## HOMOMORPHISMS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS WITH MINIMAL IDEALS

## **GREGORY F. BACHELIS**

**Let** *A* **be a semi-simple Banach algebra with socle** *F,* **and let** *v* **be a homomorphism of** *A* **into a Banach algebra. It is shown that if I is a minimal one-sided ideal of** *A,* **then** the restriction of  $\nu$  to *I* is continuous. This is then used to deduce continuity properties of the restriction of  $\nu$  to  $F$ . In **particular, if** *F* **has a bounded left or right approximate identity, then**  $\nu$  **is continuous on**  $F$ .

In [1] and [2] we deduced continuity properties of  $\nu$ *F* in case *A* was a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra. In this paper we obtain essentially the same results, but without the hypothesis that *A* be an annihilator algebra.

We first show that the restriction of *v* to any minimal one-sided ideal is continuous. The proof is almost purely algebraic. We then show that there exists a constant *K* such that

$$
\|\; \nu \;(xy)\; \| \leqq K\, \| \; x\, \| \; \| \; y\; \| \; , \quad x \in F\; , \quad y \in \bar F \; .
$$

As a corollary we obtain that  $\nu\vert F$  is continuous if F has a bounded left or right approximate identity.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this section we assume that A is a complex semi-simple Banach algebra. The *socle, F,* is defined to be the sum of the minimal right ideals. An idempotent *e* is called *minimal* if *eA* is a minimal right ideal. We use without reference the basic facts about the socle of a Banach algebra (see e.g. [7, pp. 45-47]).

The following two lemmas, together with the "Main Boundedness Theorem" of Bade and Curtis ([3, Thm. 2.1], [2, Thm. 4.1]) are the basic ingredients in the proofs that follow. The first lemma is due essentially to Barnes.

LEMMA 1.1. Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset F$ . Then there exist idempotents *e* and f in F such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subset eAf$  and  $eAf$  is finite-dimen*sional.*

*Proof.* By hypothesis, there exist minimal right ideals,  $I_1, \dots, I_m$ , whose sum contains  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ . By [4, Thm. 2.2], there exists an idempotent  $e \in F$  such that  $eA = I_1 + \cdots + I_m$ . Thus  $x_k \in eA$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .