The Review of Modern Logic Volume 10 Numbers 3 & 4 (March 2005–May 2007) [Issue 32], pp. 231–237.

Benjamin H. Yandell,

The Honors Class: Hilbert's Problems and Their Solvers Natick, Massachusetts: A K Peters, 2002 ix + 486pp. ISBN 1568811411

## REVIEW

## WIM RUITENBURG

By the end of the nineteenth century it became more common for eminent scholars to turn to programmatic or foundational questions. Among mathematicians, David Hilbert was a prime example. His Zahlbericht of 1897, a report on the status of algebraic number theory, enriched with many original observations, was an organization of this entire branch of mathematics. His Grundlagen der Geometrie of 1899 was the first precise axiomatic study of geometry, significantly refining the foundational geometry done since Euclid. It was only natural for Hilbert to use his lecture at the 1900 (second) International Congress of Mathematicians in Paris to attempt to lift the veil behind which the future of mathematics lay hidden. Different from Henri Poincaré's presentation at the 1897 ICM in Zürich. Hilbert chose to execute his intentions through a list of Problems. By so doing he offered current and future mathematicians immediate questions to work on. Ten Problems were briefly discussed during the lecture. The complete list of 23 was made available in print.

The success of the list may have even surprised Hilbert. The individual Problems widely vary in significance, difficulty, and clarity. The third Problem was solved before its official publication. Others are still open. Some Problems are very specific, while others are research programs. One is wrong, or at least needs serious re-statement. The solutions to some Problems, particularly Problems 10 and 13, are contrary to Hilbert's expectations.

Yandell's book joins a vast literature on Hilbert's Problems and related matters. There are many ways to tell the story of its effects on mathematics and the mathematical community. Since no single book can cover everything, we consider two questions. What aspects stand out in the book under review? How well are these aspects presented?

© 2007 The Review of Modern Logic.