

CORRECTION TO CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES WITH VALUES IN COMPLEX COBORDISM

MINORU NAKAOKA

This Journal, vol. 10 (1973), 521-543

(Received May 12, 1974)

In the proof of Theorem 7 it is asserted that there exists $\alpha \in U^*(B_G \times M)$ such that

$$\alpha q(\tau(M)) = w^{m'}, \quad r_0^*(\alpha) = 0.$$

The proof of this assertion is not correct. Under a further assumption that $U_*(M)$ is projective over $U_*(pt)$, this is proved correctly as follows.

It follows from Lemma 2 and (7.1) that $i^*(id \times f^k)^* \Delta' = 0$ for $i^*: U^*(E_G \times M^k) \rightarrow U^*(E_G \times (M^k - M))$ induced by the inclusion. Therefore there exists $\alpha \in U^{i-2m(k-1)}(B_G \times M)$ such that

$$(id \times f^k)^* \Delta' = j^* \phi_{v_1}(\alpha),$$

where $\phi_{v_1}: U^*(B_G \times M) \cong U^*(E_G \times (M^k, M^k - M))$ is the Thom isomorphism, and $j^*: U^*(E_G \times (M^k, M^k - M)) \rightarrow U^*(E_G \times M^k)$ is induced by the inclusion. It is easily seen that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} U^{i-2m(k-1)}(M) & \xleftarrow{r_0^*} & U^{i-2m(k-1)}(B_G \times M) & \xrightarrow{\cdot e(v_1)} & U^i(B_G \times M) \\ \downarrow d_! & & \downarrow j^* \circ \phi_{v_1} & & \nearrow (id \times d)^* \\ U^i(M^k) & \xleftarrow{r^*} & U^i(E_G \times M^k) & & \end{array}$$

is commutative, where r and r_0 are the inclusions, and $d_!$ is the Gysin homomorphism induced by the diagonal map $d: M \rightarrow M^k$. Consequently we have

$$(8.1) \quad (id \times d)^* (id \times f^k)^* \Delta' = \alpha \cdot e(v_1),$$

$$(8.2) \quad r^* (id \times f^k)^* \Delta' = d_! r_0^*(\alpha).$$