## UNBOUNDED REPRESENTATIONS OF \*-ALGEBRAS

## **S. GUDDERANDW . SCRUGGS**

**Basic results on unbounded operator algebras are given, a general class of representations, called adjointable representat ions is introduced and irreducibility of representations is considered. A characterization of self-adjointness for closed, strongly cyclic ^-representations is presented.**

1. **Introduction.** Algebras of unbounded operators and unbounded representations of \*-algebras have been important in quantum field theory [1, 3, 9, 10] and certain studies of Lie algebras [5, 7]. The present paper proceeds along the lines initiated and developed by Robert Powers  $[6, 7]$  and much of the notation and definitions follow  $[6]$ . In §2, we present some basic results concerning unbounded operator algebras, introduce a class of representations called adjointable representations, and consider irreducibility of representations. Section 3 characterizes the self-adjointness of closed, strongly cyclic \*-representations.

**2. Adjointable representations.** Let *M* and *N* be sub spaces (linear manifolds) in a Hubert space *H.* Let *L(M,N)* and  $\hat{L}_c(M, N)$  denote the collection of linear operators and closable linear operators, respectively with domain *M* and range in *N.* For simplicity we use the notation  $L(M) = L(M, M)$  and  $L_c(M) = L_c(M, M)$ . Notice that  $L_c(H)$  is the set of bounded linear operators on  $H$ . We denote the domain of an operator *A by D(A)* and if *A* is closable we denote the closure of A by  $\overline{A}$ . A collection of operators  $\Re$  is an *op-algebra* if there exists a subspace M such that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L(M)$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$  implies  $AB, (\alpha A + B) \in \mathcal{B}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . A set  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L(M)$  is *symmetric* if M is dense and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  implies  $D(A^*) \supseteq M$  and  $A^* | M \in \mathcal{B}$ . A symmetric op-algebra  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L(M)$  that contains  $I \mid M$  is called an *op*<sup>\*</sup>-*algebra*. It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq L(M)$  is an op<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, then the map  $A \rightarrow A^* M$  is an involution so  $\Re$  is a \*-algebra. Also, if  $\pi$  is a representation of a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\pi(\mathcal{A}) = {\pi(A): A \in \mathcal{A}}$  is an op-algebra and if  $\pi$  is a \*-representation of  $\mathcal A$ , then  $\pi(\mathcal A)$  is an op<sup>\*</sup>-algebra (we always assume that a \*-algebra contains an identity  $I$ ).

A set  $\mathcal{B} \subset L(M, N)$  is *directed* if for any  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  there exists a  $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $||B_1x||, ||B_2x|| \leq ||B_3x||$  for all  $x \in M$ . For example, if  $\mathscr{B} \subseteq L_c(H)$  and  $\{\lambda I : \lambda \geq 0\} \subseteq \mathscr{B}$ , then  $\mathscr{B}$  is directed. Indeed, just let  $B_3 = (\|B_1\| + \|B_2\|) I$ . For an example of an unbounded directed set, let  $\mathscr{B} \subset L(M, H)$  and suppose  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{B}$  implies  $B_3 =$