

A NOTE ON ORTHOGONAL LATIN SQUARES

KENNETH ROGERS

1. **Introduction.** The purpose of this note is to give an improved estimate for $N(n)$, the maximal number of pairwise orthogonal Latin squares, by following the method of Chowla, Erdős and Straus [2]. The difference is that we use a result of Buchstab [1] rather than that of Rademacher in the sieve argument. Our result is that if c is any number less than $1/42$, then for all large n we have $N(n) > n^c$.

In the notation of Buchstab, write $P_\omega(x; x^{1/a})$ for the number of positive integers not exceeding x which do not lie in any of the progressions $a_0 \bmod p_0$, $a_i \bmod p_i$, or $b_i \bmod p_i$, where $p_0 = 2$, and p_i runs over the primes from 3 to $x^{1/a}$. The subscript ω refers to the fact that P depends on the a_i, b_i . Buchstab proves that

$$(1) \quad P_\omega(x; x^{1/a}) > \lambda(a) \frac{c'x}{(\log x)^2} + O\left(\frac{x}{(\log x)^3}\right),$$

where c' is a constant 0.4161 and $\lambda(5) \geq 0.96$.

The properties of $N(n)$ used for the proof are those of [2]:

- A. $N(ab) \geq \min\{N(a), N(b)\}$.
- B. $N(n) \leq n - 1$, with equality when n is a prime-power.
- C. If $k \leq 1 + N(m)$ and $1 < u < m$, then

$$N(u + km) \geq \min\{N(k), N(k + 1), 1 + N(m), 1 + N(u)\} - 1.$$

We note that A and B are due to H. F. MacNeish, while C was found by Bose and Shrikhande.

2. **Lower estimation of $N(n)$.** We must deal separately with odd n and even n , and we use a fact proven in [1], called there "Lemma D ":

D. The number of integers no greater than x , which have a prime factor in common with n and greater than n^a , is no greater than x/gn^a .

Estimate for even n . We pick k so that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} k \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{\lceil \log_2 n / \alpha \rceil}}, \\ k \not\equiv 0 \text{ or } -1 \pmod{p} \text{ for } 3 \leq p \leq n^{1/\beta}, \\ k \leq n^{1/\gamma}. \end{cases}$$