

## SUPERALGEBRAS OF WEAK-\*DIRICHLET ALGEBRAS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

Let  $A$  be a weak-\*Dirichlet algebra of  $L^\infty(m)$  and let  $H^\infty(m)$  denote the weak-\*closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ . Muhly showed that if  $H^\infty(m)$  is an integral domain, then  $H^\infty(m)$  is a maximal weak-\*closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(m)$ . We show in this paper that if  $H^\infty(m)$  is not maximal as a weak-\*closed subalgebra of  $L^\infty(m)$ , there is no algebra which contains  $H^\infty(m)$  and is maximal among the proper weak-\*closed subalgebras of  $L^\infty(m)$ . Moreover, we investigate the weak-\*closed superalgebras of  $A$  and we try to classify them. We show that there are two canonical weak-\*closed superalgebras of  $A$  which play an important role in the problem of describing all the weak-\*closed superalgebras of  $A$ .

**1. Preliminaries.** Recall that by definition [7], a weak-\*Dirichlet algebra is an algebra  $A$  of essentially bounded measurable functions on a probability measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, m)$  such that (i) the constant functions lie in  $A$ ; (ii)  $A + \bar{A}$  is weak-\*dense in  $L^\infty(m)$  (the bar denotes conjugation, here and always); (iii) for all  $f$  and  $g$  in  $A$ ,  $\int_X fg dm = \int_X f dm \int_X g dm$ . The abstract Hardy space  $H^p(m)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , associated with  $A$  are defined as follows. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $H^p(m)$  is the  $L^p(m)$ -closure of  $A$ , while  $H^\infty(m)$  is defined to be the weak-\*closure of  $A$  in  $L^\infty(m)$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , let  $H_0^p = \left\{ f \in H^p(m); \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$ .

A (weak-\*closed) subalgebra  $B^\infty$  of  $L^\infty(m)$ , containing  $A$ , is called a superalgebra of  $A$ . Let  $B_0^\infty = \left\{ f \in B^\infty; \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}$  and let  $I_B^\infty$  be the largest weak-\*closed ideal of  $B^\infty$  which is contained in  $B_0^\infty$ . (The existence of  $I_B^\infty$  is shown in Lemma 2 of [6]). If  $B^\infty = H^\infty(m)$  (resp.  $L^\infty(m)$ ), it is clear that  $B_0^\infty = I_B^\infty = H_0^\infty$  (resp.  $I_B^\infty = \{0\}$ ). In general,  $I_B^\infty \subseteq H_0^\infty$  by [6, Lemma 2]. Let  $\mathcal{L}_B^\infty$  be a self-adjoint part of  $B^\infty$ , i.e. the set of all functions in  $B^\infty$  whose complex conjugates are also in  $B^\infty$ .

For any subset  $M \subseteq L^\infty(m)$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , denote by  $[M]_p$  the norm closed linear span of  $M$  in  $L^p(m)$  and by  $[M]_*$  the weak-\*closed linear span of  $M$ . For a weak-\*closed superalgebra  $B^\infty$ , let  $B^p = [B^\infty]_p$  and let  $I_B^p = [I_B^\infty]_p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For any measurable subset  $E$  of  $X$ , the function  $\chi_E$  is the characteristic function of  $E$ . If  $f \in L^p(m)$ , denote by  $E_f$  the support set of  $f$  and by  $\chi_f$  the characteristic function of  $E_f$ .