M\right|_{[0, n]}$ is a $\Phi_{-p_{n}}$-valued continuous square-integrable martingale such that $\forall h \in \Phi_{-p_{n}}, t \in[0, n]$

$$
<M(h)>_{t}=\int_{0}^{t}\left\|\theta_{-p_{n}} \sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} f(s)^{\prime} \theta_{p_{n}} h\right\|_{-p_{n}}^{2} d s
$$

Taking $H=\Phi_{-p_{n}}$, it follows from Theorem 3.3.5 that there exists a standard extension $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \times\left(\Omega^{n}, \mathcal{F}^{n}, P^{n}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{n}\right)$ of the stochastic basis $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ and a $\Phi_{-p_{n}}$-c.B.m. $B^{n}$ such that

$$
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \theta_{-p_{n}} \sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} f(s)^{\prime} \theta_{p_{n}} d B_{s}^{n}
$$

For any $v \in \Phi_{-p_{n}}$, let $\hat{B}_{s}^{n}\left({\sqrt{{Q_{p}}_{n}}}^{\prime} v\right)=B_{s}^{n}(v)$. Then $\hat{B}_{s}^{n}$ is an $H_{Q}$-c.B.m. for $s \in[0, n]$ and, for any $\phi \in \Phi$

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{t}[\phi] & =\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle\theta_{-p_{n}} \sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} f(s)^{\prime} \phi, \phi_{j}^{-p_{n}}\right\rangle_{-p_{n}} d B_{s}^{n}\left(\phi_{j}^{-p_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}\left\langle f(s)^{\prime} \phi, \sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} \phi_{j}^{p_{n}}\right\rangle_{p_{n}} d \hat{B}_{s}^{n}\left(\sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} \phi_{j}^{-p_{n}}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j} \int_{0}^{t}\left(\sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} \phi_{j}^{-p_{n}}\right)\left[f(s)^{\prime} \phi\right] d \hat{B}_{s}^{n}\left(\sqrt{Q_{p_{n}}} \phi_{j}^{-p_{n}}\right) . \tag{3.3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\Omega^{n}, \mathcal{F}^{n}, P^{n}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{n}\right)
$$

On the extension $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)=\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \times\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)$, we define $B$ inductively:

$$
B_{t}= \begin{cases}\hat{B}_{t}^{1}, & \text { for } t \in[0,1] \\ \hat{B}_{n}+\hat{B}_{t}^{n+1}-\hat{B}_{n}^{n+1}, & \text { for } t \in[n, n+1), n \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $B$ is an $H_{Q}$-c.B.m. Let $W$ be the $\Phi^{\prime}$-Wiener process with covariance $Q$ corresponding to $B$ by Theorem 3.2.5. By Definition 3.3.2 and (3.3.7), we see that (3.3.6) holds.

### 3.4 Stochastic integral with respect to Poisson random measure

In this section, we study the stochastic integral of $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued processes with respect to Poisson random measures. We shall derive a representation theorem for a class of purely-discontinuous $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued martingales.

First we recall some basic facts without proof about real-valued semimartingales. We refer the reader to the books of Ikeda and Watanabe [18] and Jacod and Shiryaev [22] for more details. Denote by $\mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})\left(\mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})\right)$ the collection of all (continuous) real-valued square-integrable martingales. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the collection of all adapted processes whose sample paths are of finite variations on any finite intervals.

Definition 3.4.1 $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ is purely-discontinuous if $M_{0}=0$ and for any $N \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R}), M N$ is a martingale. We denote the collection of all purely-discontinuous real-valued square-integrable martingales by $\mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$.

Theorem 3.4.1 For any $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, there exists a unique decomposition $M=M^{c}+M^{d}$ such that $M^{c} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$ and $M^{d} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$. They are called respectively the continuous and the purely-discontinuous part of M.

For any $M, N \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, we define the quadratic variation process

$$
[M, N]_{t}=\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(M_{t_{j+1}}-M_{t_{j}}\right)\left(N_{t_{j+1}}-N_{t_{j}}\right)
$$

where $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{n}=t$ and $\lambda=\max \left\{t_{j+1}-t_{j}: 0 \leq j<n\right\}$.
Theorem 3.4.2 For any $M, N \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$, we have $[M, N] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $M N$ $[M, N]$ is a real-valued martingale. Further

$$
[M, N]_{t}=<M^{c}, N^{c}>_{t}+\sum_{s \leq t} \Delta M_{s} \Delta N_{s}
$$

where $\Delta M_{s}=M_{s}-M_{s-}$. As a consequence, $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ is purelydiscontinuous iff $\forall t>0$

$$
[M, M]_{t}=\sum_{s \leq t}\left(\Delta M_{s}\right)^{2}, \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Definition 3.4.2 Let $(U, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space. A map $N: \Omega \times\left(\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \times\right.$ $\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called a random measure if $N(\omega, \cdot)$ is a measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U$ for each $\omega$ and $N(\cdot, B)$ is a random variable for each $B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \times \mathcal{E}$. A random measure $N$ is called adapted if $N(\cdot, B)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable for $B \subset[0, t] \times U$. A random measure $N$ is $\sigma$-finite if there exists a sequence $U_{n}$ increasing to $U$ such that $E\left|N\left(\cdot,[0, t] \times U_{n}\right)\right|<\infty$ for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $t>0$.

A random measure $N$ is called a martingale random measure if for any $A \in \Gamma_{N} \equiv\{A \in \mathcal{E}: E|N([0, t] \times A)|<\infty \forall t>0\}$, the stochastic process $N([0, t] \times A)$ is a martingale.

A $\sigma$-finite adapted random measure $N$ is said to be in the class (QL) if there exists a $\sigma$-finite random measure $\hat{N}$ such that $\tilde{N} \equiv N-\hat{N}$ is a martingale random measure and for any $A \in \Gamma_{N}, \hat{N}([0, t] \times A) \in \mathcal{A}$ is continuous in $t$. The random measure $\hat{N}$ is called the compensator of $N$.

Theorem 3.4.3 Let $(U, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space and let $N$ be an integervalued adapted random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U$. Then, there exists a sequence of stopping times $\left\{\tau_{n}\right\}$ and a $U$-valued optional process $p$ such that

$$
N(\omega, A)=\sum_{s \geq 0} 1_{D}(\omega, s) 1_{A}\left(s, p_{s}(\omega)\right), \forall A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \times \mathcal{E}
$$

where

$$
D=\cup_{n}\left\{\left(\omega, \tau_{n}(\omega)\right): \omega \in \Omega\right\} \subset \Omega \times \mathbf{R}_{+}
$$

The set $D$ and the process $p$ are called the jump set and the point process corresponding to the integer-valued random measure $N$.

Definition 3.4.3 $A$ random measure $N$ is called independently scattered if for any disjoint $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{n} \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \times \mathcal{E}$, the random variables $N\left(\cdot, B_{1}\right), \cdots, N\left(\cdot, B_{n}\right)$ are independent.

An independently scattered integer-valued adapted random measure is called a Poisson random measure if for any $B \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right) \times \mathcal{E}$ such that $(d t d \mu)(B)<\infty, N(\cdot, B)$ is a Poisson random variable with parameter $(d t d \mu)(B) . \mu$ is called the characteristic measure of $N$.

It is clear that any Poisson random measure $N$ is in class (QL) with $\hat{N}([0, t] \times A)=t \mu(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{E}$.

Definition 3.4.4 A real-valued function $f(t, u, \omega)$ defined on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U \times \Omega$ is predictable if it is $\mho / \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{R})$ measurable where $\mho$ is the smallest $\sigma$-field on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U \times \Omega$ with respect to which all $g$ having the following properties are measurable:
i) for each $t>0,(u, \omega) \rightarrow g(t, u, \omega)$ is $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}_{t}$-measurable;
ii) for each $(u, \omega), t \rightarrow g(t, u, \omega)$ is left continuous.

Let N be a Poisson random measure with characteristic measure $\mu$. We introduce the following classes:

$$
F_{N}^{j}=\left\{f(t, u, \omega): \begin{array}{l}
f \text { is predictable and } \forall t>0 \\
E \int_{0}^{t} \int_{U}|f(s, u, \omega)|^{j} \mu(d u) d s<\infty
\end{array}\right\}, \quad j=1,2
$$

For $f \in F_{N}^{1} \cap F_{N}^{2}$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \tilde{N}(d s d u) \\
\equiv & \sum_{s \leq t} 1_{D}(\omega, s) f\left(s, p_{s}(\omega), \omega\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \mu(d u) d s \tag{3.4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D$ and $p(s)$ are the jump set and point process corresponding to $N$. It is easy to prove that (3.4.1) is well-defined and $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
<M>_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega)^{2} \mu(d u) d s \tag{3.4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta M_{t}=1_{D}(\omega, t) f\left(t, p_{t}(\omega), \omega\right) \tag{3.4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f \in F_{N}^{2}$, let

$$
f_{n}(t, u, \omega)=1_{[-n, n]}(f(t, u, \omega)) 1_{U_{n}}(u) f(t, u, \omega)
$$

where $U_{n}$ is given by Definition 3.4.2. Then $f_{n} \in F_{N}^{1} \cap F_{N}^{2}$. Define $M^{n}$ by (3.4.1) with $f$ replaced by $f_{n}$. It is easy to prove that $M^{n}$ converges, say to $M$, in $\mathcal{M}^{2}(\mathbf{R})$. We call $M$ the stochastic integral of $f$ with respect to the compensated Poisson random measure $\tilde{N}$. It is easy to verify (3.4.2) and (3.4.3) for $M$.

Theorem 3.4.4 (It $\hat{\prime}$ 's formula) Let $N$ be a Poisson random measure with characteristic measure $\mu$. Suppose that

$$
X_{t}^{j}=X_{0}^{j}+A_{t}^{j}+M^{j}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f^{j}(s, u, \omega) \tilde{N}(d s d u)
$$

where $A^{j} \in \mathcal{A}, M^{j} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$ and $f^{j} \in F_{N}^{2}, j=1,2, \cdots$, d. Let $F \in$ $C^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{d}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(X_{t}\right)= & F\left(X_{0}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \partial_{j} F\left(X_{s}\right) d A_{s}^{j}+\sum_{j=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \partial_{j} F\left(X_{s}\right) d M_{s}^{j} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d} \int_{0}^{t} \partial_{i j}^{2} F\left(X_{s}\right) d<M^{i}, M^{j}>_{s} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U}\left\{F\left(X_{s-}+f(s, u, \omega)\right)-F\left(X_{s-}\right)\right\} \tilde{N}(d s d u) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U}\left\{F\left(X_{s}+f(s, u, \omega)\right)-F\left(X_{s}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{j=1}^{d} f^{j}(s, u, \omega) F_{j}^{\prime}\left(X_{s}\right)\right\} d s \mu(d u)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4.5 Let $N$ be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U$ and $f \in$ $\Gamma_{N}^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \tilde{N}(d s d u) \tag{3.4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

iff $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$ and (3.4.3) holds.
Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " We only need to prove that $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$. Let $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$. It follows from Itô's formula that

$$
M_{t} \gamma_{t}=M_{0} \gamma_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} M_{s} d \gamma_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \gamma_{s} \tilde{N}(d s d u)
$$

is a martingale. Therefore $<M, \gamma>=0$ and hence, $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$.
" $\Leftarrow$ " Denoting the right hand side of (3.4.4) by $\tilde{M}_{t}$. Then $M-\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$.

On the other hand, $\Delta(M-\tilde{M})=0$, i.e. $M-\tilde{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R})$. Hence $M=\tilde{M}$.

Theorem 3.4.6 Let $\left(V, \mathcal{B}_{V}\right)$ be a measurable space and $M$ be an adapted integer valued random measure in class $(Q L)$ with the compensator $\hat{M}(d t d v)$ $=q(t, d v, \omega) d t$. Suppose that $(U, \mathcal{E})$ is a standard measurable space and there exists a predictable $V^{*}=V \cup\{\partial\}$-valued process

$$
f(t, u, \omega):[0, \infty) \times U \times \Omega \rightarrow V^{*}
$$

such that

$$
\mu\{u: f(t, u, \omega) \in A\}=q(t, A, \omega), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}_{V}
$$

where $\partial$ is an extra point attached to $V$. Then, on an extension $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\boldsymbol{t}}\right)$ of $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, there exists a Poisson random measure $N$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M((0, t] \times A) & =\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} 1_{A}(f(s, u, \omega)) N(d s d u) \\
& \equiv \sum_{s \leq t} 1_{D}(\omega, s) 1_{A}\left(f\left(s, p_{s}(\omega), \omega\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_{V}$.
After the above preparations, we now define the stochastic integral of $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued functions with respect to Poisson random measures. Let $N$ be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times U$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ and $f$ be a predictable map from $[0, \infty) \times U \times \Omega$ to $\Phi^{\prime}$ such that

$$
E \int_{0}^{t} \int_{U}|f(s, u, \omega)[\phi]|^{2} \mu(d u) d s<\infty, \forall t>0, \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}^{\phi}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega)[\phi] \tilde{N}(d s d u), \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi \tag{3.4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$, denoted by

$$
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \tilde{N}(d s d u), \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

such that $M_{t}^{\phi}=M_{t}[\phi]$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\phi \in \Phi$, where $\mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ is the collection of $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2}$ such that $M[\phi] \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})$ for any $\phi \in \Phi$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3.4.6, we have the following representation theorem for $\Phi^{\prime}$-valued purely-discontinuous square-integrable martingales.

Theorem 3.4.7 For $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$, we define an integer-valued random measure $N_{M}$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times\left(\Phi^{\prime} /\{0\}\right)$ by

$$
N_{M}([0, t] \times A)=\sum_{s \leq t} 1_{A}\left(\Delta M_{s}\right), \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime} /\{0\}\right)
$$

If $N_{M}$ is in class $(Q L)$ with the compensator $\hat{N}_{M}(d t d v)=q(t, d v, \omega) d t$ and there exists a standard measurable space $(U, \mathcal{E})$ and a predictable map

$$
f(t, u, \omega):[0, \infty) \times U \times \Omega \rightarrow\left(\Phi^{\prime} /\{0\}\right) \cup\{\partial\}
$$

such that

$$
\mu\{u: f(t, u, \omega) \in A\}=q(t, A, \omega), \forall A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime} /\{0\}\right)
$$

then on an extension $\left(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \tilde{P}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{t}\right)$ of $\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, there exists a Poisson random measure $N$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega) \tilde{N}(d s d u) \tag{3.4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: It follows from Theorem 3.4.6 that there exists a Poisson random measure $N$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ such that

$$
N_{M}((0, t] \times A)=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} 1_{A}(f(s, u, \omega)) N(d s d u)
$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}\left(\Phi^{\prime} /\{0\}\right)$. Therefore

$$
\Delta M_{t}(\omega)=1_{D}(t, \omega) f\left(t, p_{t}(\omega), \omega\right), \quad \forall t>0, \omega \in \Omega
$$

where $D$ and $p(s)$ are the jump set and point process corresponding to $N$. Hence for any $\phi \in \Phi, t>0$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$
\Delta M_{t}(\omega)[\phi]=\Delta \int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega)[\phi] \tilde{N}(d s d u)
$$

i.e

$$
M_{t}(\omega)[\phi]-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} f(s, u, \omega)[\phi] \tilde{N}(d s d u) \in \mathcal{M}^{2, c}(\mathbf{R}) \cap \mathcal{M}^{2, d}(\mathbf{R})=\{0\}
$$

where 0 denotes the identically 0 martingale. Therefore (3.4.6) holds.
This is probably the right place to discuss some special examples of purely-discontinuous $\Phi^{\prime}$-martingales.

Example 3.4.1 Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a domain in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$. Let $A$ be a closed densely defined nonnegative-definite self-adjoint operator on $H=L^{2}(\mathcal{X}, \rho(x) d x)$ where $\rho$ is an appropriately chosen measurable function on $\mathcal{X}$. Suppose that the condition (1.3.17) holds and $\Phi$ is the CHNS constructed in Example 1.3.2.

Let $N$ be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a^{2} \phi(x)^{2} \mu(d a d x)<\infty \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

For any $\phi \in \Phi$, let

$$
M_{t}^{\phi}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a \phi(x) \tilde{N}(d s d a d x)
$$

Theorem 3.4.8 For any $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$ and $t, s \geq 0$, we have

$$
E M_{t}^{\phi} M_{s}^{\psi}=(t \wedge s) Q(\phi, \psi)
$$

where

$$
Q(\phi, \psi)=\int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a^{2} \phi(x) \psi(x) \mu(d a d x) .
$$

Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E M_{t}[\phi] M_{s}[\psi] \\
= & E \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a \phi(x) \tilde{N}(d r d a d x) \int_{0}^{s} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a \psi(x) \tilde{N}(d r d a d x) \\
= & \int_{0}^{t \wedge s} \int_{\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathcal{X}} a^{2} \phi(x) \psi(x) d r \mu(d a d x) \\
= & (t \wedge s) Q(\phi, \psi)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4.9 There exists $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ such that $M_{t}^{\phi}=M_{t}[\phi], \forall t \geq$ $0, \phi \in \Phi$, iff $Q$ is continuous on $\Phi \times \Phi$.

Proof: " $\Rightarrow$ " Let $t \geq 0$ be fixed and let $V: \Phi \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be given by

$$
V(\phi)=\sqrt{E M_{t}[\phi]^{2}}, \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

It is easy to verify the conditions of Lemma 1.3 .1 and hence, $\exists \theta>0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{E M_{t}[\phi]^{2}} \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r} \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi \tag{3.4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity of $Q$ then follows from Theorem 3.4.8.
" $\Leftarrow$ " Similar to (3.4.7), $\exists \theta>0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\phi, \phi) \leq \theta^{2}\|\phi\|_{r}^{2} \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi \tag{3.4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p>r$ be such that the canonical injection from $\Phi_{p}$ to $\Phi_{r}$ is HilbertSchmidt. Let

$$
M_{t}=\sum_{j} M_{t}^{\phi_{j}^{p}} \phi_{j}^{-p}
$$

It follows from (3.4.8) and Theorem 3.4.8 that $M_{t}$ is a $\Phi_{-p}$-valued process such that $M_{t}^{\phi}=M_{t}[\phi], \forall t \geq 0, \phi \in \Phi . M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ then follows directly from the definition.

Remark 3.4.1 For most of the cases of interest to us, we have $\Phi \hookrightarrow C_{b}(\mathcal{X})$ (e.g. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ in Example 1.3 .1 and Remark 1.3.5, the CHNS $\Phi$ constructed in Section 7.2). In this case, $Q$ is continuous on $\Phi \times \Phi$. In fact, let $V$ : $\Phi \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ be given by $V(\phi)^{2}=Q(\phi, \phi), \forall \phi \in \Phi$. The condition (1) of Lemma 1.3.1 follows from Fatou's lemma and the conditions (2) and (3)' follows from the linearity of $Q$. Therefore, $\exists \theta>0$ and $r \geq 0$ such that $V(\phi) \leq \theta\|\phi\|_{r}, \forall \phi \in \Phi$. The continuity of $Q$ then follows easily.

Remark 3.4.2 Comparing with (3.4.5), in this example, we have $U=\mathbf{R}_{+} \times$ $\mathcal{X}, u=(a, x)$ and $f(s, u, \omega)[\phi]=a \phi(x)$ [non-random integrand]. If $\Phi \hookrightarrow$ $C_{b}(\mathcal{X})$, then $f(s, u, \omega) \in \Phi^{\prime}$ for all $(s, u, \omega) \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \times U \times \Omega$.

Example 3.4.2 Let $\Phi$ be a CHNS and let $\Lambda$ be a measurable subset of $\Phi^{\prime}$. Let $N$ be a Poisson random measure on $\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathbf{R} \times \Lambda$ with characteristic measure $\mu$ on $\mathbf{R} \times \Lambda$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbf{R} \times \Lambda} a^{2} \eta[\phi]^{2} \mu(d a d \eta)<\infty \quad \forall \phi \in \Phi
$$

For any $\phi \in \Phi$, let

$$
M_{t}^{\phi}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{R} \times \Lambda} a \eta[\phi] \tilde{N}(d s d a d \eta)
$$

Similar to the previous example, we have
Theorem 3.4.10 (1) For any $\phi, \psi \in \Phi$ and $t, s \geq 0$, we have

$$
E M_{t}^{\phi} M_{s}^{\psi}=(t \wedge s) Q(\phi, \psi)
$$

where

$$
Q(\phi, \psi)=\int_{\mathbf{R} \times \Lambda} a^{2} \eta[\phi] \eta[\psi] \mu(d a d \eta)
$$

(2) There exists $M \in \mathcal{M}^{2, d}\left(\Phi^{\prime}\right)$ such that $M_{t}^{\phi}=M_{t}[\phi], \forall t \geq 0, \phi \in \Phi$, iff $Q$ is continuous on $\Phi \times \Phi$.

