

## QUASIDIAGONAL WEIGHTED SHIFTS

RUSSELL SMUCKER

**We characterize the quasidiagonality of a two-way weighted shift solely in terms of the weights. We use the characterization to show that quasidiagonality fails to be an invariant for similarity: an operator similar to the (un-weighted) bilateral shift may fail to be quasidiagonal.**

**Introduction.** We give necessary and sufficient conditions for the quasidiagonality of a two-way weighted shift. We use the characterization to show that quasidiagonality fails to be invariant for similarity.

A (bounded) operator  $A$  on a separable Hilbert space is *quasidiagonal* if there exists a sequence  $\{P_n\}_1^\infty$  of orthogonal projections of finite rank such that  $\{P_n\}$  converges strongly to 1 and  $\{P_n A - A P_n\}$  converges uniformly to 0. The only facts about quasidiagonal operators that we use in this paper are that each normal operator is quasidiagonal and that each compact perturbation of a quasidiagonal operator is again quasidiagonal. Both facts are proved in [6, § 4], where the concept was introduced.

Throughout this paper  $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  is a fixed basis for a complex Hilbert space, and  $\{w_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  is a fixed, bounded sequence of complex numbers. The operator  $B$  determined on the Hilbert space by the equations  $Be_i = w_i e_{i+1}$  is a *two-way weighted shift* with weight sequence  $\{w_i\}_{i=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ . The main goal of the paper is to prove Theorems 1, 2, and 5, which together characterize quasidiagonal weighted shifts solely in terms of their weight sequences.

### 1. Sufficiency.

**THEOREM 1.** *If the weight sequence of a two-way weighted shift has 0 as a limit point in both directions, then it is quasidiagonal.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{w_{i_n}\}_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty}$  be a subsequence of the weights that converges to 0 in both directions. For each positive integer  $n$ , define  $P_n$  to be the (orthogonal) projection onto the span of  $\{e_{i_{-n}+1}, e_{i_{-n}+2}, \dots, e_{i_n}\}$ . It is easy to compute that  $\|P_n B - B P_n\|$  is the larger of  $|w_{i_{-n}}|$  and  $|w_{i_n}|$ ; therefore  $\|P_n B - B P_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . The  $P_n$  are increasing, and the union of their ranges contains all the vectors in the basis; therefore  $P_n \rightarrow 1$  strongly. By definition, consequently,  $B$  is quasidiagonal.  $\square$