

# A CHARACTERIZATION OF COMPLETE LATTICES

ANNE C. DAVIS

**1. Introduction.** A complete lattice  $\mathfrak{L} = \langle A, \leq \rangle$  has the property that every increasing function on  $A$  to  $A$  has a fixpoint.<sup>1</sup> Tarski raised the question whether the converse of this result also holds. In this note we shall show that the answer to this question is affirmative, thus establishing a criterion for completeness of a lattice in terms of fixpoints.<sup>2</sup>

We shall use the notation of [6]. In addition, the formula  $a \not\leq b$  will be used to express the fact that  $a \leq b$  does not hold. By  $\langle a_\xi; \xi < \alpha \rangle$ , where  $\alpha$  is any (finite or transfinite) ordinal we shall denote the sequence whose consecutive terms are  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_\xi, \dots$  (with  $\xi < \alpha$ ); the set of all terms of this sequence will be denoted by  $\{a_\xi; \xi < \alpha\}$ . The sequence  $\langle a_\xi; \xi < \alpha \rangle$  is, of course, called *increasing*, or *strictly increasing*, if  $a_\xi \leq a_{\xi'}$ , or  $a_\xi < a_{\xi'}$ , for any  $\xi < \xi' < \alpha$ ; analogously we define *decreasing* and *strictly decreasing* sequences.

**2. A lemma.** We start with the following:

LEMMA 1. *If the lattice  $\mathfrak{L} = \langle A, \leq \rangle$  is incomplete, then there exist two sequences  $\langle b_\xi; \xi < \beta \rangle$  and  $\langle c_\eta; \eta < \gamma \rangle$  such that*

- (i)  $b_\xi < c_\eta$  for every  $\xi < \beta$  and every  $\eta < \gamma$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle b_\xi; \xi < \beta \rangle$  is strictly increasing and  $\langle c_\eta; \eta < \gamma \rangle$  is strictly decreasing,
- (iii) there is no element  $a \in A$  which is both an upper bound of  $\{b_\xi; \xi < \beta\}$  and a lower bound of  $\{c_\eta; \eta < \gamma\}$ .<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>See [6] (where further historical references can also be found).

<sup>2</sup>This result was found in 1950 and outlined in [2].

<sup>3</sup>A related, though weaker, property of incomplete lattices is mentioned implicitly in [1, p. 53, Exercise 4].

Received June 29, 1953. The present note was prepared while the author was working on a research project in the foundations of mathematics sponsored by the Office of Ordnance Research, U.S. Army.

*Pacific J. Math.* 5 (1955), 311-319