

A MAP OF E^3 ONTO E^3 TAKING NO DISK ONTO A DISK

EDYTHE P. WOODRUFF

An example is given of an u.s.c. decomposition in which no disk in E^3 maps onto a disk under the natural projection map P , and, furthermore, the decomposition space E^3/G is homeomorphic to E^3 . Each nondegenerate element is a tame arc. The image $P(H)$ of the set of nondegenerate elements is 0-dimensional, although $\text{Cl } P(H)$ is E^3 . The basic construction used is called a knit Cantor set of nondegenerate elements.

Bing and Borsuk [3] have given an example of a 3-dimensional absolute retract R containing no disk. They define a particular u.s.c. decomposition of E^3 that yields R as the decomposition space. Hence, their example is a closed map of E^3 taking no disk onto a disk, but, of course, their image is not E^3 .

In [8] the author defined a set $X \subset E^3$ to be the P -lift of a set Y contained in the decomposition space E^3/G if and only if X and Y are homeomorphic and the image of X under the natural projection is Y . A disk is said to be P -liftable if and only if it has a P -lift. Using this terminology, the example that is constructed in this note has no P -liftable disk in the image space.

In [1] Armentrout asked whether there exists a pointlike decomposition G of E^3 such that there is a 2-sphere S in E^3/G that can not be approximated by a P -liftable sphere. This was first answered by the author in [9] by giving an example of a space E^3/G containing such a 2-sphere. In the decomposition space of this note no 2-sphere is P -liftable. Hence, this space is another answer to Armentrout's query.

The construction we describe in this note is based on a knit example in the author's papers [6], [7], and [9]. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with this example and the notations in [6]. We also need the following definitions.

DEFINITION. Let J'_1 be the circle in the x — y plane with radius 1 and center at the origin, and J'_2 be the circle in the y — z plane with radius 1 and center at $y = -1$, $z = 0$. Any two tame simple closed curves J_1 and J_2 in E^3 are said to *simply link* if and only if there is a homeomorphism of E^3 onto itself taking J_1 and J_2 onto J'_1 and J'_2 , respectively. Two disjoint compact sets S_1 and S_2 are said to *simply link* if and only if there exist simple closed curves $J_1 \subset S_1$ and $J_2 \subset S_2$ such that J_1 and J_2 simply link.