

COMPARISON OF DE RHAM AND DOLBEAULT COHOMOLOGY FOR PROPER SURJECTIVE MAPPINGS

R. O. WELLS, JR.

In this paper it is shown that if $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a proper holomorphic surjection of equidimensional complex manifolds then the induced mapping $\pi^*: H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) \rightarrow H^q(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p)$ on Dolbeault groups is injective. As a consequence one obtains the inequality $h^{p,q}(X) \leq h^{p,q}(\tilde{X})$ for the Hodge numbers of X and \tilde{X} . This result is valid also in the case of vector bundle coefficients, and can be generalized to the case of nondiscrete fibres of the mapping π (non equidimensional case) by the imposition of a Kählerian condition on \tilde{X} . Corresponding results for differentiable mappings are formulated and proved. Illustrative examples are provided to show the necessity of the various assumptions made.

1. Introduction. Let $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a surjective proper holomorphic mapping of complex manifolds¹. Our main result in this paper (Theorem 4.1) asserts that if \tilde{X} is a Kähler manifold, then the mapping π induces injections

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^*: H^q(X, \Omega_X^p) &\longrightarrow H^q(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p) \\ \pi^*: H^r(X, C) &\longrightarrow H^r(\tilde{X}, C) \end{aligned}$$

on the Dolbeault and de Rham groups, respectively. A consequence of this is that we have inequalities

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} b_j(\tilde{X}) &\geq b_j(X) \\ h^{p,q}(\tilde{X}) &\geq h^{p,q}(X) \end{aligned}$$

for the Betti numbers and Hodge numbers respectively (in the case that \tilde{X} and X are compact, for instance). If $\pi: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ is a proper surjective differentiable mapping of even dimensional orientable manifolds and \tilde{Y} is a symplectic manifold, then there is a natural generalization of the notion of the "degree of π ". Under the hypothesis that this degree is not zero,

$$(1.3) \quad \pi^*: H^r(Y, R) \longrightarrow H^r(\tilde{Y}, R),$$

is an injection (Theorem 4.4) (cf. also Borel-Haefliger [2]).

In the case that X and \tilde{X} above have the same dimension, then the conclusion (1.1) and (1.2) still holds without any Kähler assumption,

¹ All manifolds considered in this paper are assumed to be paracompact.