

## POWER INVARIANT RINGS

JOONG-HO KIM

A ring  $A$  is called power invariant if whenever  $B$  is a ring such that the formal power series rings  $A[[X]]$  and  $B[[X]]$  are isomorphic, then  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic. A ring  $A$  is said to be strongly power invariant if whenever  $B$  is a ring and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $A[[X]]$  onto  $B[[X]]$ , then there exists a  $B$ -automorphism  $\psi$  of  $B[[X]]$  such that  $\psi(X) = \phi(X)$ . Strongly power invariant rings are power invariant. For any commutative ring  $A$ ,  $A/J(A)^n$  is strongly power invariant, where  $J(A)$  is the Jacobson radical of  $A$ , and  $n$  is any positive integer. A left or right Artinian ring is strongly power invariant. If  $A$  is a left or right Noetherian ring, then  $A[t]$ , the polynomial ring in an indeterminate  $t$  over  $A$ , is strongly power invariant.

**Introduction.** Coleman and Enochs [2] raised the following question: Can there be nonisomorphic rings  $A$  and  $B$  whose polynomial rings  $A[X]$  and  $B[X]$  are isomorphic? Recently Hochster [4] answered this question in the affirmative. The analogous question about a commutative formal power series ring was raised by O'Malley [7]: If  $A[[X]] \cong B[[X]]$ , must  $A \cong B$ ? We know no counterexamples.

In this paper all rings are assumed to have identity elements. The Jacobson radical and the prime radical (the intersection of all prime ideals) of a ring  $A$  will be denoted by  $J(A)$  and  $\text{rad}(A)$ , respectively. Let  $A[[X]]$  be the formal power series ring in a commutative indeterminate  $X$  over a ring  $A$ , and let  $\beta$  be a central element of  $A[[X]]$ . Then  $(\beta^n)$  will denote the ideal of  $A[[X]]$  generated by  $\beta^n$  for a nonnegative integer  $n$ , and  $(A[[X]], (\beta))$  denotes the topological ring  $A[[X]]$  with the  $(\beta)$ -adic topology. It is well known that  $(A[[X]], (\beta))$  is Hausdorff if and only if  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\beta^n) = (0)$ . The  $(\beta)$ -adic topology is metrizable in the obvious way, and we say that  $(A[[X]], (\beta))$  is complete if each Cauchy sequence of  $A[[X]]$  converges in  $A[[X]]$ . Then clearly  $(A[[X]], (X))$  is a complete Hausdorff space.

Extending the terminology used in [2], O'Malley [7] defined "power invariant ring" and "strongly power invariant ring" as follows: A ring  $A$  is power invariant if whenever  $B$  is a ring such that  $A[[X]] \cong B[[X]]$ , then  $A \cong B$ . A ring  $A$  is said to be strongly power invariant if whenever  $B$  is a ring and  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of  $A[[X]]$  onto  $B[[X]]$ , then there exists a  $B$ -automorphism  $\psi$  of  $B[[X]]$  such that  $\psi(X) = \phi(X)$ .

Let  $A$  be a strongly power invariant ring and let  $\phi$  be an isomorphism of  $A[[X]]$  onto  $B[[X]]$ . Then there exists a  $B$ -automorphism