# A REMARK ON ANALYTICITY OF FUNCTION ALGEBRAS 

## I. Glicksberg

1. Let $A$ be a closed separating subalgebra of $C(X), X$ compact, with maximal ideal space $\mathfrak{M}_{\Delta}$ and Šilov boundary $\partial_{A}$. Naturally $A$ can also be viewed as a closed subalgebra of $C\left(\mathfrak{M}_{A}\right)$ or $C\left(\partial_{A}\right)$.

Call $A$ analytic on $X$ if the vanishing of $f \in A$ on a non-void open subset of $X$ implies $f \equiv 0$, or simply analytic if this holds for $X=$ $\mathfrak{M}_{A}$. Recently Kenneth Hoffman asked if the analyticity of $A$ on $\partial_{\Delta}$ implied analyticity on $\mathfrak{M}_{A}$; the present note is devoted to a counterexample. ${ }^{1}$ Evidently such an example, analytic on its Šilov boundary, must be an integral domain, so our algebra is a non-analytic integral domain.

The example was suggested by, and utilizes, an interpolation theorem of Rudin and Carleson [5, 9], recently generalized by Bishop [3], which in fact permits the construction of a variety of unfamiliar tractable subalgebras of familiar algebras; consequently we shall discuss the construction in more generality than is absolutely necessary. Finally we give a slightly more complicated example which is also dirichlet.

Notation. $\quad M(X)$ will denote the space of (finite complex regular Borel) measures $\mu$ on $X$; for such a $\mu, \mu$ is orthogonal to $A(\mu \perp A)$ if $\mu(f)=\int f d \mu=0, f$ in $A$. And $\mu_{F}$ will denote the usual restriction of $\mu$ to $F \subset X$, while $f \mid F$ will be the restriction of a function $f, A \mid F$ the set $\{f \mid F: f \in A\}$. An algebra $A$ will always be assumed to contain the constants.
2. Our construction is based on the following fact.
(2.1) Suppose $F$ is a closed subset of $X$, and $\mu_{F}=0$ for all $\mu$ in $M(X)$ orthogonal to $A$. Then ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mid F=C(F)[3] \tag{2.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.1.2) if $X$ is metric, $F$ is a peak set of $A$, i.e., there is an $f$ in
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    ${ }^{1}$ After this note was completed, I found that analyticity of $A$ on $\Re_{A}$ implies analyticity on $\partial_{A}$; this will appear in a subsequent paper.
    ${ }^{2}$ (2.11) is Bishop's generalization of the Rudin-Carleson result mentioned before, which applies to the special case in which $A$ is the "disc algebra" and $F$ a subset of measure zero of the unit circle. (2.12) will actually be avoided in the specific examples we construct.

