ON THE UNITARY INVARIANCE OF THE NUMERICAL RADIUS

IVAN FILIPPENKO AND MARVIN MARCUS

A characterization is obtained of scalar multiples of unitary matrices in terms of the unitary invariance of a generalized numerical radius. The method of proof involves some rather delicate combinatorial considerations.

1. Introduction. Let *n* and *m* be positive integers, $1 \le m \le n$, and denote by $M_{n,m}(\mathbb{C})$ $(M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ the vector space of all *n*-by-*m* (*n*-square) complex matrices. For a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, define the *m* th decomposable numerical range of A to be the set

(1)
$$W_{m}(A) = \{\det(X^{*}AX) | X \in M_{n,m}(C), \det(X^{*}X) = 1\}$$

in the complex plane (the reason for this choice of terminology will become apparent in the next section). It is not difficult to verify that $W_m(A)$ is compact, so it makes sense to define the *m*th decomposable numerical radius of A by

(2)
$$r_{m}(A) = \max_{z \in W_{m}(A)} |z|.$$

When m = 1, $W_1^{(A)}$ is simply the classical numerical range

(3)
$$W(A) = \{(Ax, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{C}^n, ||x|| = 1\}$$

(here (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the standard inner product in the space \mathbb{C}^n of complex *n*-tuples), and $r_1(A)$ is the classical numerical radius

(4)
$$r(A) = \max_{z \in W(A)} |z|.$$

The numerical radius r(A) satisfies the interesting power inequality

(5)
$$r(A^k) \leq r(A)^k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$$

[2, §176]. In general, the number $r_{\hat{m}}(A)$ is an important function of the matrix A. For example, it is a bound for the moduli of all products of m eigenvalues of A. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1. Another easy consequence (Corollary 2) of Proposition 1 is that if A