AN ANALOG OF THE MINIMAX THEOREM FOR VECTOR PAYOFFS

DAVID BLACKWELL

1. Introduction. The von Neumann minimax theorem [2] for finite games asserts that for every $r \times s$ matrix M = ||m(i, j)|| with real elements there exist a number v and vectors

 $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r), q = (q_1, \dots, q_s), p_i, q_j \ge 0, \sum p_i = \sum q_j = 1$

such that

$$\sum_{i} p_{i}m(i, j) \ge v \ge \sum_{j} q_{j}m(i, j)$$

for all i, j. Thus in the (two-person, zero-sum) game with matrix M, player I has a strategy insuring an expected gain of at least v, and player II has a strategy insuring an expected loss of at most v. An alternative statement, which follows from the von Neumann theorem and an appropriate law of large numbers is that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, I can, in a long series of plays of the game with matrix M, guarantee, with probability approaching 1 as the number of plays becomes infinite, that his average actual gain per play exceeds $v-\varepsilon$ and that II can similarly restrict his average actual loss to $v + \epsilon$. These facts are assertions about the extent to which each player can control the center of gravity of the actual payoffs in a long series of plays. In this paper we investigate the extent to which this center of gravity can be controlled by the players for the case of matrices M whose elements m(i, j) are points of N-space. Roughly, we seek to answer the following question. Given a matrix M and a set S in N-space, can I guarantee that the center of gravity of the payoffs in a long series of plays is in or arbitrarily near S, with probability approaching 1 as the number of plays becomes infinite? The question is formulated more precisely below, and a complete solution is given in two cases: the case N=1 and the case of convex S.

Let

$$M = \|m(i, j)\|, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq r, \ 1 \leq j \leq s$$

be an $r \times s$ matrix, each element of which is a probability distribution over a closed bounded convex set X in Euclidean N-space. By a strategy for Player I is meant a sequence $f = \{f_n\}, n=0, 1, 2, \cdots$ of functions, where f_n is defined on the set of *n*-tuples (x_1, \dots, x_n) , $x_i \in X$

Received September 14, 1954. This paper was written under contract Nonr 1197(00) with the Office of Naval Research.