

POLYHEDRON INEQUALITY AND STRICT CONVEXITY

B. B. PHADKE

This paper considers convexity of functions defined on the "Grassmann cone" of simple r -vectors. It is proved that the strict polyhedron inequality does not imply strict convexity.

H. Busemann, in conjunction with others, (see [3]), has considered the problem of giving a suitable definition of the convexity of functions defined on nonconvex sets. An examination of various methods of defining convexity on the "Grassmann cone" (see [1]) is found in [2]. The most important open problems (see [3]) are whether weak convexity implies the area minimizing property (also called the polyhedron inequality) and whether the latter implies convexity. A modest result in this direction is proved below, namely, the strict area minimizing property does not imply strict convexity.

2. Basic definitions. Let a continuous function \mathcal{F} be defined on the Grassmann cone G_r^n of the simple r -vectors R in the linear space V_r^n of all r -vectors \tilde{R} (over the reals). Let \mathcal{F} be positive homogeneous, i.e., $\mathcal{F}(\lambda R) = \lambda \mathcal{F}(R)$ for $\lambda \geq 0$. To a Borel set F in an oriented r -flat \mathcal{R}^+ in the n -dimensional affine space A^n , we associate a simple r -vector as follows: $R = 0$ if F has r -dimensional measure 0, and otherwise $R = v_1 \wedge v_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge v_r$, is parallel to \mathcal{R}^+ and the measure of the parallelepiped spanned by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r equals the measure of F . (Note a set of measure 0 and equality of measures in parallel r -flats are affine concepts and hence welldefined.) We denote below by \mathcal{R} an r -flat parallel to an r -vector R passing through the origin.

DEFINITION 1. We say that \mathcal{F} has the strict area minimizing property (SFMA) if: Whenever R_0, R_1, \dots, R_p are associated to r -dimensional faces of an r -dimensional oriented closed polyhedron P we have $\mathcal{F}(-R_0) < \sum \mathcal{F}(R_i)$, with $i = 1$ to p , unless $R_i = \lambda_i R_0$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all $i = 1$ to p (called the strict Polyhedron Inequality).

DEFINITION 2. \mathcal{F} is said to be strictly weakly convex (SWC) if: Whenever R, R_1 and R_2 are simple, $R = R_1 + R_2$, R_1 is not a scalar multiple of R_2 , we have $\mathcal{F}(R) < \mathcal{F}(R_1) + \mathcal{F}(R_2)$.

DEFINITION 3. \mathcal{F} is said to be convex (C) if there exists a convex extension of \mathcal{F} to V_r^n .