ON STRICTLY SEMI-SIMPLE BANACH ALGEBRAS

EDITH H. LUCHINS

- I. Introduction. Define the $strict\ radical$ of an algebra to be the intersection of just those of its two-sided ideals which are regular maximal right ideals. Call the algebra $strictly\ semi\text{-}simple\ (sss)$ if its strict radical is the zero ideal. This note proves that the strict radical of a real Banach algebra B contains the set of topologically nilpotent elements of B. Also, it gives a condition which is both necessary and sufficient for B to be sss.
- II. Preliminaries. For any ring or algebra A let T(A) denote the set of all those two-sided ideals in A which are regular maximal right ideals. The intersection of the elements of T(A) is the $strict\ radical$ of A. A is $strictly\ semi-simple\ (sss)$ if its $strict\ radical$ is the zero ideal.
- Lemma 1. Let I be a two-sided ideal in the algebra (ring) A. Then the following are equivalent:
 - (a) $I \in T(A)$, that is, I is a regular maximal right ideal.
 - (b) I is a regular maximal left ideal.
 - (c) A/I is a division algebra (division ring).
- *Proof.* Use is made of the theorem [4, Theorem 24.6.1] that a division algebra has no proper right or left ideals and that an algebra with no proper right ideals either is trivial or is a division algebra.
- If (a) holds, then A/I has no proper right ideals. Now A/I is not trivial since if j is a left unit element of A modulo I, $j' \cdot j' = j' \neq 0$ (where x' denotes the image of $x \in A$ under the canonical homomorphism of A onto A/I). The cited theorem shows A/I is a division algebra. Thus (a) implies (c) and, similarly, (b) implies (c). Moreover, if (a) holds, then j' is a left identity for A/I and hence an identity for it, so that I is regular with j as its associated unit element. If $I \subset L$, L a left ideal in A, then L/I is a left ideal in A/I, and an improper ideal by the cited theorem, so that L = I or A and I is a regular maximal left ideal. Thus (a) implies (b).
 - Suppose (c) holds and e' is a unit of A/I. Then I is regular with

Received August 5, 1958, in revised form December 1, 1958. This report was prepared while the author held the New York State Fellowship, 1957-8, of the American Association of University Women. It is based in part on the author's doctoral dissertation written at the University of Oregon under the sponsorship of Professor Bertram Yood. Thanks are extended to the referee for helpful suggestions.