

## BAER AND UT-MODULES OVER DOMAINS

RALPH P. GRIMALDI

For a domain  $R$ , an  $R$ -module  $A$  is called a Baer module if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(A, T) = 0$  for every torsion  $R$ -module  $T$ . Dual to Baer modules, a torsion  $R$ -module  $B$  is called a  $UT$ -module if  $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, B) = 0$  for every torsion free  $R$ -module  $X$ . In this paper properties of these two types of modules will be derived and characterizations of Prüfer domains, Dedekind domains and fields will be obtained in terms of Baer and  $UT$ -module properties. One characterization will show the Baer modules are analogous to projective modules in the sense that a domain  $R$  is Dedekind if and only if, over  $R$ , submodules of Baer modules are Baer. In addition, just as a semisimple ring  $S$  can be characterized by the property that all  $S$ -modules are injective, or, equivalently, all  $S$ -modules are projective, a domain  $R$  is a field exactly if every torsion  $R$ -module is  $UT$  or, equivalently, every torsion free  $R$ -module is a Baer module. Further properties of these two kinds of modules will provide sufficient conditions to bound the global dimension of a domain  $R$ .

**0. Historical Note.** The concept of a Baer module goes back to 1936 when R. Baer, in [1], proposed the problem asking for a complete characterization of all abelian groups  $G$  such that  $\text{Ext}_Z(G, T) = 0$ , for all torsion abelian groups  $T$ . At that time he showed that any such abelian group must be torsion free, and free if it had countable rank. Then in 1959 R. Nunke, in [10], extended these results to modules over a Dedekind domain, proving that such a module was again torsion free, and projective if it had countable rank. Finally in 1969 P. Griffith, in [5], completely solved Baer's problem for abelian groups, and showed that any such abelian group, now called a Baer group or  $B$ -group, must be free. In [6], the author extended Griffith's techniques to modules over a Dedekind domain, showing that if  $A$  is a Baer module over a Dedekind domain then  $A$  is projective. The major adjustments needed in this transition from abelian groups to modules over a Dedekind domain were accomplished by means of Corollary 2 on p. 279 of [12], Theorems 3 and 5 in [8], Lemma 8.3 and Theorem 8.4 in [10], as well as the exposition on ideals and valuations in §18, 19 of [4].

**1. Preliminaries.** Unless additional restrictions are stated, in this paper  $R$  denotes an arbitrary integral domain: that is, a commutative ring with 1 having no zero divisors. The quotient field of  $R$  will be denoted by  $Q$ .