

# A NUMERICAL CONDITION FOR MODULARITY OF A LATTICE

S. P. AYANN

**1. Introduction.** In this note a simple numerical condition ( $\theta$ ) is presented which is necessary for modularity of a finite lattice  $L$ . Though not sufficient ( $\theta$ ) appears to be a condition imposing a strong tendency toward modularity.

**NOTATION.** Covering, proper inclusion, and inclusion will be denoted by  $>$ ,  $\supset$ ,  $\supseteq$  respectively.  $N[S]$  will denote the order of the set  $S$ . The unit and zero elements will be denoted by  $u$  and  $z$  respectively.

**DEFINITION 1.** A finite lattice  $L$  is upper semi-modular [1: p. 100] if and only if

$$(\xi') \quad a \text{ and } b > a \cap b \text{ imply } a \cup b > a \text{ and } b.$$

$L$  is lower semi-modular if and only if

$$(\xi'') \quad a \cup b > a \text{ and } b \text{ imply } a \text{ and } b > a \cap b.$$

**DEFINITION 2.** In a finite lattice let  $C(a) = \{x \in L \mid x < x \cup a > a\}$  and  $D(a) = \{x \in L \mid x > x \cap a < a\}$ .

**2. Tests for modularity** An immediate consequence of Definitions 1 and 2 is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *In a finite lattice  $L$  condition  $(\xi')$  is equivalent to  $D(a) \subseteq C(a)$  for all  $a \in L$  and both imply  $N[D(a)] \leq N[C(a)]$ . Dually,  $(\xi'')$  is equivalent to  $D(a) \supseteq C(a)$  for all  $a \in L$  and both imply  $N[D(a)] \geq N[C(a)]$ . Moreover, modularity,  $(\xi')$  and  $(\xi'')$ , is equivalent to  $D(a) = C(a)$  for all  $a \in L$  and both imply the condition  $(\theta)$ :*

$$(\theta) \quad N[D(a)] = N[C(a)] \text{ for all } a \in L.$$

The contrapositive of the last statement of Theorem 1 serves as a useful test for non-modularity:

**THEOREM 2.** *If there exists  $a \in L$  for which  $N[D(a)] \neq N[C(a)]$ , then  $L$  is non-modular.*

When either  $(\xi')$  or  $(\xi'')$  is known to hold in  $L$ , the verification of the condition  $(\theta)$  is a test often easiest to apply. It merely requires counting coverings.