## ON CLOSED MAPPINGS, BICOMPACT SPACES, AND A PROBLEM OF P. ALEKSANDROV

A. ARHANGEL'SK

**The purpose of this paper is to show, under very general circumstances, that if**  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed map, then  $f^{-1}y$ **must be bicompact for "most"**  $y \in Y$ . Two theorems of this **sort are obtained, one of which is then used to answer a question of P. Alexandroff on the effect of closed maps on countable-dimensional spaces.**

If  $f: X \to Y$  is a closed map, then it is known that, under suitable assumptions,  $f^{-y}$  has a bicompact boundary for all  $y \in Y$  (see I. Vainšteľn [19], A. H. Stone [18], and K. Morita and S. Hanai [12]), and  $f^{-1}y$  itself is bicompact for "most"  $y \in Y$  (see K. Morita [11] and the author  $[4]$ . In §§1 and 2 of this paper, we prove two theorems of the latter kind, whose main feature is that they require minimal restrictions on X and no restriction at all (other than being  $T_1$ ) on Y<sub>r</sub>

In §3, we give some applications of the results from §2. The most interesting among them is the following, which gives a complete answer to a question of P. Alexandroff, (Terminology is defined below).

THEOREM (3.1). *Let X be a countable-dimensional space with a countable net, and let*  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  *be a closed mapping of X onto some*  $uncountable-dimensional space Y. Then  $Y_1 = \{y \in Y \mid \text{card}(f^{-1}y) \geq c\}$$ *is uncountable-dimensional.*

Observe that Theorem 3.1 is new even in case *X* is compact metric. In that case, E. Skljarenko [15] has shown that *Y<sup>x</sup>* is not void, but his proof gives no further information about  $Y_i$ . Our proof is based on entirely different ideas.

Let me say here that I am very grateful to P. Alexandroff for valuable discussions about this question and to E. Michael for helping with the translation of this paper.

*Notation and terminology.* All spaces are completely regular (often is it sufficient to suppose  $T<sub>1</sub>$ ); all mappings are continuous, and all coverings are open. We call a family  $\gamma = \{S\}$  of sets  $S \subseteq X$  a net in X, if, for every  $x \in X$  and each open U containing x, there exists an  $S \in \gamma$  with  $x \in S \subseteq U$  (see [3]). We write card *A* for the cardinality of A, and c for the cardinality of the continuum. If  $\gamma$  is a family of subsets of a space X, and if  $x \in X$ , then  $\gamma x$  denotes the union of all elements of  $\gamma$  containing x. As usual, we call a space *countable* $dimensional$  if it is a countable union of subspaces with  $\dim = 0$ ;