

## ON BANACH SPACES HAVING A RADON-NIKODYM DUAL

C. DEBIEVE

**The purpose of this paper is to prove a new characterisation of Banach spaces having a Radon-Nikodym dual, namely that if  $E$  is a Banach space, then  $E'$  has the Radon-Nikodym property if and only if there exists an equivalent norm on  $E$  such that for each  $E$ -valued measure  $m$  of bounded variation, there exists an  $E'$ -valued function  $f$  with norm 1  $|m|$ -a.e. such that  $|m| = \int f dm$ .**

**1. Introduction.** In [1], we have proved that if  $E$  is a Banach space,  $m$  an  $E$ -valued measure defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $T$ , with bounded variation  $|m|$ , and if  $\varepsilon$  is any positive number, then there exists an  $E'$ -valued strongly measurable function  $f$  defined on the set  $T$ , such that  $\|f\| < 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $|m|(A) = \int_A f dm$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

A very natural question which arises is the following: Does there always exist an  $E'$ -valued strongly measurable function with norm 1 such that  $|m|(A) = \int_A f dm$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ ? Following the example given in [1], this seems to be possible.

Finally, an answer to that question was provided by F. Delbaen who proved the following unpublished theorem: If  $E$  is a Banach space, the following are equivalent:

- (a)  $E'$  has the Radon-Nikodym property
- (b) For each equivalent norm on  $E$ , for each  $E$ -valued measure  $m$  of bounded variation defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $T$ , there exists a  $|m|$ -strongly measurable function  $f$  from  $T$  to  $E'$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$   $|m|$ -a.e. and  $|m|(A) = \int_A f dm$  for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The purpose of this paper is to provide a positive answer to the following question: Is it possible to weaken assertion (b) by requiring the existence of an equivalent norm on the space having the property instead of assuming it for each equivalent norm on  $E$ .

**2. Proof of the theorem.** Before proving our theorem let us recall the Mazur density theorem and prove two lemmas.