DECOMPOSITION THEOREMS FOR 3-CONVEX SUBSETS OF THE PLANE

MARILYN BREEN

Let S be a 3-convex subset of the plane. If $(\operatorname{cl} S \sim S) \subseteq$ int $(\operatorname{cl} S)$ or if $(\operatorname{cl} S \sim S) \subseteq$ bdry $(\operatorname{cl} S)$, then S is expressible as a union of four or fewer convex sets. Otherwise, S is a union of six or fewer convex sets. In each case, the bound is best possible.

1. Introduction. Let S be a subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Then S is said to be 3-convex iff for every three distinct points in S, at least one of the segments determined by these points lies in S. Valentine [2] has proved that for S a closed, 3-convex subset of the plane, S is expressible as a union of three or fewer closed convex sets. We are interested in obtaining a similar decomposition without requiring the set S to be closed. The following definitions and results obtained by Valentine will be useful.

For $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, a point x in S is a point of local convexity of S iff there is some neighborhood U of x such that, if $y, z \in S \cap U$, then $[y, z] \subseteq S$. If S fails to be locally convex at some point q in S, then q is called a point of local nonconvexity (lnc point) of S.

Let S be a closed, connected, 3-convex subset of the plane, and let Q denote the closure of the set of isolated lnc points of S. Valentine has proved that for S not convex, then card $Q \ge 1$, Q lies in the convex kernel of S, and $Q \subseteq$ bdry (conv Q). An *edge* of bdry (conv Q) is a closed segment (or ray) in bdry (conv Q) whose endpoints are in Q. We define a *leaf* of S in the following manner: In case card $Q \ge 3$, let L be the line determined by an edge of bdry (conv Q), L_1 , L_2 the corresponding open halfspaces. Then L supports conv Q, and we may assume conv $Q \subseteq$ cl (L_1). We define W = cl ($L_2 \cap S$) to be a *leaf* of S. For $2 \ge$ card $Q \ge 1$, constructions used by Valentine may be employed to decompose S into two closed convex sets, and we define each of these convex sets to be a *leaf* of S.

By Valentine's results, every point of S is either in conv Q or in some leaf W of S (or both), and every leaf W is convex. Moreover, Valentine obtains his decomposition of S by showing that for any collection $\{s_i\}$ of disjoint edges of bdry (conv Q), with $\{W_i\}$ the corresponding collection of leaves, conv $Q \cup (\bigcup W_i)$ is closed and convex.

Finally, we will use the following familiar definitions: For x, yin S, we say x see y via S iff the corresponding segment [x, y] lies in S. A subset T of S is visually independent via S iff for every