

ON AN EMBEDDING PROPERTY OF GENERALIZED CARTER SUBGROUPS

EDWARD CLINE

If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are saturated formations, \mathcal{E} is strongly contained in \mathcal{F} (written $\mathcal{E} \ll \mathcal{F}$) if:

(1.1) For any solvable group G with \mathcal{E} -subgroup E , and \mathcal{F} -subgroup F , some conjugate of E is contained in F .

This paper is concerned with the problem:

(1.2) Given \mathcal{E} , what saturated formations \mathcal{F} satisfy $\mathcal{E} \ll \mathcal{F}$?

The object of this paper is to prove two theorems. The first, Theorem 5.3, shows that if \mathcal{F} is a nonempty formation, and $\mathcal{E} = \{G \mid G/F(G) \in \mathcal{F}\}$. ($F(G)$ is the Fitting subgroup of G), then any formation \mathcal{F} which strongly contains \mathcal{E} has essentially the same structure as \mathcal{E} in that there is a nonempty formation \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{F} = \{G \mid G/F(G) \in \mathcal{U}\}$. The second, Theorem 5.8, exhibits a large class of formations which are maximal in the partial ordering \ll . In particular, if \mathcal{N}^i denotes the formation of groups which have nilpotent length at most i , then \mathcal{N}^i is maximal in \ll . Since for $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}^1$, the \mathcal{N} -subgroups of a solvable group G are the Carter subgroups, question (1.2) is settled for the Carter subgroups.

Since the theory of formations is of relatively recent origin, we give a few highlights. The theory begins with a paper [4] by Gaschütz which provides the setting in which the results of Carter [1] on the existence of nilpotent self-normalizing subgroups of solvable groups take their most natural form. He showed that given a saturated formation \mathcal{F} , and any finite solvable group G , one can find a conjugacy class of subgroups of G (called \mathcal{F} -subgroups of G) which is connected in a natural way with the formation \mathcal{F} . Recently, Carter and Hawkes [2] have made a major contribution to the theory by generalizing the work of Philip Hall on system normalizers in solvable groups to \mathcal{F} -normalizers, and investigating the relationships between the \mathcal{F} -subgroups of a solvable group G and the \mathcal{F} -normalizers of G . As is clear from (1.1), this paper proceeds in a different direction by considering the relative embedding of the \mathcal{F} -subgroups for two distinct saturated formations \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{F} . We consider only finite solvable groups in this paper.

The machinery used in the proof of our main theorem, Theorem 5.8, is developed in § 4. We begin by obtaining a characterization of strong containment which depends only on the two formations \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} . This characterization depends on the knowledge that if \mathcal{F} is a saturated formation, then \mathcal{F} is a locally defined formation (see