REMARKS ON THE PAPER 'BASIC CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS'

J. M. BALL

We show that a condition studied in E. Silverman's paper is not, as claimed, necessary for lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals in the calculus of variations.

The purpose of this note is to show that a condition studied in [7] is not, as claimed, a necessary condition for lower semicontinuity of multiple integrals in the calculus of variations. To keep things simple we consider integrals of the form

$$I_F(y) = \int_G F(y'(x)) dx,$$

where $G \subset \mathbf{R}^k$ is a bounded domain, $y: G \to \mathbf{R}^N$, $y'(x) = (\partial y^i/\partial x^\alpha)$, and $F: M^{N \times k} \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous. Here $M^{N \times k}$ denotes the linear space of real $N \times k$ matrices. We suppose throughout that $K \ge 2$, $N \ge 2$. In [7] F is called T-convex if there exists a convex function f, defined on \mathbf{R}^r , $r = \binom{N+k}{k} - 1$, such that

$$F(p) = f(\tau(p))$$
 for all $p \in M^{N \times k}$,

where $\tau(p)$ denotes the minors of p of all orders j, $1 \le j \le \min(k, N)$, arranged in some prescribed order. T-convexity of F was studied in [1,2,3] under a different name, polyconvexity, which we shall use in the remainder of this note, and it is equivalent to a condition introduced earlier by Morrey [4, p. 49]. (These papers contain lower semicontinuity and existence theorems for polyconvex integrands of the same type as given in $[7, \S\S4-7]$.) Let us say that I_F is $\lim_{x \to \infty} I_F(y) \le \lim_{x \to \infty} \inf_{y \to \infty} I_F(y)$ whenever $y_j \to y$ uniformly on G with $\sup_{x,\bar{x} \in G} |y_j(x) - y_j(\bar{x})| \le C < \infty$ for all j. (Equivalently, if G has sufficiently regular boundary then I_F is $\lim_{x \to \infty} I_F(y) = \lim_{x \to$

$$Q(p) = \sum_{\substack{1 \le i, j \le N \\ 1 < \alpha, \beta < k}} a_{i\alpha j\beta} p_{i\alpha} p_{j\beta}$$