METHODS OF SUMMATION

G. M. PETERSEN

1. Methods of Rogosinski and Bernstein. In this note we shall discuss certain matrix methods of summation, though otherwise §1 and §2 are unrelated. In this section we wish to consider some of the properties of the method (B^h) , where we say that a series $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} u_{\nu}$ is summable (B^h) when

$$B_n^h = \sum_{\nu=0}^n u_{\nu} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{n+h} \right) \longrightarrow S, \ n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

The method (B^h) has been the subject of recent papers by Agnew [1], Karamata [5, 6], and Petersen [7]. It has been shown in the papers by Agnew and Petersen that for h > 1/2 the method (B^h) is equivalent to the arithmetic means of Cesaro (C), and in the paper by Agnew that for 0 < h < 1/2 the method is equivalent to methods stronger than (C).

We shall now construct examples after a method of Hurwitz [4], to show that for h < 0 the method (B^h) sums a series not summable (C). Hence, since all series summable (C) are summable (B^h) , we shall have proved that (B^h) is stronger than (C).

We shall first consider -1 < h < 0, so that all the coefficients in any row are positive except the *n*th coefficient cos $\{\pi n/[2(n+h)]\}$. We choose $u_0 > 1$ and assume that the first m-1 terms of the series $\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} u_{\nu}$ are known. Then we select u_m so that

$$B_m^h = \sum_{\nu=0}^m u_{\nu} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{m+h} \right) = 0,$$

or

$$-u_m \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{m}{m+h}\right) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} u_\nu \cos \frac{\pi}{2} \left(\frac{\nu}{m+h}\right).$$

Received December 31, 1952.

Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 73-77