

## NORMS ON $F(X)$

JO-ANN COHEN

**It is well known that if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on the field  $F(X)$  of rational functions over a field  $F$  for which  $F$  is bounded, then  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the supremum of a finite family of absolute values on  $F(X)$ , each of which is improper on  $F$ . Moreover,  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to an absolute value if and only if the completion of  $F(X)$  for  $\|\cdot\|$  is a field. We show that the analogous characterization of norms on  $F(X)$  for which  $F$  is discrete is impossible by constructing for each infinite field  $F$ , a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $F(X)$  such that  $F$  is discrete,  $\|X\| < 1$ , the completion of  $F(X)$  for  $\|\cdot\|$  is a field, but  $\|\cdot\|$  is not equivalent to the supremum of finitely many absolute values.**

**1. Introduction and basic definitions.** Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $\mathfrak{T}$  be a ring topology on  $R$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a topology on  $R$  making  $(x, y) \rightarrow x - y$  and  $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$  continuous from  $R \times R$  to  $R$ . A subset  $A$  of  $R$  is *bounded* for  $\mathfrak{T}$  if given any neighborhood  $U$  of zero, there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of zero such that  $AV \subseteq U$  and  $VA \subseteq U$ .  $\mathfrak{T}$  is a *locally bounded topology* on  $R$  if there exists a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for  $\mathfrak{T}$  consisting of bounded sets.

We recall that a *norm*  $\|\cdot\|$  on a ring  $R$  is a function from  $R$  to the nonnegative reals satisfying  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,  $\|x - y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  and  $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$  for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $R$ . If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on  $R$ , for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  define  $B_\varepsilon$  by,  $B_\varepsilon = \{r \in R: \|r\| < \varepsilon\}$ . Then  $\{B_\varepsilon: \varepsilon > 0\}$  is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for a Hausdorff locally bounded topology  $\mathfrak{T}_{\|\cdot\|}$  on  $R$ . Two norms on  $R$  are *equivalent* if they define the same topology. We note further that if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a nontrivial norm on a field  $K$  (that is,  $\mathfrak{T}_{\|\cdot\|}$  is nondiscrete), then a subset  $A$  of  $K$  is bounded for the topology defined by  $\|\cdot\|$  if and only if  $A$  is bounded in norm.

It is classic that, to within equivalence, the only valuations on the field  $F(X)$  of rational functions over a field  $F$  that are improper on  $F$  are the valuations  $v_p$ , where  $p$  is a prime polynomial of  $F[X]$ , and the valuation  $v_\infty$  defined by the prime polynomial  $X^{-1}$  of  $F[X^{-1}]$  ([1, Corollary 2, p. 94]). For each valuation  $v$ , the function  $|\cdot|_v$  defined by  $|y|_v = 2^{-v(y)}$  for all  $y$  in  $F(X)$  is an absolute value on  $F(X)$  for which  $F$  is discrete. In [2, Theorem 2] we showed that if  $\|\cdot\|$  is a nontrivial norm on  $F(X)$  for which  $F$  is bounded, then  $\|\cdot\|$  is equivalent to the supremum of finitely