

THE JACOBSON DESCENT THEOREM

DAVID J. WINTER

A direct proof of the Jacobson Descent Theorem is given and used to prove the Jacobson-Bourbaki Correspondence Theorem.

The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of the *Jacobson Descent Theorem*, Theorem 1, which is direct in that it does not assume that $A = \text{Hom}_{K^A} K$. This is then used to prove the *Jacobson-Bourbaki Correspondence Theorem*, Theorem 2. The approach simplifies earlier proofs.

A variation of a theme of Hochschild appearing in Jacobson [2] and Winter [3] recurs here in the concentrated form of the dual bases x_i, R_j which thread their way through both proofs. Thus, this paper underlines the importance of this natural duality.

Throughout the paper, K denotes a field, $\text{End } K$ denotes the ring of endomorphisms of K as additive group, A denotes a subring of $\text{End } K$ containing the K -span KI of the identity I of $\text{End } K$ and V denotes a vector space over K of finite or infinite dimension $V: K$. Regard A as left K -vector space in the obvious way.

DEFINITION 1. An A -product on V is a mapping $A \times V \rightarrow V$, denoted $(T, v) \rightarrow T(v)$, such that V is an A -module and

$$(xT)(v) = x(T(v)) \quad (x \in K, T \in A, v \in V). \quad \square$$

Clearly $T(v)$ ($T \in A, v \in K$) is an A -product for K .

Suppose henceforth that $T(v)$ ($T \in A, v \in V$) is an A -product for V , and $V^A = \{v \in V \mid T(xv) = T(x)v \text{ for } T \in A, x \in K\}$. In particular, we have then defined K^A .

DEFINITION 2. For k a subfield of K , a k -form of V is a k -subspace V' of V whose k -bases are K -bases of V . □

THEOREM 1 (Jacobson [1]). Let $A: K < \infty$, then V^A is a K^A -form of V .

Proof. $\hat{K} = \{\hat{x} \mid x \in K\}$ separates A and therefore contains a basis $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_n$ for the K -dual space $\text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ of A where $\hat{x} \in \text{Hom}_K(A, K)$ is defined for $x \in K$ by $\hat{x}(T) = T(x)$ ($T \in A$). Letting R_1, \dots, R_n be a dual basis for A , so that $R_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$), we have $T(xR_i)(x_j) = T(x\delta_{ij}) = T(x)\delta_{ij} = (T(x)R_i)(x_j)$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) so that $T(xR_i) = T(x)R_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) for all T , since the x_j separate A .