

EMBEDDING 2-COMPLEXES IN \mathbf{R}^4

MARKO KRANJC

Using Freedman's results it is not very hard to see that every finite acyclic 2-complex embeds in \mathbf{R}^4 tamely. In the present paper a relative version of this fact is proved. We also study when a finite acyclic 2-complex with one extra 2-cell attached along its boundary can be tamely embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 .

Introduction. In 1955 A. Shapiro found a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of embeddings of finite n -complexes in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n > 2$ (see [14]) by defining an obstruction using the ideas of H. Whitney ([15]). The obstruction is not homotopy invariant and is in general quite hard to compute. It is well-known that any finite acyclic n -complex embeds in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n \neq 2$ (see for example [8]). Not long ago it was proved in [16] that any finite n -complex K with $H^n(K)$ cyclic embeds in \mathbf{R}^{2n} if $n > 2$.

It is known that any finite acyclic 2-complex can be embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 (see [9], compare also with [11]). In the present paper the following relative version is proved.

THEOREM 1. *Let K be a finite 2-complex obtained from a 2-complex L by adjoining one 2-cell e along its boundary. If $H^2(K) = 0$ then any π_1 -negligible tame embedding of L into \mathbf{R}^4 can be extended to a π_1 -negligible tame embedding of K into \mathbf{R}^4 .*

REMARK. This result is the best possible in the following sense: there exists a π_1 -negligible embedding of a finite acyclic 2-complex into \mathbf{R}^4 which cannot be extended over an additional 2-cell (see §3).

In §2 the following is proved:

THEOREM 2. *Let L be a finite acyclic 2-complex. Suppose K is obtained from L by attaching one additional 2-cell e_0 along its boundary. If a regular neighborhood of some complex \tilde{K} which carries the second homology of K can be embedded in some orientable 3-manifold then K can be tamely embedded in \mathbf{R}^4 .*

Note. $\tilde{K} \subset K$ carries the second homology of K if the inclusion $\tilde{K} \subset K$ induces an isomorphism $H_2(\tilde{K}) \approx H_2(K)$. A regular neighborhood