

TWO NOTES ON REGRESSIVE ISOLS

JOSEPH BARBACK

This paper deals with regressive functions and regressive isols. It was proven by J. C. E. Dekker in [2] that the collection \mathcal{A}_R of all regressive isols is not closed under addition. In the first note of this paper we shall give another proof of this fact by considering a new relation, denoted by $\check{\leq}$, between infinite regressive isols. Let A and B denote infinite regressive isols. The main results established in the first note are:

- (1) $A \leq^* B \implies A \check{\leq} B$, yet not conversely.
- (2) $A + B \in \mathcal{A}_R \implies A \check{\leq} B$, yet not conversely.
- (3) There exist infinite regressive isols which are not $\check{\leq}$ related.
- (4) \mathcal{A}_R is not closed under addition.

In addition, the following result is stated.

- (5) $A + B \in \mathcal{A}_R \implies \min(A, B) \leq A + B$, yet not conversely.

In the second note we consider the \leq^* relation between regressive isols. A natural question concerning this relation is whether $A \leq^* B$, where A and B are regressive isols, is a necessary or a sufficient condition for the sum $A + B$ to be regressive. In the second note we show that this condition is neither necessary nor sufficient.

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the notations, terminology and main results of [1] and [2].

Preliminaries. Let $\varepsilon = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ be the set of nonnegative integers (*numbers*). A one-to-one function t_n from ε into ε is *regressive* if there is a partial recursive function $p(x)$ such that $\rho t \subseteq \delta p$ and $p(t_0) = t_0$, $(\forall n)[p(t_{n+1}) = t_n]$. The function p is a *regressing function* of t_n if p has the following additional properties: $\rho p \subseteq \delta p$ and $(\forall x)[x \in \delta p \rightarrow (\exists n)[p^{n+1}(x) = p^n(x)]]$. It is known (cf. [1]) that every regressive function has a regressing function. A set is *regressive* if it is finite or the range of a regressive function. A set is *retraceable* if it is finite or the range of a strictly increasing regressive function. Let p be a regressing function of t_n , then the function p^* is defined by: $\delta p^* = \delta p$ and $p^*(x) = (\mu n)[p^{n+1}(x) = p^n(x)]$. It follows that p^* is a partial recursive function and $(\forall n)[p^*(t_n) = n]$.

Let s_n and t_n be two one-to-one functions from ε into ε . Then $s_n \leq^* t_n$, if there is a partial recursive function f such that

$$(1) \quad \rho s \subseteq \delta f \quad \text{and} \quad (\forall n)[f(s_n) = t_n].$$

Also, s_n and t_n are said to be *recursively equivalent* (denoted $s_n \simeq t_n$)

Received October 5, 1964. Most of the results contained in this paper were obtained while the author was a student of Professor J. C. E. Dekker at Rutgers University. Research on this paper was supported under NSF Grant GP-266.