

ABSOLUTE EXTENSOR SPACES: A CORRECTION AND AN ANSWER

CARLOS R. BORGES

This paper has a two-fold purpose: The first is to make a minor correction in the proof of a result of ours, which states that any hyperconnected space is an AE (stratifiable) and the second is to give an affirmative answer to a question of Vaughan: Does Dugundji's Extension Theorem remain valid for linearly stratifiable spaces?

1. A correction. As it stands, the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [1] is incorrect, because the function g is not well-defined. (Obviously, for each $x \in X - A$, there is some implicit order in the selection of p_{V_1}, \dots, p_{V_n} such that V_1, \dots, V_n are the only elements $V \in \mathcal{V}$ for which $p_V(x) \neq 0$. However, no explicit mention of it is made.) The proof is easily corrected however, by taking the following three steps:

1. Assign a total order " \leq " to \mathcal{V} .
2. Add to the function g the sentence "and $V_1 \leq V_2 \leq \dots \leq V_n$."
3. On page 615 of [1], replace
 - (a) "say $V_1, \dots, V_m, \dots, V_{m+k}$ " by "say W_1, \dots, W_{m+k} such that $W_1 \leq \dots \leq W_{m+k}$ ".
 - (b) " $(p_{V_1}(x), \dots, p_{V_m}(x), 0, \dots, 0) \in P_{m+k-1}$ " by

$$(p_{W_1}(x), \dots, p_{W_{m+k}}(x)) \in P_{m+k-1},$$
 - (c) " $t \rightarrow (h_{m+k}(f(a_{V_1}), \dots, f(a_{V_{m+k}}), t))$ " by

$$"t \rightarrow h_{m+k}(f(a_{W_1}), \dots, f(a_{W_{m+k}}), t)"$$
 - (d) " $p(y) = (p_{V_1}(y), \dots, p_{V_{m+k}}(y))$ " by

$$"p(y) = (p_{W_1}(y), \dots, p_{W_{m+k}}(y))"$$

2. An answer. Recently, Vaughan [7] asked if Dugundji's Extension Theorem (Theorem 4.1 of [6]) remains valid for linearly stratifiable spaces.¹ It turns out that the answer is affirmative and it requires little effort. Indeed, all our generalizations of Dugundji's Extension Theorem remain valid for linearly stratifiable spaces.

THEOREM 2.1. [2; Theorem 4.1], [3; Theorem 3.1], [4; Theorem

¹ A T_1 -space X is said to be linearly stratifiable provided there exists some infinite cardinal number α such that to each open $U \subset X$ one can assign a family $\{U_\beta\}_{\beta < \alpha}$ of open subsets of X such that (a) $U_\beta \subset U$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, (b) $U\{\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\} = U$, (c) $U_\beta \subset U_\gamma$ whenever $U \subset V$, (d) $U_\gamma \subset U_\beta$ whenever $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$.