

INITIAL SEGMENTS OF DEGREES

JOSEPH G. ROSENSTEIN

Spector first constructed a function h whose degree of recursive unsolvability is minimal—that is to say that any function recursive in h is either recursive or of the same degree as h . Define a set Q of degrees to be an initial segment of the upper semi-lattice of degrees of unsolvability if

$$a \in Q \wedge b < a \rightarrow b \in Q .$$

Spector's result can then be interpreted as saying that a certain partially ordered set occurs as an initial segment of the degrees; it was conjectured that the same is true for every finite partially ordered set which has a least member. Sacks then constructed two minimal degrees a and b such that $a \cup b$ has $a, b, 0$ as its only predecessors.

In this paper their methods are extended to obtain the following result. Let T be the upper semi-lattice of all finite subsets of N . Then T can be embedded as an initial segment of the degrees. From this it follows that any finite partial ordering which can be embedded as an initial segment of $P(B)$ (the power set of B), with B finite, can also be embedded as an initial segment of the degrees.

We will define a function h containing a countable infinity of functions h_i , each of minimal degree, such that $\underline{h}_{i_1} \cup \underline{h}_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup \underline{h}_{i_t}$ will represent the finite subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_t\}$ of N .

We first define a recursive function ϕ as follows: Let $\psi(k) = (\mu n)((n+1)((n+1)+1)/2) > k$ so that

$$\frac{\psi(k)(\psi(k)+1)}{2} \leq k < \frac{(\psi(k)+1)((\psi(k)+1)+1)}{2} ,$$

and define $\phi(k) = k - (\psi(k)(\psi(k)+1))/2$. Then $\phi(k)$ takes on successively the values

$$0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, n+1, 0, \dots .$$

We will want to arrange things so that in the k 'th interval of g , the $\phi(k)$ 'th function (carried on the powers of $p_{\phi(k)}$) will be the *only* one for which f_0 and f_1 have different values. (The reader who finds this sentence mysterious is encouraged to read the next few definitions and then return to this remark.)

Let p_i be the i 'th prime, and define recursive predicates P_i and P as follows:

$$P_i(x) \equiv x = p_i^{(x)i}$$

$$P(x) \equiv (Ei)P_i(x) .$$