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#### Abstract

We solve in the affirmative a problem raised by $B$. $S$. Mityagin in 1961, namely, we prove that if $\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)$ is a biorthogonal system for a Banach space $E$ with ( $f_{n}$ ) total over $E$, such that the set of multipliers $M\left(E,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right)$ contains all sequences ( $\varepsilon_{i}$ ) with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$ for each $i$, then $\left(x_{n}\right)$ is an unconditional basis for $E$.


Let $E$ be a Banach space, and let $\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)$ be a biorthogonal system for $E$ (i.e., $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset E$, $\left(f_{n}\right) \subset E^{*}$ and $\left.f_{n}\left(x_{m}\right)=\delta_{n m}\right)$ which has $\left(f_{n}\right)$ total over $E$ (i.e., $f_{n}(x)=0$ for all $n$ implies $x=0$ ). A scalar sequence $\left(\gamma_{n}\right)$ is called a multiplier of an element $x$ in $E$ with respect to ( $x_{n}, f_{n}$ ) (write $\left(\gamma_{n}\right) \in M\left(x,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right)$ ) if there is an element $y$ of $E$ such that $f_{n}(y)=\gamma_{n} f_{n}(x)$ for all $n$ (call this element $x_{\left(r_{n}\right)}$ ). The set of multipliers for $E$ with respect to ( $x_{n}, f_{n}$ ) is

$$
M\left(E,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right)=\cap\left\{M\left(x,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right) \mid x \in E\right\}
$$

Here we consider the following two problems:
P 1: (Mityagin [6], Kadec-Pelczynski [4], Pelczynski [7]). Let $E$ be separable and suppose that $M\left(E,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right)$ contains all sequences $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$ for each $i$. Is $\left(x_{n}\right)$ an unconditional basis for $E$ ?

P 2: (Kadec-Pelczynski [4]). Let $E$ be separable and suppose $M\left(x,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right)$ contains all sequences $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ with $\varepsilon_{i}= \pm 1$ for each $i$. Does the formal expansion $\sum_{n} f_{n}(x) x_{n}$ converge unconditionally to $x$ ?

Problem 2 (and hence also problem 1) is known to have an affirmative answer in the following cases [4]:
$1^{\circ}$. $M\left(x,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right) \supset m$ (the space of bounded sequences).
$2^{\circ}$. $E$ contains no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$ (the space of sequences converging to 0 ) and $M\left(x,\left(x_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right) \supset c_{0}$.
$3^{\circ} . \operatorname{sp}\left(f_{n}\right)$ ( $=$ linear span of $\left(f_{n}\right)$ ) is norming (i.e.,

$$
|x|=\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \operatorname{sp}\left(f_{n}\right),\|f\| \leqq 1\right\}
$$

defines a norm on $E$ equivalent to the original norm on $E$ ).
Problem 1 is known to have an affirmative answer in the case when $\left[x_{n}\right]=E$, where $\left[x_{n}\right]$ denotes the closed linear span of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ ([5]; see also [1], Theorem 3.4, implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (3)).

In the present paper we give an affirmative solution for problem

