

CONNECTIVITY PROPERTIES OF METRIC SPACES

DOUGLAS S. BRIDGES

We discuss various connectivity properties of a metric space, and investigate how far their equivalence carries over from the classical to the constructive setting. In passing, we obtain interesting relations between connectivity and convexity for subsets of R , and a result on preservation of connectivity by continuous mappings.

1. The primary object of this note is a constructive examination of the relationship between several, classically equivalent connectivity properties of a metric space (E, d) . In order to make sense of the statements of these properties, we recall that a subset A of E is *located* (in E) if

$$\text{dist}(x, A) \equiv \inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$$

is computable for each x in E ; in which case the *metric complement* of A in E is defined to be

$$E - A \equiv \{x \in E : \text{dist}(x, A) > 0\}.$$

Note that a located set A is nonvoid, in the sense that we can construct at least one of its elements. For further properties of located sets, and general background material in constructive analysis, we refer the reader to [1] and [2].

In [3], we introduced the following types of connectivity of a metric space:

C-connectivity: if A is a closed, located subset of E with nonvoid metric complement, then there exists a point ξ in $A \cap (E - A)^-$;

0-connectivity: if A is an open, located subset of E with nonvoid metric complement, then there exists ξ in $\bar{A}$ such that $d(\xi, x) > 0$ for each x in A ;

Connectivity: if A is an open, closed and located subset of E , then $A = E$.

We then showed that

$$C\text{-connectivity} \implies 0\text{-connectivity} \implies \text{connectivity}.$$

In this section, we shall show that these implications cannot be reversed