

# FUNCTIONS WITH CONVEX MEANS

T. K. BOEHME AND A. M. BRUCKNER

1. **Introduction.** Let  $f$  be Lebesgue summable on  $[0, a]$ ,  $a > 0$ . The function  $F_1$  defined on  $[0, a]$  by  $F_1(x) = 1/x \int_0^x f(t) dt$ ,  $F_1(0) = f(0)$  is called the mean of the function  $f$ . Inductively, we may define the  $N$ th mean of  $f$  on  $[0, a]$  by  $F_N(x) = 1/x \int_0^x F_{N-1}(t) dt$ ,  $F_N(0) = f(0)$ , provided, of course, that  $F_{N-1}$  is summable on  $[0, a]$ . Some questions involving the mean of certain classes of functions have been examined in Beckenbach [1] and Bruckner and Ostrow [2].

The primary purpose of this paper is to consider the problem of determining when a function  $f$  has its  $N$ th mean convex for  $N$  sufficiently large. To develop the necessary machinery, we devote § 2 to obtaining some properties of the means which we shall need in the sequel, and we devote § 3 to obtaining representations for functions  $f$  possessing means of all orders. In particular, Theorem 3 shows how a wide class of functions  $f$  admit representations as sums of infinite series whose  $N$ th term involves the  $N$ th mean of  $f$ . Then in § 4 we examine the question posed at the beginning of this paragraph. (Lemma 6 together with Theorem 5 yields a condition which is necessary and sufficient for a sufficiently well behaved function to have its  $N$ th mean convex. We then use this theorem to obtain two sufficient conditions for a star-shaped function to have its  $N$ th mean convex for  $N$  sufficiently large. This is done in Theorems 6 and 7. Finally, in Theorem 8, we use the Baire Category Theorem to show that not every continuous starshaped function has one of its means convex.

2. **Preliminaries.** We begin with some remarks and simple observations. In the sequel, we shall denote the  $N$ th mean of  $f$  by  $F_N(f; x)$ . As circumstances warrant, this notation will be shortened to  $F_N(f)$ ,  $F_N(x)$ , or simply  $F_N$ .

The fact that  $f$  is summable does not insure that means of all orders exist. Thus, the mean of the function  $f$  given by  $f(x) = x^{-1}(\log x)^{-2}$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  is  $F_1(x) = -x^{-1}(\log x)^{-1}$ ,  $F_1(0) = 0$ , but  $F_1$  is not summable on any neighborhood of the origin.

**DEFINITION.** The function  $f$  is in the class  $M(a)$  provided  $f$  possesses means of all orders on  $[0, a]$ .

It is easily seen from Lemma 1 below that  $f \in M(a)$  if the functions

---

Received November 7, 1963. The second author was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation, GP-1952.