

## RELATIVE DIMENSION, TOWERS OF PROJECTIONS AND COMMUTING SQUARES OF SUBFACTORS

SORIN POPA

*Dedicated to the memory of Henry Dye*

**We study the set of projections of the type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  which expected on the subfactor  $N \subset M$  are scalar multiples of the identity. The set of all these scalars, denoted  $\Lambda(M, N)$ , is an invariant for the inclusion  $N \subset M$ . We compute  $\Lambda(M, N)$  when  $[M : N] < 4$ , when  $N$  is locally trivial and some parts of  $\Lambda(M, N)$  when  $[M : N] > 4$ . We prove that projections expected on the same scalar in  $N$  are conjugate by a unitary element in  $N$ . We apply all these to the commuting square problem.**

**Introduction.** In a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor a projection may have any dimension between 0 and 1. This corresponds to the fact that Hilbert modules  $H$  over a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  may have any positive number as (relative) dimension  $\dim_M H$  [9].

There has been more and more evidence in the past ten years or so that it is much more useful to regard a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  together with its subalgebras  $N$  and more generally to consider pairs of arbitrary algebras  $M, N$ . The corresponding appropriate notion of module is then the one introduced by Connes in [2], the  $N - M$  Hilbert bimodules (or correspondences).

If  $N \subset M$  is a subfactor of the type  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $M$  then V. Jones had the idea to consider the number

$$\dim_N H / \dim_M H (= \dim_N H \dim_{M'} H)$$

as an invariant up the conjugacy by automorphisms of  $M$  for the subfactor  $N$  (this number is independent of  $H$  by [9]). Jones called this number the index of  $N$  in  $M$  denoting it  $[M : N]$ . One of his remarkable results in [6] is that  $[M : N]$  can only take the values  $\{4 \cos^2 \pi / (n + 2) | n \geq 0\} \cup [4, \infty]$ .

The number  $[M : N]$  can also be interpreted in a more intrinsic way: it is the dimension of the smallest nonzero projection in  $M$  which expected on  $N$  is a scalar multiple of the identity (by [6] and [12]). This is somehow related to the fact that  $[M : N]$  can be viewed as the minimal possible dimension  $\dim_{M,N} H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \dim_M H \dim_N H$  ([14])