

ON THE PRIME IDEAL DIVISORS OF $(a^n - b^n)$

EDWARD H. GROSSMAN

Let a and b denote nonzero elements of the ring of integers O_K of an algebraic number field K , such that ab^{-1} is not a root of unity and the principal ideals (a) and (b) are relatively prime.

DEFINITION 1. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is called a *primitive prime divisor* of $(a^n - b^n)$ if $\mathfrak{p} | (a^n - b^n)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \nmid (a^k - b^k)$ for $k < n$.

DEFINITION 2. An integer n is called *exceptional for $\{a, b\}$* if $(a^n - b^n)$ has no primitive prime divisors.

The set of integers exceptional for $\{a, b\}$ is denoted by $E(a, b)$. Using recent deep results of Baker, Schinzel [4] has proved that if $n > n_0(l)$ then $n \notin E(a, b)$, where $l = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ and n_0 is an effectively computable integer. In particular $\text{card } E(a, b) \leq n_0$. In this paper, using only elementary methods, upper bounds are obtained for $\text{card } \{n \in E(a, b) : n \leq x\}$ which are independent of a and b .

1. Introduction. The prime divisors of the sequence of rational integers $x_n = a^n - b^n$ have been studied by Birkhoff and Vandiver. They showed [1, p. 177] that if a and b are positive and relatively prime, then for $n > 6$ there is a prime p which divides $a^n - b^n$ and does not divide $a^k - b^k$ for $k < n$. Postnikova and Schinzel [3] have investigated analogues of this result for the ring of integers O_K of an algebraic number field K .

To fix our notation and terminology, a and b will always denote nonzero elements of O_K such that ab^{-1} is not a root of unity, and the principal ideals (a) and (b) are relatively prime. Note then that all the ideals $(a^n - b^n)$ are nonzero.

DEFINITION 1. A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} is called a *primitive prime divisor* of $(a^n - b^n)$ if $\mathfrak{p} | (a^n - b^n)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \nmid (a^k - b^k)$ for $k < n$.

DEFINITION 2. An integer n is called *exceptional for $\{a, b\}$* if $(a^n - b^n)$ has no primitive prime divisors.

The set of integers exceptional for $\{a, b\}$ is denoted by $E(a, b)$. Using a theorem of Gelfond it can be shown [3, p. 172] that $\text{card } (E(a, b)) < n_0(a, b)$. Recently, using deep methods, Baker [4] has improved Gelfond's theorem, and has shown that $\text{card } E(a, b) < n_0(l)$, where $l = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$. In this paper we obtain by elementary methods upper bounds for $\text{card } \{n \in E(a, b) : n \leq x\}$ which are independent of a and b . To state our theorem precisely we