## A MODULAR TOPOLOGICAL LATTICE

## DON E. EDMONDSON

The purpose of this paper is to present a construction of a compact connected topological lattice which is modular and not distributive. As a special case there will result the example which is a two dimensional subset of  $R^3$ , not embeddable in  $R^2$ .

The existence of such an example is related to structure questions in topological lattices considered by Dyer and Shields [3], Anderson [1], and others.

The first step is to present a general method for constructing a class of modular lattices. Let D denote a distributive lattice which is a chain, S a nonempty set, and L the S-fold product lattice of D. That is  $L = \{f \mid f: S \rightarrow D\}$  and  $f \leq g$  if and only if  $f(s) \leq g(s)$  for every  $s \in S$ . It is known that  $(L, \leq)$  is a distributive lattice with its operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  characterized by  $[f \vee g](s) = f(s) \vee g(s)$  and

$$[f \land g](s) = f(s) \land g(s)$$

for every  $s \in S$ . Define

 $M = \{f \in L \mid \text{there exists } r \in S \text{ such that } s, t \in S - \{r\} \text{ implies}$ 

$$f(s) \leq f(r)$$
 and  $f(s) = f(t)$ .

For intuition about M and the arguments that follow, note that M simply consists of all of the constant functions of L and the functions of L which are essentially constant in the sense that they assume but two values — the larger value at exactly one point.

If the order of  $L, \leq i$ , is restricted to M, it will be established through a sequence of lemmas that  $(M, \leq)$  is a modular lattice. Recall a lattice  $(M, \lor, \land)$  is modular if and only if for every  $a, b, c \in M$ ,  $b \leq a$  implies that  $a \land (b \lor c) = b \lor (a \land c)$ .

LEMMA 1. If  $f \in M$  and f is not constant, there exists a unique  $r \in S$  such that  $s, t \in S - \{r\}$  implies f(s) < f(r) and f(s) = f(t).

The proof of the lemma is immediate from the definition of M, and consequently for  $f \in M$  and not constant, define *index* f to be the unique element described in Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2.  $(M, \leq)$  is a sub  $\wedge$ -semilattice of  $(L, \leq)$ .

It suffices to show that if  $f, g \in M$ , then  $f \wedge g \in M$ . If f and g are