

ON TWO CONGRUENCES FOR PRIMALITY

M. V. SUBBARAO

In this paper we consider the congruences

$$n\sigma(n) \equiv 2(\text{mod } \phi(n)), \quad \phi(n)t(n) + 2 \equiv 0(\text{mod } n).$$

1. Introduction. Apart from the classical Wilson's theorem (that a positive integer $p > 1$ is a prime if and only if $(p - 1)! + 1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } p)$) and its variants and corollaries, there is probably no other simple primality criterion in the literature in the form of a congruence. In this connection, we may recall Lehmer's congruence [1]:

$$(1.1) \quad n - 1 \equiv 0 \text{ mod } \phi(n).$$

This is satisfied by every prime. We do not yet know if it has any composite n as a solution. In 1932, Lehmer [1] showed that if there exists a composite number n satisfying (1.1), then n must be odd and square free and have at least seven distinct prime factors. This result was improved in 1944 by Fr. Schuh [4] who showed that such a n must have at least eleven prime factors. In 1970, E. Lieuwens [2] corrected an error in the proof of Schuh.

In the congruences we shall consider,

$$(1.2) \quad n\sigma(n) \equiv 2(\text{mod } \phi(n))$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(n)t(n) + 2 \equiv 0(\text{mod } n),$$

where $\phi(n)$ is Euler's totient, and $t(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$ are respectively the number and sum of the divisors of n . Each of these is satisfied whenever n is a prime. It is a simple matter to solve (1.2) completely (Theorem 1). However, the problem of solving (1.3) for all composite integers n seems to be a deep one, and we offer only a partial solution.

2. THEOREM 1. *The only composite numbers n satisfying (1.2) are $n = 4, 6$, and 22 .*

Proof. Let a solution of (1.2) be

$$n = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$$

where p_1, \dots, p_r are the distinct odd prime divisors of n . If for some $i (1 \leq i \leq r)$, $a_i > 1$, then $p_i \mid \phi(n)$ and $p_i \mid n$, so that $p_i \mid 2$, an absurdity. Hence