

# AN EXTENSION OF A THEOREM OF JORDAN AND VON NEUMANN

LEONARD M. BLUMENTHAL

**1. Introduction.** Let  $\{\mathbf{E}\}$  denote the class of generalized euclidean spaces  $\mathbf{E}$  (that is,  $\mathbf{E} \subseteq \{\mathbf{E}\}$  provided all finite dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbf{E}$  are euclidean spaces). The problem of characterizing metrically the class  $\{\mathbf{E}\}$  with respect to the class  $\{\mathbf{B}\}$  of all Banach spaces has been solved in many different ways.<sup>1</sup> Fréchet's characteristic conditions [5]

$$(*) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 [ \|p_i\|^2 + \|p_j\|^2 - \|p_i - p_j\|^2 ] x_i x_j \geq 0, \quad (p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbf{B})$$

was immediately weakened by Jordan and von Neumann [6] to

$$(**) \quad \|p_1 + p_2\|^2 + \|p_1 - p_2\|^2 = 2(\|p_1\|^2 + \|p_2\|^2) \quad (p_1, p_2 \in \mathbf{B}).$$

This relation has now become a kind of standard to which others repair by showing that it is implied by newly postulated conditions [3, 4, 10], and it has been, apparently, the motivation of work in which it does not enter directly [7, 9]. Perhaps the best possible result in this direction, however, is due to Aronszajn [1] who assumed merely that

$$\| (x+y)/2 \| = \frac{1}{2} \phi( \|x\|, \|y\|, \|x-y\| ) \quad (x, y \in \mathbf{B}),$$

with  $\phi$  *unrestricted* except for being nonnegative and  $\phi(r, 0, r) = r$ ,  $r \geq 0$ .

These conditions, and others like them, are all equivalent in a Banach space, for each is necessary and sufficient to insure the euclidean character of all subspaces. In a more general environment, however, this is not the case, and so the desirability of making a comparative study of such conditions in more general spaces is suggested. In this note the larger environment is furnished by the class  $\{\mathbf{M}\}$  of complete, metrically convex and externally convex,

---

<sup>1</sup>This note deals exclusively with normed linear spaces over the field of reals.

Received November 1, 1953. Presented to the Society, September 5, 1953.

*Pacific J. Math.* 5 (1955), 161-167