## THE DIMENSION OF THE KERNEL OF A PLANAR SET

## MARILYN BREEN

Let S be a compact subset of  $R^2$ . We establish the following: For  $1 \leq k \leq 2$ , the dimension of ker S is at least k if and only if for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every f(k) points of S see via S a common k-dimensional neighborhood having radius  $\varepsilon$ , where f(1) = 4 and f(2) = 3. The number f(k) in the theorem is best possible.

We begin with some definitions: Let S be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For points x and y in S, we say x sees y via S if the segment [x, y] lies in S. The set S is starshaped if there is some point p in S such that, for every x in S, p sees x via S. The set of all such points p is called the (convex) kernel of S, denoted by ker S.

A well-known theorem of Krasnosel'skii [5] states that if S is a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then S is starshaped if and only if every d+1points of S see a common point via S.

Although various results have been obtained concerning the dimension of the set ker S (Hare and Kenelly [3], Toranzos [6], Foland and Marr [2], Breen [1]), it still remains to set forth an appropriate analogue of the Krasnosel'skii theorem for sets whose kernel is at least k-dimensional,  $1 \leq k \leq d$ . Hence the purpose of this work is to investigate such an analogue for subsets of the plane.

The following terminology will be used. Throughout the paper, conv S, cl S, int S, bdry S, and ker S denote the convex hull, closure, interior, boundary, and kernel, respectively, of the set S. If S is convex, dim S represents the dimension of S. Finally for  $x \neq y$ , R(x, y)denotes the ray emanating from x through y and L(x, y) is the line determined by x and y.

2. The results. We begin with the following theorem for sets whose kernel is 1-dimensional.

THEOREM 1. Let S be a compact set in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The dimension of ker S is at least 1 if and only if for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every 4 points of S see via S a common segment of radius  $\varepsilon$ . The number 4 is best possible.

*Proof.* The necessity of the condition is obvious. Hence we need only establish its sufficiency.